

■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■

Appraisal Subcommittee

Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council

TO: Appraisal Subcommittee

FROM: Jim Park, Executive Director

DATE: April 24, 2019

RE: May 8, 2019 ASC Meeting Package

For the May 8th ASC Meeting, the following items are included in your meeting package. A Briefing will be held following the Meeting. Briefing information will be uploaded to the ASC website on May 1st. If you have any questions, please contact Lori Schuster at lori@asc.gov.

OPEN SESSION

- Agenda for ASC Open Session Meeting
- Reports
 - Chairman's Report (oral report)
 - Executive Director's Report (report attached)
 - Delegated State Compliance Review Report (oral report)
 - Financial Manager's Report (report attached)
 - Notation Vote (oral report)
- February 13th ASC Open Session Meeting Minutes
- April 15th ASC Special Meeting Minutes

(If you would like a Word version of the Minutes for editing, please let us know. Your edits can be submitted to Lori Schuster (Lori@asc.gov) by close of business, May 6th. A revised draft incorporating any edits received will be provided for the May 8th Meeting.)

- 2018 ASC Annual Report

GRANT REIMBURSEMENTS

- October-December 2018 Appraisal Foundation grant reimbursement requests reviewed and approved by ASC staff (also to be addressed in Financial Manager's oral report)

FYI - INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

- AARO Spring 2019 Newsletter
- Appraisal Foundation Discussion Draft on PAREA
- AQB First Exposure Draft – Interpretation of Supervisor Qualifications
- ASC staff response to AQB – Proposed changes to Criteria related to Supervisory Appraisers
- ASB 2019 Summary of Actions Related to USPAP Changes
- Agreed Upon Procedures Review of FY18 Appraisal Foundation and State Grant
- Fannie Mae Appraiser Newsletter
- Complaint and Response by two PA appraisers against State of New Jersey regarding the constitutionality of USPAP
- ASC Roundtable Summary and Participants List
- Appraiser Credential Report through March 26, 2019
- State Program Status Report through April 19, 2019
- Compliance Review Reports: New Jersey, Tennessee, Utah, Virgin Islands, West Virginia
- AMC Compliance Review Reports: Tennessee, Utah
- Approved minutes of the August 29, 2018 ASC Open Session Meeting

BRIEFING SUMMARY NOTES

- Summary Notes from April 15th Briefing

Open Session Cover Page

May 8, 2019

■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■

Appraisal Subcommittee
Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council

Agenda

Date: May 8, 2019
Time: 10:00 a.m.
Location: FRB, International Square
1850 K Street NW (Anacostia Room – 4th Floor)
Washington, DC 20006
Metro Stop: Farragut West - 18th Street Exit

Open Session

Reports

- | | |
|---|----------------------|
| • Chairman | A. Lindo |
| • Executive Director | J. Park |
| • Delegated State Compliance Reviews | A. Bohorfoush |
| • Financial Manager | G. Hull |
| • Notation Vote | L. Schuster |

Action and Discussion Items

- | | |
|---|----------------------|
| • Approval of Minutes | A. Lindo |
| ○ February 13, 2019 Open Session | |
| ○ April 15, 2019 Special Meeting | |
| • 2018 ASC Annual Report | A. Bohorfoush |

■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■

Appraisal Subcommittee
Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council

TO: Appraisal Subcommittee
FROM: Jim Park, Executive Director
DATE: May 8, 2019
RE: Quarterly Executive Director Report

Since my last report in February, staff has been busy working on routine agency business (e.g., Compliance Reviews, monitoring and review of the Foundation, and grant administration) as well as several special projects. The following is an update to the Board on those activities.

Personnel Changes

Mark Abbott recently joined the ASC staff on a part-time basis as the ASC Grants Director. Mark is detailed to the ASC for 8 hours per week from the U.S. Election Assistance Commission. He has extensive federal grant making experience and is an important addition to the staff. He will be attending the May 8 ASC meeting so he can introduce himself and meet the Board.

We also advertised for the Regulatory Affairs Specialist (RAS) and received several promising applications. We plan to have this position filled by mid-summer.

ND Temporary Waiver Request

As you are aware, on April 10, the North Dakota Department of Financial Institutions, North Dakota Bankers Association and Governor's office followed up on their August 1, 2018 letter with additional clarifications and information as requested by the ASC. On April 15, during a Special Meeting of the ASC, it was determined that a Request had been received. Staff is now working on publication of the *Notice of Received Request for a Temporary Waiver; Request for Comments*.

National Registry of AMCs (AMC Registry) and Compliance Reviews

Five States are now entering their registered AMCs onto the AMC Registry. We have collected over \$200,000 in registry fees thus far. It is unclear exactly when the remaining States will add their AMCs to the AMC Registry. Participating States have until June 4, 2020 to start entering AMCs onto the AMC Registry. After that date, ASC Compliance Reviews will include review of the requirements for entering AMCs on the AMC Registry.

The AMC Rule established August 10, 2018, as the date after which an AMC may not provide AMC services for a federally related transaction unless they are registered by the State or a Federally regulated AMC. States were allowed a 1-year extension if approved by the ASC and the FFIEC. Twenty-six States were given an extension. We are now conducting Compliance Reviews of AMC Programs in the participating States without an extension. Five Reviews have been completed and all 5 AMC Programs were rated Good.

National Registry of Appraisers (Appraiser Registry)

There are 43 States using the Unique Identification Number (UID) software. Eight States are using the SOAP system. While most States appear to be amenable to the UID implementation, at least two States have made little, if any, effort to participate. Anticipation among those who rely on the Appraiser Registry to complete this project is growing. It is becoming more likely that rulemaking may be needed to get all 55 jurisdictions on board.

Investigator Training Program

With a Grants Director in place, we are accelerating our efforts to review and update the overall grant program including Investigator Training.

Appraisal Foundation Monitoring and Review

Appraisal Standards Board (ASB)

Denise Graves and I attended two ASB meetings since my last report: February 7-8 in Scottsdale, AZ and April 4-5 in Kansas City, MO. Both meetings included public meetings that were live streamed to over 300 viewers respectively.

The ASB issued third and fourth exposure drafts of proposed changes for the 2020-21 edition of USPAP. The Board adopted revisions to permit additional intended users besides the client for Restricted Appraisal Reports, if the other intended users are named in the report (*i.e.*, not merely identified “by type”). The second adopted change for Restricted Appraisal Reports is a simplification of warning language that will no longer include a reference to the appraiser’s workfile. The Board is also clarifying that such supplemental certifications do not require signature by the appraiser(s). The Board also adopted revisions to enumerate and clarify the minimum level of reporting necessary under the reporting requirements for an Appraisal Report in STANDARDS 2, 8 and 10. The Summary of Actions can be found in the FYI materials.

Regular publication of USPAP continues to be a higher priority than issuing the most effective standards possible. This USPAP revision cycle highlights a long-standing concern that the Foundation must make changes to USPAP on a regular and continual basis to fund itself. Approximately 80% of the Foundation’s income is based on publishing revised versions of USPAP on a continual basis. The most recent revision cycle is a good example of how the limited time given to the ASB to address contemporary issues diminishes its ability to publish the most effective and timely standards.

Appraiser Qualifications Board (AQB)

The AQB and Foundation staff continue to be focused on the Practical Applications in Real Estate Appraisal (PAREA) project.

As I stated in my last report, ASC staff is concerned about the lack of transparency in how the Foundation selects project partners (companies and individuals). A small (3 person) SME panel was selected to develop the actual training content based on content outlines developed by the AQB. It is unclear what criteria were used to select the panel. During the last AQB conference call, it was reported that problems have now arisen with the SMEs. A Discussion Draft on PAREA, published at the end of March, is included in the FYI materials.

The AQB issued a proposed interpretation to AQB Criteria. ASC staff wrote a letter expressing our view that the change is not an Interpretation but rather an actual change to the Criteria. The proposed Interpretation and staff letter can be found in the FYI materials.

New Jersey Lawsuit

The New Jersey Real Estate Appraiser Board is being sued in the U.S. District Court for New Jersey by two Pennsylvania appraisers who allege that disciplinary actions taken against them for violations of the USPAP are unconstitutional pursuant to the due process provisions of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments.

The plaintiffs allege that their rights were violated when the board delegated to private individuals free from government oversight the task of establishing standards of conduct for appraisers. They also allege that their rights to due process were violated when the board of private individuals — who they noted are competitors — enforced the standards of conduct without themselves abiding by those same standards. If the lawsuit is successful, it could have significant implications for the entire appraisal regulatory system. We will keep the Board apprised. A copy of the complaint and the respondents' reply can be found in the FYI materials.

ASC Finances

A mid-year financial update will be given at the May 8 ASC Meeting. The FY18 audit is attached to the Financial Manager's Report. The ASC ended FY18 with net income of \$17,000.

2019 ASC Roundtable

The third ASC Roundtable is scheduled for November 12, 2019, at the OCC. More details will be forthcoming. Thank you to Rich Taft and the OCC for hosting the Roundtable again.

Other Meetings and Presentations

- March 18-20 - CRN Meeting and Valuation Expo – Chicago, IL
- April 12 - Appraisal Institute, Washington, DC Chapter – Washington, DC

■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■

Appraisal Subcommittee

Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council

TO: Appraisal Subcommittee

FROM: Girard Hull, Financial Manager

DATE: May 8, 2019

RE: FY19 Mid-Year Status of ASC Revenue and Expenses

The following information summarizes the ASC fiscal year 2019 (FY19) mid-year financial status for the period ending March 31, 2019.

ASC Revenues

As of March 31, 2019, the ASC has recognized revenue of \$1.9M, representing 56% of annual budgeted revenue of \$3.4M. AMC fees account for \$234,400, or 12% of total recognized revenue. The variance in year-to-date revenue is \$218K more than budgeted revenue.

In comparison to FY18 revenue at March 31, 2018, mid-year revenue for FY19 is roughly 1% higher. Based on current activity, we anticipate full recognition of the FY19 revenue amount of \$3.4M.

