Appraisal Subcommittee

Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council

November 3, 2014

Mr. Mike Rothman, Commissioner Minnesota Department of Commerce 85 – 7th Place East, Suite 500 St. Paul, MN 55101

RE: ASC Compliance Review of Minnesota's Appraiser Regulatory Program

Dear Mr. Rothman:

The Appraisal Subcommittee (ASC) staff conducted an ASC Compliance Review (Review) of the Minnesota appraiser regulatory program (Program) on July 23-24, 2014, to determine the Program's compliance with Title XI of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989, as amended.

The ASC considered the preliminary results of the Review and the State's response to those results. The Program has been awarded an ASC Finding of "Good." An area of concern that was identified is being addressed by the Program. Minnesota will remain on a two-year Review Cycle. The final ASC Compliance Review Report (Report) is attached.

This letter and the attached Report are public records and available on the ASC website. Please contact us if you have any questions about this Report.

Sincerely,

James R. Park Executive Director

Attachment

cc: Ms. Emily Johnson Piper, Deputy Commissioner/Chief of Staff

Mr. Robert Commodore, Senior Director, Consumer & Industry Services

Mr. Marty Fleischhacker, Acting Assistant Commissioner of Enforcement

Mr. Peter Bratsch, Licensing Director

Mr. Mark Hastie, MIT Director

ASC Finding Descriptions

ASC Finding	Rating Criteria	Review Cycle*
Excellent	 State meets all Title XI mandates and complies with requirements of ASC Policy Statements State maintains a strong regulatory Program Very low risk of Program failure 	2-year
Good	 State meets the majority of Title XI mandates and complies with the majority of ASC Policy Statement requirements Deficiencies are minor in nature State is adequately addressing deficiencies identified and correcting them in the normal course of business State maintains an effective regulatory Program Low risk of Program failure 	2-year
Needs Improvement	 State does not meet all Title XI mandates and does not comply with all requirements of ASC Policy Statements Deficiencies are material but manageable and if not corrected in a timely manner pose a potential risk to the Program State may have a history of repeated deficiencies but is showing progress toward correcting deficiencies State regulatory Program needs improvement Moderate risk of Program failure 	2-year with additional monitoring
Not Satisfactory	 State does not meet all Title XI mandates and does not comply with all requirements of ASC Policy Statements Deficiencies present a significant risk and if not corrected in a timely manner pose a well-defined risk to the Program State may have a history of repeated deficiencies and requires more supervision to ensure corrective actions are progressing State regulatory Program has substantial deficiencies Substantial risk of Program failure 	1-year
Poor ¹	 State does not meet Title XI mandates and does not comply with requirements of ASC Policy Statements Deficiencies are significant and severe, require immediate attention and if not corrected represent critical flaws in the Program State may have a history of repeated deficiencies and may show a lack of willingness or ability to correct deficiencies High risk of Program failure 	Continuous monitoring

^{*}Program history or nature of deficiency may warrant a more accelerated Review Cycle.

¹ An ASC Finding of "Poor" may result in significant consequences to the State. *See* Policy Statement 5, *Reciprocity*; *see also* Policy Statement 8, *Interim Sanctions*.

ASC Compliance Review Report							ASC Finding: Good		
							Final Report Issue Date: November 3, 2014		
Minnesota Appraiser Regulatory Program (State)									
State Board Title (Board) / N/A PM: N. Fenochietti				I control of the cont	ASC Compliance Review Date: July 23-24, 2014		Review Period: August 2012 to July 2014		
Umbrella Agency: Minnesota Department of Commerce (Department)				ce (Department)	Number of State Credentialed Appraisers on National Registry: 2,093		Review Cycle: Two Year		
Applicable Federal Citations	Compliance (YES/NO) Areas of Concern (AC)			ASC Staff Observations	State Response	Required/Recommended State Actions	General Comments		
	YES	NO	AC						
Statutes, Regulations, Policies and Procedures:	х								
and Foccadics.				No compliance issues noted.	N/A	None	None		
Temporary Practice:	Х	†							
				No compliance issues noted.	N/A	None	None		
National Registry:	Х								
				No compliance issues noted.	N/A	None	None		
Application Process:			Х						
States must select and analyze a				The Department issued a Certified Residential	On July 31, 2014, the Department	The Department must monitor its revised	During the next Compliance Review, ASC staff will pay		
representative sample of work				credential without completing a work sample	reported that the individual cedentialed in	process to ensure credentials are not issued to	particular attention to this area for compliance with Title XI		
product for USPAP compliance				review for USPAP compliance. The application	error was removed from the National	applicants whose appraisal experience has not	and ASC Policy Statement 4 D.		
on all initial or upgrade				process appeared to contain a weakness in	Registry.	been reviewed for USPAP compliance.			
applications for appraiser				the communication between investigative					
credentialing. (12 U.S.C. §				staff and licensing staff that resulted in the	On October 10, 2014 the Department				
3347; Policy Statement 4 D.)				improper issuance of that credential.	reported that its processes were revised				
					to enhance communication between the				
					investigative and licensing staff to ensure				
					credentials are properly issued and				
					documented.				
Reciprocity:	Х								
				No compliance issues noted.	N/A	None	None		
Education:	Х								
				No compliance issues noted.	N/A	None	None		
Enforcement:	Х			No conditions investigated	NI/A	Nama	Negra		
				No compliance issues noted.	N/A	None	None		