## **ASC Findings**

(Pre-June 1, 2013 and Post-June 1, 2013)

The charts below provide an explanation of ASC Findings and rating criteria. ASC Findings were revised effective June 1, 2013.

## Pre-June 1, 2013

Compliance Reviews conducted prior to June 1, 2013, were subject to the following ASC Findings:

ASC Findings	Rating Criteria	
In Substantial Compliance	<ul> <li>Applies when no issues of non-compliance or violations of Title XI, ASC Policy Statements or AQB Criteria are identified.</li> </ul>	
Not In Substantial Compliance	Applies when there are one or more issues of non-compliance or violations of Title XI, ASC Policy Statements and/or AQB Criteria but the concerns do not rise to the level of "Not In Compliance."	
Not In Compliance*	<ul> <li>Applies when the number, seriousness, and/or repetitiveness of the Title XI, ASC Policy Statements and/or AQB Criteria violations warrant this finding.</li> </ul>	

<sup>\*</sup> An ASC Finding of "Not In Compliance" may result in significant consequences to the State. See Policy Statement 5, Reciprocity; see also Policy Statement 8, Interim Sanctions.

## **Post-June 1, 2013**

Compliance Reviews conducted after June 1, 2013, are subject to the following ASC Findings:

ASC Finding	Rating Criteria	Review Cycle**
Excellent	<ul> <li>State meets all Title XI mandates and complies with requirements of ASC Policy Statements</li> <li>State maintains a strong regulatory Program</li> <li>Very low risk of Program failure</li> </ul>	2-year
Good	<ul> <li>State meets the majority of Title XI mandates and complies with the majority of ASC Policy Statement requirements</li> <li>Deficiencies are minor in nature</li> <li>State is adequately addressing deficiencies identified and correcting them in the normal course of business</li> <li>State maintains an effective regulatory Program</li> <li>Low risk of Program failure</li> </ul>	2-year
Needs Improvement	<ul> <li>State does not meet all Title XI mandates and does not comply with all requirements of ASC Policy Statements</li> <li>Deficiencies are material but manageable and if not corrected in a timely manner pose a potential risk to the Program</li> <li>State may have a history of repeated deficiencies but is showing progress toward correcting deficiencies</li> <li>State regulatory Program needs improvement</li> <li>Moderate risk of Program failure</li> </ul>	2-year with additional monitoring
Not Satisfactory	<ul> <li>State does not meet all Title XI mandates and does not comply with all requirements of ASC Policy Statements</li> <li>Deficiencies present a significant risk and if not corrected in a timely manner pose a well-defined risk to the Program</li> <li>State may have a history of repeated deficiencies and requires more supervision to ensure corrective actions are progressing</li> <li>State regulatory Program has substantial deficiencies</li> <li>Substantial risk of Program failure</li> </ul>	1-year
Poor*	<ul> <li>State does not meet Title XI mandates and does not comply with requirements of ASC Policy Statements</li> <li>Deficiencies are significant and severe, require immediate attention and if not corrected represent critical flaws in the Program</li> <li>State may have a history of repeated deficiencies and may show a lack of willingness or ability to correct deficiencies</li> <li>High risk of Program failure</li> </ul>	Continuous monitoring

<sup>\*</sup> An ASC Finding of "Poor" may result in significant consequences to the State. See Policy Statement 5, Reciprocity; see also Policy Statement 8, Interim Sanctions.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Program history or nature of deficiency may warrant a more accelerated Review Cycle.