In FY18, roughly \$1.6M was deposited into the ASC restricted funds account. In April of FY19, 75% of this amount, or \$1.1M, was requested for transfer back into the ASC operating account as available funds. This left \$394K remaining, or 25%, as the total FY18 restricted PAYGO funds.

ASC reserves for the period ending March 31, 2019, amounted to approximately \$4.9M, showing an increase of 3.6% over ASC reserves for the same period in FY18.

ASC Expenditures

As of March 31, 2019, ASC expenditures totaled \$1.7M, representing 45% of annual budgeted expenditures of roughly \$3.9M. The variance in year-to-date expenditures is \$195K less than budgeted expenditures for the same period.

The attached Statement of Profit and Loss (Budget vs. Actual) for the period ending March 31, 2019, show most ASC expenditures proportionate to the target mid-year level. Expenditure line items (Legal Research Service and OPM Survey-Annual) which significantly exceed the average mid-year level of 50%, represent fully annualized costs with no additional expenses forthcoming.

For 2019, ASC travel costs are projected to increase significantly from April through September as scheduled Compliance Review travel increases. IT Service activities and costs are also projected to increase in the remaining quarters of FY19 as well.

For FY19, The ASC is operating under a 6.2% sequestration reduction of available cash receipts as per OMB/US Treasury mandate.

Financial Operations

To date, the ASC has not encountered any unforeseen or unusual expenditures, and agency fiscal operations remain stable.

Enclosures:

ASC Statement of Profit & Loss (Budget vs. Actual) October 2018 – March 2019

ASC Balance Sheet (as of March 31, 2019)

**Appraisal Subcommittee
Profit & Loss Budget vs. Actuals**

October 2018 - March 2019

	October '18 - March '19	FY19 Budget	% of Budget
Income			
40000 Appraiser Fees (Sales Income)	\$ 1,707,736	\$ 3,447,375	49.54%
40005 Appraiser Fees (AMCs)	234,400		
Total Income	\$ 1,942,136	\$ 3,447,375	56.34%
Expenses			
61700 Computer and Internet Expenses			
61725 Hardware	\$ -	\$ 2,500	0.00%
61735 License & SSL Renewals	\$ 2,695	\$ 11,450	23.54%
61745 IT Contracted Svcs	\$ 59,393	\$ 163,220	36.39%
68581 Misc IT Expenses	\$ -	\$ 23,000	0.00%
Total 61700 Computer and Internet Expenses	\$ 62,088	\$ 200,170	31.02%
62400 Depreciation Expense	\$ 68,784	\$ 135,773	50.66%
62501 Legal Research Service	\$ 11,242	\$ 11,930	94.24%
62600 Equipment Rental	\$ 1,130	\$ 2,316	48.79%
64700 Miscellaneous Expense (General)	\$ 3,650	\$ 15,365	23.76%
64900 Office Supplies	\$ 1,778	\$ 6,000	29.64%
66000 Payroll Expenses			
66001 Salaries	\$ 806,161	\$ 1,748,399	46.11%
66002 Personnel Benefits	\$ 258,101	\$ 517,146	49.91%
66004 Cash Awards	\$ 21,919	\$ 36,025	60.84%
Total 66000 Payroll Expenses	\$ 1,086,181	\$ 2,301,570	47.19%
66100 Training	\$ 450	\$ 10,000	4.50%
66200 Parking	\$ 226	\$ 925	24.39%
66300 Consulting Services			
66320 OPM Survey (Annual)	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.04	100.00%
66321 AMC Registration & Supervision/Investigator Trng	\$ -	10,000	0.00%
66325 Roundtable Logistics	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	100.00%
Total 66300 Consulting Services	\$ 15,000	\$ 25,000	60.00%
66350 Annual Audit of ASC	\$ 16,175	\$ 32,350	50.00%
66355 Review of AF Grant	\$ 4,175	\$ 8,350	50.00%
66400 GSA Services	\$ 56,643	\$ 117,225	48.32%
66501 Transportation of Things	\$ 549	\$ 925	59.34%
66600 Printing and Reproduction			
66601 Printing & Repro - Fed Registry	\$ -	\$ 12,000	0.00%
66602 Printing & Repro - Other	\$ 350	\$ 1,000	34.96%
66603 Printing & Repro - Publications		\$ 14,035	0.00%
Total 66600 Printing and Reproduction	\$ 350	\$ 27,035	1.29%
66800 FDMS	\$ -	\$ 5,500	0.00%
67100 Rent Expense	\$ 3,258	\$ 7,500	43.43%
68100 Telephone Expense	\$ 15,081	\$ 31,410	48.01%
68400 Travel Expense	\$ 72,781	\$ 237,490	30.65%
68405 Staff Local Travel	\$ 594	\$ 5,665	10.49%
68575 Project Services - UID/SOAP	\$ 3,665	\$ 21,000	17.45%
68555 ASC Database Enhancements (National Registry of AMCs)	\$ -	\$ 36,000	0.00%
68700 Grant - Expense			
68705 Appraisal Foundation Grant	\$ 175,000	\$ 350,000	50.00%
68710 State Grant - Investigator Trng	\$ 139,000	\$ 278,000	50.00%
Total 68700 Grant - Expense	\$ 314,000	\$ 628,000	50.00%
Total Expenses	\$ 1,737,800	\$ 3,867,498	44.93%
Net Income	\$ 204,336	\$ (420,123)	-48.64%

Appraisal Subcommittee	
Balance Sheet	
As of March 31, 2019	
	Total
ASSETS	
Current Assets	
Bank Accounts	
821 AS Registry Fee Account	
821G Registry Fees- Unrestricted Acc	4,043,274
821T Incremental Fee - Restricted	4,706,932
Total 821 AS Registry Fee Account	\$ 8,750,206
Total Bank Accounts	\$ 8,750,206
Accounts Receivable	
11000 Accounts Receivable	296,489
Total Accounts Receivable	\$ 296,489
Total Current Assets	\$ 9,046,695
Fixed Assets	
15000 Furniture and Equipment	
15100 Furniture	31,803
15200 Software and Equipment	355,317
15300 Server Hardware and Equipment	98,572
15305 NR of Appraisers Database	303,594
15310 NR of AMCs Database	87,640
Total 15000 Furniture and Equipment	\$ 876,926
17000 Accumulated Depreciation	
17100 Furniture - Accum Depr.	-31,230
17200 Accum Depr. - Software & Equip.	-355,317
17300 Accum Depr. - Server	-97,216
17305 Accum Depr. - NR of A Database	-168,660
17310 Accum Depr. - NR of AMCs D-base	-20,650
Total 17000 Accumulated Depreciation	-\$ 673,073
Total Fixed Assets	\$ 203,852
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 9,250,548

LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	
Liabilities	
Current Liabilities	
Accounts Payable	
20001 Accounts Payable	23,086
20002 Travel Payable	1,740
20003 Grants Payable	230,898
Total 20001 Accounts Payable	\$ 255,724
Total Accounts Payable	\$ 255,724
Other Current Liabilities	
21019 Deferred Revenue FY19 (CY)	723,484
24000 Payroll Liabilities	
24100 Accrued Payroll & Benefits	\$ (0)
24200 Accrued Annual Leave	229,493
Total 24000 Payroll Liabilities	\$ 229,492
Total Other Current Liabilities	\$ 952,976
Total Current Liabilities	\$ 1,208,701
Long-Term Liabilities	
25000 Restricted Incremental Fees	
25012 Restricted Incremental Fees 12	149,131
25013 Restricted Incremental Fees 13	313,906
25014 Restricted Incremental Fees 14	379,993
25015 Restricted Incremental Fees 15	376,869
25016 Restricted Incremental Fees 16	364,076
25017 Restricted Incremental Fees 17	368,974
25018 Restricted Incremental Fees 18	364,489
25019 Restricted Incremental Fees 19	236,786
25020 Restricted Incremental Fees 20	66,435
25021 Restricted Incremental Fees 21	3,784
25022 Restricted Incremental Fees 22	450
25023 Restricted Incremental Fees 23	304
Total 25000 Restricted Incremental Fees	\$ 2,625,197
26000 Deferred Revenue	
26020 Deferred Revenue - 2020	442,975
26021 Deferred Revenue - 2021	25,200
26022 Deferred Revenue - 2022	2,900
26023 Deferred Revenue - 2023	1,925
Total 26000 Deferred Revenue	\$ 473,000
27000 Deferred Rent	\$ 0
Total Long-Term Liabilities	\$ 3,098,197
Total Liabilities	\$ 4,306,898
Equity	4,943,650
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	\$ 9,250,548

■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■

Appraisal Subcommittee

Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council

TO: Appraisal Subcommittee

FROM: Girard Hull, Financial Manager

DATE: May 8, 2019

RE: ASC FY18 Budget vs. Actual Financial Data

In March of 2019, the fiscal year 2018 (FY18) annual audit for the Appraisal Subcommittee (ASC) was completed by the accounting firm of O'Connor & Drew, P.C. We are pleased to report that we received a clean opinion with no findings, weaknesses, compliance issues, or internal control deficiencies.

The ASC's FY18 approved budget totaled roughly \$3.6M. As of September 30, 2018, the ASC budget was expended at 99% with total FY18 expenditures also amounting to \$3.6M and \$17K remaining as unspent surplus funds.

The FY18 revenue for the ASC totaled \$3.6M. Projected FY18 revenue was \$3.8M. This amounts to \$193K less or 95% of the projected FY18 revenue. The FY18 revenue of \$3.6M exceeded FY17 revenue of \$3.4M by \$219K, equivalent to a roughly 6% increase.

FY18 net reserve increase for the ASC amounted to \$17K in comparison to the budgeted net reserve loss of approximately -\$154K.

During FY18, the ASC collected approximately \$1.6M in restricted incremental fees for which \$1.1M will be transferred back into the available operating account.

In FY18, the ASC awarded a \$350K grant to the Appraisal Foundation (TAF) for grant-eligible activities of the Appraisal Standards Board and Appraiser Qualifications Board. The ASC also provided a \$310K grant to the States, administered by TAF, for the FY18 State Investigator Program. The ASC reimbursed TAF \$333K, or 95% of awarded funds, for FY18 TAF grant-eligible expenditures and \$213K, or 69% of awarded funds, for grant-eligible expenditures administered by TAF for the FY18 State Grant Investigator Training Program.

Included in the FY18 Audit, which is attached, is the ASC FY18 *Statements of Net Costs, For the Years Ended September 30, 2018* includes the sequestration amount of 6.6% of available cash receipts. The amount of the FY18 funds sequestered was \$277K.

In conclusion, based upon all available information, we do not foresee any risks or contingencies that may significantly or adversely affect our normal financial operations.

Attachment: FY18 ASC Audit Report

APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2018

APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE

Financial Statements

September 30, 2018 and 2017

C O N T E N T S

Independent Auditors' Report	1-4
Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited)	5-16
Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	17
Statements of Net Cost	18
Statements of Changes in Net Position	19
Statements of Budgetary Resources	20
Notes to the Financial Statements	21-27



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Appraisal Subcommittee of the
Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council
Washington, DC 20005

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Appraisal Subcommittee, which comprise the balance sheets as of September 30, 2018 and 2017, the related statements of net cost, changes in net position and budgetary resources for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") Bulletin No. 19-01, *Audit Requirements for Federal Financial Statements*. Those standards and OMB Bulletin No. 19-01, require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion on Financial Statements

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Appraisal Subcommittee as of September 30, 2018 and 2017, and its net cost, changes in net position and budgetary resources for the years then ended, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

U.S. generally accepted accounting principles require that the information in the *Management's Discussion and Analysis* ("MD&A") section be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with U.S. generally accepted government auditing standards, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Appraisal Subcommittee's internal control over financial reporting ("internal control") to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Appraisal Subcommittee's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Appraisal Subcommittee's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Report on Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Appraisal Subcommittee's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* or OMB Bulletin No. 19-01.

Management's Responsibility for Internal Control and Compliance

Appraisal Subcommittee's management is responsible for (1) evaluating effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting based on criteria established under the Federal Managers Financial Integrity Act ("FMFIA"), (2) providing a statement of assurance on the overall effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, and (3) ensuring compliance with other applicable laws and regulations.

Auditors' Responsibilities

We are responsible for (1) obtaining a sufficient understanding of internal control over financial reporting to plan the audit, (2) testing compliance with certain provisions of laws and regulations that have a direct and material effect on the financial statements and applicable laws for which OMB Bulletin No. 19-01 requires testing, and (3) applying certain limited procedures with respect to the MD&A.

We did not evaluate all internal controls relevant to operating objectives as broadly established by the FMFIA, such as those controls relevant to preparing statistical reports and ensuring efficient operations. We limited our internal control testing to testing internal control over financial reporting. Because of inherent limitations in internal control, misstatements due to error or fraud, losses, or noncompliance may nevertheless occur and not be detected. We also caution that projecting our audit results to future periods is subject to risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with controls may deteriorate. In addition, we caution that our internal control testing may not be sufficient for other purposes.

We did not test compliance with all laws and regulations applicable to Appraisal Subcommittee. We limited our tests of compliance to certain provisions of laws and regulations that have a direct and material effect on the financial statements and those required by OMB Bulletin No. 19-01 that we deemed applicable to Appraisal Subcommittee's financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2018. We caution that noncompliance with laws and regulations may occur and not be detected by these tests and that such testing may not be sufficient for other purposes.

Purpose of the Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and the Report on Compliance and Other Matters

The purpose of the Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and the Report on Compliance and Other Matters sections of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Appraisal Subcommittee's internal control or on compliance. These reports are an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Appraisal Subcommittee's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, these reports are not suitable for any other purpose.

O'Connor and Drew, P.C.

**Certified Public Accountants
Braintree, Massachusetts**

March 8, 2019

Appraisal Subcommittee

Management's Discussion and Analysis

September 30, 2018

ASC History

The Appraisal Subcommittee (“ASC”) of the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (“FFIEC”) was created on August 9, 1989, pursuant to Title XI of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989 (“Title XI”). Title XI’s purpose is to “provide that Federal financial and public policy interests in real estate transactions will be protected by requiring that real estate appraisals utilized in connection with federally related transactions are performed in writing, in accordance with uniform standards, by individuals whose competency has been demonstrated and whose professional conduct will be subject to effective supervision.”

In general, the ASC oversees the real estate appraisal process as it relates to federally related transactions, as defined in Section 1121(4) of Title XI(1). The ASC is an FFIEC subcommittee. The FFIEC was established pursuant to Title X of the Financial Institutions Regulatory and Interest Rate Control Act of 1978. The FFIEC is an interagency body empowered to set uniform principles for the examination of federally regulated financial institutions.

Section 1103 of Title XI sets out the ASC’s general responsibilities:

- Monitor the requirements for the certification and licensing of appraisers established by the States, Territories, and the District of Columbia (“States”). The ASC reviews each State’s compliance with the requirements of Title XI and is authorized to take action against non-complying States;
- Monitor the requirements established by the Federal financial institution’s regulatory agencies regarding appraisal standards for federally related transactions;
- Maintain a national registry of State licensed and certified appraisers (Registry) who may perform appraisals in connection with federally related transactions; and
- Monitor and review the practices, procedures, activities, and organizational structure of the Appraisal Foundation (Foundation).

On July 21, 2010, the President signed into law the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (“Dodd-Frank Act”) which includes amendments to Title XI. These statutory amendments expanded the ASC's general responsibilities within each of the aforementioned areas. The ASC will submit a report on its activities during the preceding year annually, by June 15th.

The Dodd-Frank bill also requires the registration and supervision of the operations and activities of appraisal management companies. The ASC will eventually monitor the States' regulations of these entities and maintain a National Registry of Appraisal Management Companies that are either registered with and subject to supervision of a State appraiser certifying and licensing agency or are operating subsidiaries of a federally regulated financial institution.

Appraisal Subcommittee

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Continued

September 30, 2018

Before the enactment of Title XI, there were no universally accepted appraisal content standards, no system of licensing appraisers, no appraiser education and experience qualification standards, and no laws requiring the use of appraisals. Title XI created a regulatory framework that includes federal bank regulatory agencies, a federal agency with authority to monitor State activities, a nonprofit appraisal organization, and State agencies that license and certify appraisers.

Title XI also requires the ASC to:

- make grants in such amounts as it deems appropriate to the Foundation to help defray costs relating to the activities of the Appraisal Standards Board (“ASB”) and Appraiser Qualifications Board (“AQB”)
- make grants to State appraiser certifying and licensing agencies in accordance with policies developed by the ASC to support the efforts of such agencies to comply with Title XI, including—
 - the complaint process, complaint investigations, and appraiser enforcement activities of such agencies
 - the submission of data on State licensed and certified appraisers and AMCs to the Appraiser Registry and the AMC Registry, including information affirming that appraisers or AMCs meet required qualification criteria, and information on formal and informal disciplinary actions
 - reporting to all State appraiser certifying and licensing agencies when a license or certification is surrendered, revoked or suspended

The ASC has seven members, each designated respectively by the head of their agency, including the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (“Federal Reserve”), Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (“CFPB”), Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”), National Credit Union Administration (“NCUA”), Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (“OCC”), Federal Housing Finance Agency (“FHFA”), and U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (“HUD”).

Appraisal Subcommittee

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Continued

September 30, 2018

The Appraisal Subcommittee's ("ASC") Mission Statement:

To provide federal oversight of State appraiser regulatory programs and a monitoring framework for the Appraisal Foundation and the Federal Financial Institutions Regulatory Agencies in their roles to protect federal financial and public policy interests in real estate appraisals utilized in federally related transactions.

Strategic Goals


1. Promote Title XI-compliant State Appraiser Programs and AMC Programs;
2. Carry out Title XI monitoring functions;
3. Administer Title XI Grant Programs;
4. Finalize implementation of Advisory Committee recommendations;
5. Maintain the National Registries (Appraiser Registry and AMC Registry);
6. Prudently manage ASC resources; and
7. Partner with the Valuation Stakeholders to Facilitate Effective and Efficient Valuation Services and Regulation

Appraisal Subcommittee

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Continued

September 30, 2018

Appraisal Subcommittee Organizational Chart



Appraisal Subcommittee

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Continued

September 30, 2018

Performance Goals

As required by Title XI, the ASC monitors each State's Program for compliance with Title XI, AQB Criteria and ASC Policy Statements. Current Policy Statements are set forth in Appendix H that follows this Annual Report. Appraisers credentialed by State Programs that do not comply with the requirements of Title XI may be prohibited from performing appraisals of real property involved in federally related transactions in that State. The ASC's rating criteria of the State Programs focuses on three key components: (1) complying with Title XI mandates and requirements of ASC Policy Statements; (2) maintaining a strong regulatory program; and (3) limiting overall risk of Program failure.

The ASC monitors State Programs largely through on-site visits to the States; the ASC performs an on-site Compliance Review of each State at least once every two years. Programs that evidence noncompliance in one or more areas may be subject to additional oversight, including Follow-up Reviews, an accelerated Review Cycle and/or off-site monitoring.

The ASC identifies State Programs that may have a significant impact on the nation's appraiser regulatory system. The following 14 States, listed alphabetically, collectively represent over 50% of the credentialed appraisers on the Appraiser Registry: California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Michigan, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, Virginia and Washington. The ASC performs Priority Contact visits with these States in those years when Compliance Reviews are not scheduled. The ASC also conducts a Priority Contact visit for individual States when a specific concern warrants special attention by the ASC. The primary purpose of the Priority Contact visit is to review topical issues, evaluate regulatory compliance issues and maintain a close working relationship with the State.

The ASC began 25 State Appraiser Program Compliance Reviews in 2018 of which 19 were finalized. Two State Appraiser Program Compliance Reviews which began in 2017 were finalized. Of the 21 State Appraiser Program Compliance Reviews finalized in 2018, 6 States were rated to be Excellent (29%); 10 States were rated to be Good (48%); 5 States were rated to be Needs Improvement (24%); and no States were rated to be Not Satisfactory or Poor. The ASC began 3 State Appraiser Program Follow-up Reviews in 2018, of which 2 were finalized. The ASC performed 5 State Appraiser Program Priority Contacts.

The ASC began 3 State Appraisal Management Company Program Compliance Reviews in 2018 of which 2 were finalized. Of the 2 Compliance Reviews finalized in 2018, both were rated to be Good.

Appraisal Subcommittee

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Continued

September 30, 2018

ASC Organizational Overviews

Compliance Review Process

The ASC's Compliance Review process is a risk-based review process, focusing on areas of State Programs that are not in compliance with Title XI or that exhibit characteristics which could lead to non-compliance. Effective June 1, 2013, the ASC refined its Compliance Review process to better identify various levels of compliance.

Compliance Reviews are scheduled over a three to four-day period to coincide with a meeting of the State Program's decision-making body whenever possible. Preliminary Findings are communicated to the State in an ASC staff report and the State is given 60 days to respond. ASC staff then considers the State's response and makes formal recommendations for final disposition. The ASC, or its designated representative acting under delegated authority, considers the ASC staff report and recommendations along with responses from the State Program before rendering a decision. A final Compliance Review Report and letter to the State with a determination regarding the State's compliance with Title XI is then issued. Actions taken under delegated authority are presented to the ASC at its next regularly scheduled meeting.

State Programs are issued one of the following Findings:

ASC Finding	Rating Criteria	Review Cycle*
Excellent	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• State meets all Title XI mandates and complies with requirements of ASC Policy Statements• State maintains a strong regulatory Program• Very low risk of Program failure	2-year
Good	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• State meets the majority of Title XI mandates and complies with the majority of ASC Policy Statement requirements• Deficiencies are minor in nature• State is adequately addressing deficiencies identified and correcting them in the normal course of business• State maintains an effective regulatory Program• Low risk of Program failure	2-year

Appraisal Subcommittee

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Continued

September 30, 2018

Needs Improvement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State does not meet all Title XI mandates and does not comply with all requirements of ASC Policy Statements • Deficiencies are material but manageable and if not corrected in a timely manner pose a potential risk to the Program • State may have a history of repeated deficiencies but is showing progress toward correcting deficiencies • State regulatory Program needs improvement • Moderate risk of Program failure 	2-year with additional monitoring
Not Satisfactory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State does not meet all Title XI mandates and does not comply with all requirements of ASC Policy Statements • Deficiencies present a significant risk and if not corrected in a timely manner pose a well-defined risk to the Program • State may have a history of repeated deficiencies and requires more supervision to ensure corrective actions are progressing • State regulatory Program has substantial deficiencies • Substantial risk of Program failure 	1-year
Poor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State does not meet Title XI mandates and does not comply with requirements of ASC Policy Statements • Deficiencies are significant and severe, require immediate attention and if not corrected represent critical flaws in the Program • State may have a history of repeated deficiencies and may show a lack of willingness or ability to correct deficiencies • High risk of Program failure 	Continuous monitoring

**Program history or nature of deficiency may warrant a more accelerated Review Cycle.*

Appraisal Subcommittee

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Continued

September 30, 2018

Appraiser Registry

Title XI requires the ASC to maintain an Appraiser Registry of State certified and licensed appraisers who are eligible to perform appraisals in federally related transactions. As of December 31, 2018, the Appraiser Registry contained almost 95,000 appraiser credentials down 1% from the roughly 96,000 entries at the end of 2017, and down 22% from the peak in 2007 of over 121,000.

The Appraiser Registry is accessible at the ASC's website (www.asc.gov), and is used by appraisers, Federal and State agencies, financial institutions, users of appraisal services, law enforcement, and consumers. Use of the Appraiser Registry is free. The Appraiser Registry allows users to determine whether an appraiser is State certified or licensed to perform appraisals in connection with federally related transactions. Users can also access an appraiser's credential status and determine whether the appraiser's credential is currently suspended, revoked, or surrendered in lieu of State disciplinary action.

The Appraiser Registry facilitates information sharing that assists State Programs in enforcing their appraiser-related statutes and regulations, including temporary practice and reciprocity. Financial institutions and other users also can access the Appraiser Registry to:

- Receive automatic notifications about new revocations, suspensions, surrenders, and certification/license expirations
- Download publicly available information or parts of the Appraiser Registry into predefined queries and user- customized queries
- Verify appraiser credentials
- Set up automatic queries of Appraiser Registry information using a web service which allows computer systems used by lenders, regulatory agencies, and other authorized parties to integrate directly with the Appraiser Registry

The Appraiser Registry also contains non-public data (such as certain disciplinary actions other than suspensions, revocations or voluntary surrenders). Access to nonpublic data is restricted to authorized State regulatory agency representatives.

Appraisal Subcommittee

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Continued

September 30, 2018

In 2010, the ASC upgraded the Appraiser Registry to allow States to submit data to the ASC directly from their credential tracking applications. By the end of 2018, 38% of the States were reporting all their appraiser data directly into the Appraiser Registry and all States were entering their appraiser disciplinary data into the Appraiser Registry as a supplement to the data files sent by the State periodically.

These upgrades reduced costs, increased efficiency, and allowed States the opportunity to provide almost immediate updates to the Appraiser Registry, making it a more effective tool for users of appraisal services as well as consumers and law enforcement.

States reported 14,713 disciplinary actions taken against appraisers for misconduct or wrongdoing over the past 10 years.

National Registry of AMCs (AMC Registry)

Title XI requires the ASC to maintain the AMC Registry of those AMCs that are either:

(1) registered with and subject to supervision by a State that has elected to register and supervise AMCs; or

(2) are operating subsidiaries of a Federally regulated financial institution (Federally regulated AMCs).

The ASC issued a final rule on collection and transmission of annual AMC Registry Fees. The AMC Registry Fee Rule was published in the September 25, 2017 issue of the *Federal Register* and went into effect on November 24, 2017.

The ASC recognizes that participating States may need to amend their rules and/or regulations, or revise their operating procedures, to implement AMC registry fees. After June 4, 2020, any participating State's AMC Program will be reviewed for compliance with the AMC Registry Fee Rule as part of the Compliance Review process.

Title XI imposes a statutory restriction on performance of services by AMCs for a federally related transaction (FRT) that applies after August 10, 2018, for AMCs operating in a State that elected not to register and supervise AMCs in accordance with the AMC Rule. The restriction on performance of services for FRTs will not impact an AMC so long as the AMC is registered with a State that has elected to register and supervise AMCs or is subject to oversight by a Federal financial institutions regulatory agency.

Appraisal Subcommittee

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Continued

September 30, 2018

The AMC Registry is used by appraisers, Federal and State agencies, financial institutions, users of appraisal services, law enforcement, and consumers. Use of the AMC Registry is free.

ASC Grants

Foundation Grant

Title XI requires the ASC “to make grants in such amounts as it deems appropriate to the [Foundation], to help defray those costs of the Foundation relating to the activities of the [ASB] and [AQB].”

The Foundation annually submits grant requests to coincide with the ASC's fiscal year for consideration as part of the ASC's budget process. As part of its review of the Foundation's grant proposal, the ASC evaluates whether amounts requested are for “grant-eligible activities” under the ASC's Foundation Grant Policy. For ASB, AQB or BOT expenses to be considered as “grant eligible activities,” they must meet the following requirements:

- ASB expenses must be related to the development, interpretation, amendment or advancement of USPAP, or related special projects and be included in the approved grant budget
- AQB expenses must be related to the development, interpretation, amendment or advancement of the AQB Criteria or related special projects, or maintenance of the National Uniform Examination and be included in the approved grant budget
- BOT expenses must be related to the development, interpretation, amendment or advancement of the USPAP or the AQB Criteria and be included in the approved grant budget
- The ASC evaluates the impact of grant funding on the ASC's financial condition to ensure consistency with its operating standards for maintaining appropriate reserves. The ASC awarded \$350,000 in grant funds to the Foundation in fiscal year 2018. Since the ASC's inception in 1989, it has provided approximately \$21.3 million in grant funds for the Foundation for their Title IX obligations.

Approved grant funds generally are disbursed monthly after the Foundation submits a detailed request for reimbursement that includes a summary of the amount and types of expenses and supporting documentation. ASC staff reviews each reimbursement request to ensure expenses requested for reimbursement are related to “grant-eligible activities” and that the expenses were included in the annual grant budget.

Appraisal Subcommittee

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Continued

September 30, 2018

The ASC employs an independent auditing firm on an annual basis to perform an agreed upon procedures engagement in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants relating to the Foundation grant and the applicable requirements of OMB Circular A-122. The purpose of the engagement is to:

- Ascertain that grant funds are expended for the activities allowed in the grant
- Ascertain that costs charged to the grant are allowed under the grant agreement
- Note whether specific service or expenditure levels are maintained
- Ascertain whether minimum or maximum limits for specified services are met
- Determine whether funds were obligated within the period of availability and obligations were liquidated within the required time period
- Determine whether revenues are correctly recorded and disbursed in accordance with the grant/program requirements
- Determine whether activities related to the grant occurred when the Foundation incurred the expenditure
- Ascertain the costs charged to the meetings are in compliance with the grant agreement
- Ascertain that the costs charged to the grant for conference calls are in compliance with the grant agreement

State Grant

Title XI also requires the ASC to make grants to the States in accordance with policies developed by the ASC in support of State enforcement activities, in addition to other areas. The ASC provided grant funds in 2018 in the amount \$310,000, administered by the Foundation, in support of the States for the development, presentation and hosting of State Investigator Training Courses. ASC grant funds paid for attendees' meeting materials, lodging and travel expenses for up to three individuals from each State. The ASC continues to review other options for the use of State grant funds, including educational programs for State regulators.

Appraisal Subcommittee

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Continued

September 30, 2018

Overview of the Financial Statements

The Management's Discussion and Analysis introduces ASC's principal statements. The principal statements include: (1) balance sheets, (2) statements of net cost, (3) statements of changes in net position, (4) statements of budgetary resources, and (5) notes to financial statements.

Balance Sheets – The balance sheet is a summary of assets, liabilities and net position for each fiscal year. It includes assets in possession or managed by the entity exclusive of items subject to stewardship reporting (assets), amounts owed by the entity (liabilities), and amounts which comprise the difference (net position).

SUMMARY BALANCE SHEETS

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>Inc / (Dec)</u>
Total Assets	\$ 9,149,846	\$ 8,717,853	\$ 431,993
Total Liabilities	\$ 4,410,173	\$ 3,995,148	\$ 415,025
Net Position	\$ 4,739,673	\$ 4,722,705	\$ 16,968

*Net position consists entirely of cumulative results of operations - other funds

Statements of Net Cost – The statements of net cost are designed to show separately the components of the net cost of the reporting entity's operations for the period. The net cost of operations is the gross cost incurred by the reporting entity less any exchange revenue earned from its activities.

SUMMARY STATEMENTS OF NET COST

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>Inc / (Dec)</u>
Public costs	\$ 3,630,136	\$ 3,471,615	\$ 158,521
Less: Earned revenue	\$ (3,647,104)	\$ (3,428,245)	\$ (218,859)
Net public costs	\$ (16,968)	\$ 43,370	\$ (60,338)

APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE

Balance Sheets

As of September 30,

Assets

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>
Assets:		
Intragovernmental Assets:		
Fund balance with Treasury	\$ 5,887,155	\$ 5,719,284
Restricted fund balance with Treasury	<u>2,472,990</u>	<u>2,097,334</u>
Total Intragovernmental Assets:	8,360,145	7,816,618
Accounts receivable	517,064	597,005
Property and Equipment, net	<u>272,637</u>	<u>304,230</u>
Total Assets	<u>\$ 9,149,846</u>	<u>\$ 8,717,853</u>

Liabilities and Net Position

Liabilities:		
Intragovernmental Liabilities:		
Unearned revenues	\$ 1,505,547	\$ 1,403,608
Restricted funds held for others	<u>2,472,990</u>	<u>2,097,334</u>
Total Intragovernmental Liabilities:	3,978,537	3,500,942
Accounts payable	52,558	50,072
Grants payable	54,987	109,895
Accrued funded rent	-	14,504
Accrued funded payroll and leave	81,479	79,182
Unfunded leave	<u>242,612</u>	<u>240,553</u>
Total Liabilities	<u>4,410,173</u>	<u>3,995,148</u>
Net Position:		
Unexpended appropriations - other funds	\$ -	\$ -
Cumulative results of operations - other funds	<u>4,739,673</u>	<u>4,722,705</u>
Total Net Position	<u>4,739,673</u>	<u>4,722,705</u>
Total Liabilities and Net Position	<u>\$ 9,149,846</u>	<u>\$ 8,717,853</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE

Statements of Net Cost

For the Years Ended September 30,

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>
Program Costs:		
Public costs	\$ 3,630,136	\$ 3,471,615
Less: Earned revenue from public	<u>(3,647,104)</u>	<u>(3,428,245)</u>
Net public costs	<u>\$ (16,968)</u>	<u>\$ 43,370</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE

Statements of Changes in Net Position

For the Years Ended September 30,

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>
Cumulative Results of Operations:		
Beginning Balance	\$ 4,722,705	\$ 4,766,075
Beginning Balance, As Adjusted	<u>4,722,705</u>	<u>4,766,075</u>
Budgetary Financing Sources:		
Appropriations used	-	-
Other Financing Sources:		
Imputed financing	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Total Financing Sources	-	-
Net Cost of Operations (+/-)	<u>16,968</u>	<u>(43,370)</u>
Net Change	16,968	(43,370)
 Cumulative Results of Operations	 <u>\$ 4,739,673</u>	 <u>\$ 4,722,705</u>
 Unexpended Appropriations:		
Beginning Balance	\$ <u>-</u>	\$ <u>-</u>
Beginning Balance, As Adjusted	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Budgetary Financing Sources:		
Appropriations received	3,609,662	3,388,967
Other adjustments	-	-
Appropriations used	<u>(3,609,662)</u>	<u>(3,388,967)</u>
Total Budgetary Financing Sources	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Total Unexpended Appropriations	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
 Net Position	 <u>\$ -</u>	 <u>\$ -</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE

Statements of Budgetary Resources

For the Years Ended September 30,

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>
	<u>Budgetary</u>	<u>Budgetary</u>
Budgetary Resources:		
Unobligated balance brought forward, Oct 1	\$ <u>4,143,475</u>	\$ 4,336,977
Unobligated balance brought forward, Oct 1, as adjusted	4,143,475	4,336,977
Recoveries from prior year unpaid obligations	30,660	343,435
Other changes in unobligated balance	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Unobligated balance from prior year budget authority, net	4,174,135	4,680,412
Appropriations (Discretionary and Mandatory)	<u>3,609,662</u>	<u>3,388,967</u>
Total Budgetary Resources	<u>\$ 7,783,797</u>	<u>\$ 8,069,379</u>
Status of Budgetary Resources:		
New obligations and upward adjustments (total)	\$ 4,400,441	\$ 3,925,904
Unobligated balance, end of year		
Apportioned, unexpired accounts	-	-
Unapportioned, unexpired accounts	<u>3,383,357</u>	<u>4,143,475</u>
Unexpired unobligated balance, end of year	3,383,357	4,143,475
Expired unobligated balance, end of year	-	-
Unobligated balance, end of year	3,383,357	4,143,475
Total Status of Budgetary Resources	<u>\$ 7,783,798</u>	<u>\$ 8,069,379</u>
Change in Obligated Balance:		
Unpaid obligations:		
Unpaid obligations, brought forward, Oct 1	\$ 466,018	\$ 673,942
New obligations and upward adjustments	4,400,441	3,925,904
Outlays (gross) (-)	(3,583,462)	(3,790,393)
Recoveries of prior year unpaid obligations	<u>(30,660)</u>	<u>(343,435)</u>
Unpaid obligations, end of year	1,252,337	466,018
Uncollected payments:		
Uncollected pymts, Fed sources, brought forward, Oct 1 (-)	-	-
Change in uncollected pymts, Fed sources (+/-)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Uncollected pymts, Fed sources, end of year (-)	-	-
Memorandum (non-add) entries		
Obligated balance, start of year (+/-)	<u>\$ 466,018</u>	<u>\$ 673,942</u>
Obligated balance, end of year (+/-)	<u>\$ 1,252,337</u>	<u>\$ 466,018</u>
Budget Authority and Outlays, Net		
Budget authority, gross (discretionary and mandatory)	\$ 3,609,662	\$ 3,388,967
Actual offsetting collections (discretionary and mandatory)	-	-
Recoveries of prior year paid obligations (discretionary and mandatory)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Budget authority, net (total) (discretionary and mandatory)	3,609,662	3,388,967
Outlays (gross) (discretionary and mandatory)	(3,583,462)	(3,790,393)
Actual offsetting collections (discretionary and mandatory)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Outlays, net (total) (discretionary and mandatory)	<u>(3,583,462)</u>	<u>(3,790,393)</u>
Agency Outlays, net (discretionary and mandatory)	<u>\$ (3,583,462)</u>	<u>\$ (3,790,393)</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE

Notes to the Financial Statements

September 30, 2018 and 2017

Note 1 - **Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

Organization

The Appraisal Subcommittee of the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (the “Appraisal Subcommittee” or “ASC”) was created by Title XI of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (“FIRREA”) of 1989. The mission of the Appraisal Subcommittee is to monitor the certification and licensing programs of the States to determine compliance with Title XI of FIRREA. The purpose of this title is to ensure that the federal financial and public policy interests in real estate related transactions will be protected by requiring that real estate appraisals utilized in connection with federally related transactions are performed in writing, in accordance with uniform standards, by individuals whose competency has been demonstrated and whose professional conduct will be subject to effective supervision. In accordance with Title XI of FIRREA, an appraiser must be registered to perform appraisals connected to federal transactions. Effective January 1, 2012, ASC raised the annual fee assessed to the states from \$25 per registered appraiser to \$40.

The federal financial institutions regulatory agencies include the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (“CFPB”), the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and the National Credit Union Administration. The member agencies of the Appraisal Subcommittee include the federal financial institutions regulatory agencies, the Department of Housing and Urban Development, and the Federal Housing Finance Agency (“FHFA”). The CFPB and FHFA were added as members to the ASC as a result of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (“Dodd-Frank Act”).

Basis of Presentation

These financial statements have been prepared from the accounting records of ASC in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (“GAAP”), and the form and content for entity financial statements specified by the Office of Management and Budget (“OMB”) in OMB Circular No. A-136, as amended. GAAP for federal entities are standards prescribed by the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (“FASAB”), which has been designated the official accounting standards-setting body for the Federal Government by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE

Notes to the Financial Statements - Continued

September 30, 2018 and 2017

Note 1 - **Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - Continued**

Basis of Presentation - continued

OMB Circular No. A-136 requires agencies to prepare financial statements, which include a Balance Sheet, Statement of Net Cost, Statement of Changes in Net Position, and a Statement of Budgetary Resources. The Balance Sheet presents, as of September 30, 2018, amounts of future economic benefits owned or managed by ASC (assets), amounts owed by ASC (liabilities), and amounts, which comprise the difference (net position). The Statement of Net Cost reports the full cost of the program, both direct and indirect costs of the output, and the costs of identifiable supporting services provided by other segments within ASC and other reporting entities. The Statement of Budgetary Resources reports an agency's budgetary activity.

Basis of Accounting

Transactions are recorded on the accrual accounting basis in accordance with OMB Circular No. A-136. Under the accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized when earned, and expenses are recognized when a liability is incurred, without regard to receipt or payment of cash. The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates. Significant assumptions made in these financial statements include future collection of receivables, and deferring revenue on the billing and receipt of the incremental fee from \$25 to \$40.

Fund Balance with U.S. Treasury

Fund Balance with Treasury is the aggregate amount of the ASC's funds with Treasury in expenditure, receipt, and revolving fund accounts. Appropriated funds recorded in expenditure accounts are available to pay current liabilities and finance authorized purchases.

The ASC does not maintain bank accounts of its own, has no disbursing authority, and does not maintain cash held outside of Treasury. Treasury disburses funds for the agency on demand.

APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE

Notes to the Financial Statements - Continued

September 30, 2018 and 2017

Note 1 - **Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - Continued**

Restricted Fund Balance with U.S. Treasury

Restricted fund balance with the U.S. Treasury are amounts restricted by law that the Appraisal Subcommittee received in conjunction with the \$15 dollar fee increase, as permitted by the Dodd-Frank Act. As part of the fee increase that was effective January 1, 2012, Congress specifically required the ASC to set aside 25% of the increase without specifications as to how it could be used. Accordingly, these funds have been classified as a liability on the balance sheets.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable consist of amounts due to the Appraisal Subcommittee for registry fees. As of September 30, 2018 and 2017, management is of the opinion that an allowance for doubtful accounts is not necessary.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is stated at cost. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives ranging from three to ten years. The capitalization threshold is \$5,000 for assets with a useful life of three or more years. For bulk purchases, items will be capitalized when the individual useful lives are at least three years and have an aggregate value of \$15,000 or more.

Unearned Revenues

Registry fees received in advance are deferred and recognized as earned during the applicable fee year.

Annual and Sick Leave Program

Annual leave is accrued as it is earned by employees and is included in personnel compensation and benefits cost. An unfunded liability is recognized for earned but unused annual leave since, from a budgetary standpoint, this annual leave will be paid from future funding sources when the leave is used by employees. The amount accrued is based upon current pay rates of the employees. Sick leave is expensed when used and no liability is recognized as employees have no right to be paid for unused sick leave.

Tax Status

The Appraisal Subcommittee is a federal governmental agency and is therefore generally exempt from income taxes under Section 115 of the Internal Revenue Code.

APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE

Notes to the Financial Statements - Continued

September 30, 2018 and 2017

Note 2 - **Property and Equipment, Net**

Property and equipment at September 30, consist of the following:

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>
Computer Equipment	845,123	757,483
Furniture	<u>31,803</u>	<u>31,803</u>
	876,926	789,286
Less: accumulated depreciation	<u>604,289</u>	<u>485,056</u>
Net Property and Equipment	<u>\$ 272,637</u>	<u>\$ 304,230</u>

Note 3 - **Appraisal Foundation Grant**

Title XI of FIRREA provides that amounts appropriated for, or collected by, the Appraisal Subcommittee be used to make grants to The Appraisal Foundation (the "Foundation") to help defray costs of the Foundation relating to the activities of its Appraisal Standards Board ("ASB"), Appraiser Qualification Board ("AQB"), and State Investigator Training Grants. Since inception of the Appraisal Subcommittee on August 9, 1989, it has made grants to the Foundation under which approximately \$20,623,000 was expended through September 30, 2018. As of September 30, 2018 and 2017, the Appraisal Subcommittee has \$54,987 and 109,895 in undistributed grant funding available to the Foundation for the grant years ending September 30, 2018 and 2017, which is recorded in grants payable.

Note 4 - **Retirement and Benefit Plans**

The Appraisal Subcommittee contributes to the Civil Service Retirement System and the Federal Employees' Retirement System administered by the Office of Personnel Management for the benefit of U.S. Government employees. The retirement plans are participatory. Under the Civil Service Retirement System, the employer and employee each contribute amounts ranging from 7-8 percent of salary to the plan. Under the Federal Employees' Retirement System, 13 percent of salary is contributed by the Appraisal Subcommittee and 1 percent of salary is contributed by the employee.

The Appraisal Subcommittee contributes up to 5 percent of base pay for participants in the Thrift Savings Plan under the Federal Employees Retirement System. Contributions by the Appraisal Subcommittee during fiscal years 2018 and 2017 for the Civil Service Retirement System and the Federal Employees' Retirement System plans aggregated approximately \$282,000 and \$267,000, respectively.

APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE

Notes to the Financial Statements - Continued

September 30, 2018 and 2017

Note 4 - **Retirement and Benefit Plans - Continued**

Although the Appraisal Subcommittee contributes a portion of pension benefits for its employees participating in the retirement plans and withholds the necessary payroll deductions from them, it has no liability for future payments to employees under those programs and is not accountable for the assets of the Civil Service and Federal Employees' Retirement Systems, nor does the Appraisal Subcommittee have actuarial data concerning the accumulated plan benefits or the unfunded pension liability relating to its employees. These amounts are reported by the Office of Personnel Management for the retirement systems and are not allocated to the individual employers. The Office of Personnel Management also accounts for all health and life insurance programs for retired federal employees.

Note 5 - **Interagency Transactions**

The Appraisal Subcommittee had a Memorandum of Understanding with the U.S. General Services Administration ("GSA") to share the costs of office space, including operating costs and real estate taxes, which expired in September 2018. Payments were due on a monthly basis, as billed by GSA. Base rent expense totaled \$235,135 and \$232,007 for the years ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively. As of September 30, 2018, The Appraisal Subcommittee no longer rents out this office space and each employee now works remotely.

In conducting its administrative operations, the Appraisal Subcommittee uses the services of various other federal agencies. The largest of the administrative service arrangements is with the U.S. General Services Administration for certain accounting and reporting functions, and processing of payroll and related benefits. Operating expenses of the Appraisal Subcommittee for fiscal years ended 2018 and 2017 include approximately \$81,000 and \$73,000, respectively, for these services. These costs are included in contracted services on the statements of operations.

APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE

Notes to the Financial Statements - Continued

September 30, 2018 and 2017

Note 6 - **Reconciliation of Net Cost of Operations to Budget**

Reconciliation of Net Cost of Operations to Budget	
	<u>2018</u>
Net Cost	\$ 3,630,136
<i>Components of Net Cost that are not part of Net Outlays:</i>	
Property and equipment depreciation	(119,233)
Change in budgetary resources obligated for goods, services, and benefits ordered but not yet provided	751,346
<i>Increase / (Decrease) in Assets</i>	
Accounts receivable	79,941
<i>(Increase) / Decrease in Liabilities</i>	
Unearned revenues	(101,939)
Accounts payable	7,494
Grants payable	54,908
Accrued funded rent	14,504
Salaries and benefits	(4,356)
<i>Components of Net Outlays that are not part of Net Cost:</i>	
Acquisition of capital assets	87,640
Net Outlays	\$ 4,400,441

APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE

Notes to the Financial Statements - Continued

September 30, 2018 and 2017

Note 6 - **Reconciliation of Net Cost of Operations to Budget - Continued**

A reconciliation of net cost of operations to budget is presented above to show the relationship between accrual-based (financial accounting) information in the statement of net cost and obligation-based (budgetary accounting) information in the statement of budgetary resources. This reconciliation ensures that the proprietary and budgetary accounts in the financial management system are in balance. For FY 2018, the Appraisal Subcommittee reconciled the difference between the \$4.4 million in obligated resources and the \$3.6 million in the net cost of operations by adjusting for offsetting collections, adjustments, recoveries, financing resources not part of the net cost of operations, and depreciation. The details of these reconciliations are as listed above.

Note 7 - **Management's Acceptance of Financial Statements**

Subsequent Events

Management has evaluated subsequent events through March 8, 2019, the date for which the financial statements were available for issuance. Management has accepted the financial statements and did not identify any events subsequent to September 30, 2018 requiring disclosure in the financial statements.

**APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE
OPEN SESSION MEETING MINUTES
FEBRUARY 13, 2019**

LOCATION: Federal Reserve Board – International Square location
1850 K Street NW, Washington, DC 20006

ATTENDEES

ASC MEMBERS: FRB – Art Lindo (Chair)
CFPB – Veronica Spicer
FDIC – Marianne Hatheway
FHFA – Robert Witt
HUD – Cheryl Walker
NCUA – Tim Segerson
OCC – Richard Taft

ASC STAFF: Executive Director – Jim Park
Deputy Executive Director – Denise Graves
General Counsel – Alice Ritter
Financial Manager – Girard Hull
Attorney-Advisor – Ada Bohorfoush
Management and Program Analyst – Lori Schuster
Administrative Officer – Brian Kelly
Policy Manager – Jenny Tidwell

OBSERVERS: Appraisal Institute – Bill Garber
CFPB – Philip Neary
FDIC – Michael Briggs
FDIC – Rich Foley
FDIC – Suzy Gardner
FDIC – Ben Gibbs
FHFA – Ming-Yuen Meyer-Fong
FRB – Carmen Holly
FRB – Matt Suntag
GAO – Sonya Phillips
OCC – Will Binkley
OCC – Kevin Lawton
OCC – Stacy Fluellen
REVAA – Tom Tilton

The Meeting was called to order at 10:00 a.m. by A. Lindo.

REPORTS

- **Chairman**

A. Lindo welcomed observers to the Meeting. He reported the following:

- In 2019, the ASC will begin the process of developing a more robust State grant program.
- The ASC may receive additional requests for temporary waivers in 2019. He spoke with Commissioner Kruse from the North Dakota Department of Financial Institutions (NDDFI) regarding their temporary waiver submission that was submitted by NDDFI, ND Bankers Association and the North Dakota Governor's Office. Commissioner Kruse relayed that NDDFI will submit information to support the submission. J. Park met on January 15th with the Tennessee (TN) Department of Financial Institutions, TN Bankers Association and the TN Real Estate Appraiser Commission to discuss improving appraisal services in the State. R. Taft asked if TriStar Bank has located appraisers to work for them. J. Park responded that Tristar Bank attended the meeting and he felt that it was helpful to all participants. The TN Bankers Association will compile a list of TN appraisers along with their specialties and list them on their website. R. Taft suggested that ASC staff write a White Paper listing the concerns discussed and how they were addressed. A. Lindo noted that assistance from TriStar Bank may be helpful. The White Paper could list the positive outcomes and the issues that still need resolution. M. Hatheway was in agreement with A. Lindo.

- The November 5th ASC Roundtable was successful, and the ASC will plan a third Roundtable this year.

- **Executive Director**

J. Park reported on 2018 highlights:

- The appraisal management company (AMC) Registry opened in July and, to date, five States are inputting data. 26 States received a one-year extension to August 10, 2019, to start an AMC Program. Lenders are voicing concerns that if a State's AMC Program is not operational by August 10, 2019, that it could affect lending in those States. J. Park asked lenders to send their concerns to the ASC and asked how the ASC should convey its message to the public. A. Ritter suggested concerns could be addressed in the Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) on the ASC website. A. Lindo requested that staff draft FAQs for ASC review. R. Taft added that these concerns could be discussed at the Association of Appraiser Regulatory Officials (AARO) spring conference.
- ASC staff initiated the AMC Program Compliance Review process in August; thus far, three State AMC Programs have been reviewed.
- The ASC's lease for office space expired on October 1, 2018. All staff are now working remotely. He said that future ASC Meetings could be held at 1325 G Street NW as there

is available meeting space at that location. Staff will look into the logistics and notify the ASC of their findings.

- 42 States are using the Unique Identifier Number, three are in process and the remaining States need more attention. The ASC may want to consider rulemaking. J. Park said that staff is researching whether the ASC Registries could be augmented to function more like the Nationwide Mortgage Licensing System and Registry.
- Currently, 20 State Appraiser Programs have an Excellent rating, 24 are rated Good and 11 are rated as Needs Improvement.
- The Appraisal Foundation (Foundation) is currently working on two major projects: the virtual training program known as *Practical Applications for Real Estate Appraisers* and changes to the 2020-21 USPAP. A fourth Exposure Draft will be released for comment for the 2020-21 USPAP.
- A lawsuit has been filed in New Jersey regarding the constitutionality of USPAP. V. Spicer asked if this issue has come up previously. A. Ritter responded one State has raised similar questions, but the State did not file pleadings.
- **Delegated State Compliance Reviews**

A. Bohorfoush reported on State Compliance Reviews completed pursuant to delegated authority since the ASC's August 29th Meeting. Eight State Compliance Reviews were finalized and approved by the Executive Director under delegated authority. Arizona,

California, North Carolina, North Dakota and Washington were awarded a Finding of “Excellent” and all will remain on a two-year Review Cycle. Colorado, Minnesota and South Dakota were awarded a Finding of “Good” and all will remain on a two-year Review Cycle.

D. Graves reported that five State Compliance Reviews were finalized and approved by the Chairman under delegated authority. Massachusetts, Michigan, Oregon, Pennsylvania and Vermont all received a finding of Needs Improvement and are subject to specific requirements and monitoring to correct the concerns. V. Spicer asked what the next steps are for Vermont. D. Graves responded that ASC staff will schedule a meeting with the State. Either the Executive Director and/or Deputy Executive Director would meet with Vermont with or without the Policy Manager to find the best plan of action. R. Taft asked if ASC staff are comfortable with the steps these States (excluding Vermont) are taking to improve their Program. D. Graves responded that ASC staff are comfortable monitoring those States as the issues those States are having are not recurring or ongoing issues. M. Hatheway felt that States rated as Needs Improvement may need better training and said it seems enforcement is the biggest issue. D. Graves said that staff attorneys need better enforcement training. R. Taft asked if staff knows what kind of training State staff receive, and if ASC staff should review the materials. A. Lindo suggested staff provide best practices from other States to those that need better training. M. Hatheway said staff could provide a recap of common issues to States with solutions to those problems. A. Lindo asked if States in need of help

attend AARO Conferences. J. Park responded that it varies; a State may attend some or they may send different staff each time.

D. Graves reported that three Follow-up Reviews were performed. Illinois has made progress and a Compliance Review will be conducted in September 2019. New Mexico has improved, and a Compliance Review will be conducted in June 2019. Wisconsin resolved all outstanding issues and is scheduled for a Review in November 2019.

A. Bohorfoush reported that three State AMC Programs were reviewed: Arizona, California and Minnesota. All were given a finding of "Good." The Reports were signed by the Executive Director under delegated authority from the Chairman. D. Graves said that the AMC Compliance Review Reports mirror the Appraiser Program Reports. A. Lindo commended staff for the AMC Reviews.

- **Financial Manager**

G. Hull reported that the Foundation submitted grant reimbursement requests for May-September in the amounts of \$56,610, \$93,980, \$76,363, \$63,230 and \$29,709 respectively. These requests covered costs for Appraiser Qualifications Board (AQB) and Appraisal Standards Board (ASB) expenses for meetings, direct labor and overhead costs, as well as the Investigator Training Program. Of the \$660,000 total grant award, \$139,923 remains unexpended.

G. Hull updated the ASC on revenue and expenses for the first quarter of FY19. Expenses and revenue are in line with projections. Five States are submitting AMC Registry fees. The FY18 audit should be finalized within the next two weeks. R. Taft asked if an analysis could be prepared to project AMC Registry fees. G. Hull responded that staff is putting together trends of payment activity. J. Park added that staff is looking at the average number of AMCs in various States and how many appraisers on their panels are being utilized.

ACTION ITEMS

- **August 29, 2018 Open Session Minutes**

R. Taft made a motion to approve the August 29th open session meeting minutes incorporating edits received by the FDIC. V. Spicer seconded and all members present voted to approve.

- **Reprogramming Request for FY18 Appraisal Foundation Grant**

G. Hull reported that the Foundation submitted a Reprogramming Request for \$25,634. Currently \$43,000 of the Foundation grant remains. The Foundation would like to reprogram \$19,139 of ASB and AQB salaries and fringe benefits along with \$6,495 to be reprogrammed for AQB and ASB travel. This would leave an unspent balance of approximately \$17,000. ASC staff recommends approval of the request. R. Taft made a motion to approve the reprogramming request in the amount of \$25,634. T. Segerson seconded and all members present voted to approve.

- **ASC Roundtable Summary**

J. Park reported on the November 5th ASC Roundtable (Roundtable) held in Washington, DC. There were 66 participants representing 43 organizations, including Federal and State agencies as well as the private and non-profit sectors. V. Spicer suggested minor wording changes and said she would forward them to ASC staff. R. Taft felt that the bullet points could be clarified with more detail. A. Ritter said that she would send out an editable version of the Summary to the ASC to provide edits. After edits are incorporated, the Summary will be sent out for notation vote. A. Bohorfoush said that the Summary would also be in the 2018 ASC Annual Report.

A. Lindo asked if planning has started for the 2019 ASC Roundtable. J. Park responded that he would reach out to the OCC for conference room availability for the first week of November. He suggested contracting with CLI again to assist with logistics. R. Taft suggested picking one or two bullets from the Summary and focus on them for the next Roundtable. A. Lindo also suggested getting feedback from participants.

M. Hatheway asked when the ASC would be reviewing the recommendations provided by the Appraisal Subcommittee Advisory Committee for Development of Regulations (ASCAC) to determine which recommendations should be implemented. There should be a broader discussion on the ASCAC recommendations with staff to determine next steps for the

recommendations. R. Taft requested staff send the ASCAC Recommendations to the ASC.

A. Lindo requested that staff update the ASC on its next steps at the May 8th ASC Meeting.

The Open Session adjourned at 11:30 a.m. The next ASC Meeting is scheduled for May 8, 2019.

DRAFT

**APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE
SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES
APRIL 15, 2019**

LOCATION: Conference Call

ATTENDEES

ASC MEMBERS: FRB – Art Lindo (Chair)
CFPB – Veronica Spicer
FDIC – Marianne Hatheway
FHFA – Robert Witt
HUD – Bobbi Borland
NCUA – Tim Segerson
OCC – Richard Taft

ASC STAFF: Executive Director – Jim Park
Deputy Executive Director – Denise Graves
General Counsel – Alice Ritter
Attorney-Advisor – Ada Bohorfoush
Management and Program Analyst – Lori Schuster

OBSERVERS: CFPB – Deana Krumhansl
CFPB – Philip Neary
CFPB – Paul Sanford
FDIC – Michael Briggs
FDIC – Suzy Gardner
FDIC – Ben Gibbs
FDIC – Mark Mellon
FHFA – Ming-Yuen Meyer-Fong
FRB – Carmen Holly
FRB – Derald Seid
FRB – Matt Suntag
NCUA – Rachel Ackmann
OCC – Kevin Lawton
OCC – Joanne Phillips
OCC – Mitchell Plave

The Meeting was called to order at 12:55 p.m. by A. Lindo.

ACTION ITEMS

- **North Dakota Temporary Waiver Request**

R. Taft made a motion to consider the Request from the North Dakota Governor's Office, the North Dakota Department of Financial Institutions and the North Dakota Bankers Association as a completed Request for purposes of publication in the *Federal Register* to receive public comment on the Request; M. Hatheway seconded. The vote passed 6-1 with B. Borland voting no. A. Lindo instructed ASC staff to prepare the 30-day Notice for Comment for publication in the *Federal Register*. Members should submit any specific questions to be asked in the Notice to A. Ritter. A. Ritter said a draft will be completed this afternoon and sent to ASC members for review and edit.

The Special Meeting adjourned at 1:00 p.m.

APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE
Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council

ANNUAL
REPORT
2018

Letter of Transmittal

June 14, 2019

The President of the Senate
The Speaker of the House of Representatives

Pursuant to Section 1103 of Title XI of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989, as amended (12 U.S.C. § 3332), I am pleased to submit the 2018 Annual Report of the Appraisal Subcommittee of the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Arthur Lindo".

Arthur Lindo
Chairman

Table of Contents

Introduction	7
Message from the Chair	9
ASC Member Representatives	11
Administration of the Appraisal Subcommittee	13
ASC Meeting Procedures	13
State Appraiser and AMC Regulatory Program Oversight	15
ASC Roundtable Summary	17
Appraisal Foundation Monitoring and Oversight	19
ASC Grants	21
Appraiser Registry	23
AMC Registry	25
Appraisal Complaint National Hotline	27
Activities of the ASC Member Agencies	29
Interagency Activity	31
Member Agency Activity	33
Activities of the Appraisal Foundation	37
Financial Status of the ASC	39
Appendix A— <i>Financial Statements</i>	43
Appendix B— <i>2018 Compliance Review Findings</i>	73
Appendix C— <i>National Appraiser Credential Statistics</i>	77
Appendix D— <i>Tools for Monitoring State Compliance with Title XI</i>	79
Appendix E— <i>Appraiser Disciplinary Actions Reported by States</i>	81
Appendix F— <i>Appraisal Complaint National Hotline</i>	83
Appendix G— <i>Agency Complaint Data</i>	85
Appendix H— <i>Reference Materials</i>	87

Introduction

The Appraisal Subcommittee

The Appraisal Subcommittee (ASC) of the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (FFIEC)¹ was created on August 9, 1989, pursuant to Title XI of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989 (Title XI of FIRREA or Title XI). Title XI's purpose is to "provide that Federal financial and public policy interests in real estate transactions will be protected by requiring that real estate appraisals utilized in connection with federally related transactions are performed in writing, in accordance with uniform standards, and by individuals whose competency has been demonstrated and whose professional conduct will be subject to effective supervision."² In general, the ASC oversees the real estate appraisal regulatory framework as it relates to federally related transactions as defined in Title XI.³

The 2010 Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act) included amendments to Title XI. As amended, Title XI requires the ASC to:

- monitor the requirements established by States:
 - for the certification and licensing of individuals who are qualified to perform appraisals in connection with federally related transactions, including a code of professional responsibility; and
 - for the registration and supervision of the operations and activities of appraisal management companies (AMCs)
- monitor the requirements established by the Federal financial institutions regulatory agencies with respect to:
 - appraisal standards for federally related transactions under their jurisdiction; and
 - determinations as to which federally related transactions under their jurisdiction require the services of a State certified appraiser and which require the services of a State licensed appraiser
- maintain a National Registry of State certified and licensed appraisers (Appraiser Registry) who are eligible to perform appraisals in federally related transactions
- maintain a National Registry of AMCs (AMC Registry) that either are registered with and subject to supervision of a State appraiser certifying and licensing agency or are operating subsidiaries of a Federally regulated financial institution⁴
- establish and operate an appraisal complaint national hotline, including a toll-free telephone number and email address, for the referral of complaints concerning alleged violations of appraisal independence standards and/or Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP)
- monitor and review the practices, procedures, activities and organizational structure of the Appraisal Foundation (Foundation)
- transmit an annual report to Congress not later than June 15 of each year that describes the way each function assigned to the ASC has been carried out during the preceding year

¹ The FFIEC was established pursuant to Title X of the Financial Institutions Regulatory and Interest Rate Control Act of 1978. It is an interagency body empowered to set uniform principles for the examination of federally regulated financial institutions.

² Title XI § 1101, 12 U.S.C. § 3331.

³ A federally related transaction includes any real estate-related financial transaction which: (a) a Federal financial institutions regulatory agency engages in, contracts for, or regulates; and (b) requires the services of an appraiser under the interagency appraisal rules. [(Title XI § 1121 (4), 12 U.S.C. § 3350), implemented by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency: 12 CFR 34.42(f) and 34.43(a); Federal Reserve Board: 12 CFR 225.62(f) and 225.63(a); Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation: 12 CFR 323.2(f) and 323.3(a); and National Credit Union Administration: 12 CFR 722.2(f) and 722.3(a)]. Based on 2014 Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA) data, at least 90 percent of residential mortgage loan originations are not subject to the Title XI appraisal regulations. (FFIEC report to Congress, *Economic Growth and Regulatory Paperwork Reduction Act*, 82 Federal Register 15900 (March 30, 2017)).

⁴ The final rule to implement collection and transmission of annual AMC Registry fees was published by the ASC in the September 25, 2017 issue of the Federal Register (82 Federal Register 44493). The Final Rule's effective date was November 24, 2017.

Title XI also requires the ASC to:

- make grants in such amounts as it deems appropriate to the Foundation to help defray costs relating to the activities of the Appraisal Standards Board (ASB) and Appraiser Qualifications Board (AQB)
- make grants to State appraiser certifying and licensing agencies in accordance with policies developed by the ASC to support the efforts of such agencies to comply with Title XI, including—
 - the complaint process, complaint investigations, and appraiser enforcement activities of such agencies
 - the submission of data on State licensed and certified appraisers and AMCs to the Appraiser Registry and the AMC Registry, including information affirming that appraisers or AMCs meet required qualification criteria, and information on formal and informal disciplinary actions
 - reporting to all State appraiser certifying and licensing agencies when a license or certification is surrendered, revoked or suspended

An unofficial ASC staff version of Title XI as amended by the Dodd-Frank Act is in Appendix H.

The ASC has seven members, each designated respectively by the head of their agency, including the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Federal Reserve), Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (CFPB), Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), National Credit Union Administration (NCUA), Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA), and U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

Message from the Chair

2017 was an eventful year for the Appraisal Subcommittee. One of the ASC's core responsibilities under Title XI is to monitor the requirements established by the States for certification and licensing of appraisers qualified to perform appraisals in connection with federally related transactions. The ASC continued to implement enhancements to its Compliance Review process of the State appraiser regulatory programs (State Programs or States). No less than every two years, the ASC performs a Compliance Review of each State Program to determine the extent of compliance with Title XI and conformance with AQB Criteria. In 2017, the ASC reviewed 25 State Programs. Continuing a trend starting in 2014, State Programs improved their overall compliance with Title XI. At the conclusion of 2017, there were 12 States rated as Excellent, 11 Good and 2 Needs Improvement. No States were rated as Not Satisfactory or Poor, with 92% of the States being rated as Excellent or Good. All States have been reviewed twice since the new rating system was initiated in 2013 and the ASC has a clear indication that most, if not all, States make significant efforts to achieve an Excellent rating. Although there is still room for improvement, this is a good indication that most States understand Title XI requirements and the ASC's Policy Statements on how to comply with Title XI.

Pursuant to changes resulting from the Dodd-Frank Act, in September of 2017, the ASC published its first rule in over 20 years to implement the statutory requirement to establish a fee structure for the AMC Registry. The rule set the annual AMC registry fee for States with an AMC regulatory program to transmit to the ASC. The AMC Registry framework is complete and will be ready to accept State AMC data no later than July 16, 2018.

On November 13, 2017, the Appraisal Subcommittee hosted a roundtable with over 65 industry leaders from more than 60 private, federal, and state organizations entitled *The Evolving Real Estate Valuation Landscape*. The goal of the roundtable was to identify areas of federal regulation that can be simplified to reduce burden on industry participants and the public. The roundtable identified several issues and areas of concern that may be the subject of future study. The roundtable report is available on page 17.

During 2017, the ASC implemented the appraiser unique identification number (UID). The UID will permit any user of the National Registry of Appraisers (Appraiser Registry) to see all credentials of a single appraiser nationwide regardless of which State license or certification number is entered. As of December 31, 2017, 24 States have implemented UID.

The UID has 3 main objectives:

- Eliminate the transmission of personally identifiable information
- Promote consistent appraiser information of the Appraiser Registry
- Improve the information sharing between all users of the Appraiser Registry

Recognizing the need to upgrade the Appraiser Registry performance, the UID project was initiated by the ASC, and States are voluntarily participating to improve various aspects of appraisal regulation for the numerous stakeholders that rely on appraisers and the Appraiser Registry.

The total number of active appraisal credentials on the Appraiser Registry as of December 31, 2017, was 95,731; this number represents the total number of licenses and certifications, but does not reflect the number of individual appraisers as some appraisers are credentialed in multiple States. With the complete implementation of the UID, the ASC will be able to more accurately identify how many individual real property appraisers are credentialed. The number of credentials is down from 96,856 on December 31, 2016, a drop of 0.5%.

The ASC continued to monitor and review the activities of the Appraisal Foundation (Foundation). In carrying out its monitoring duties, ASC staff regularly attends the meetings of the AQB, ASB, Appraisal Practices Board (APB), and the Board of Trustees (BOT). From time to time, ASC staff and/or the ASC may comment publicly on Foundation activities as they relate to the use of ASC grant funds or actions that impact federally related transactions. The ASC continued to provide grant funds to the Foundation to help defray costs for grant-eligible activities of the AQB and ASB. For fiscal year 2017, the ASC awarded the Foundation \$350,000.

The ASC also funded State grants, administered by the Foundation, in the amount of \$309,085, for the development and support of investigator training courses for State Program personnel. The investigator training courses provide education resources to States in support of their investigative processing of complaints against appraisers. The courses promote best practices in complaint investigation and resolution, and cover topics such as USPAP and proper investigative techniques. In 2017, 125 State employees attended the training, with over 950 attendees over the past eight years. This training was initially developed jointly by the Foundation, the Association of Appraiser Regulatory Officials (AARO) and ASC staff. This specialized training has proven to be invaluable to the States and is likely related to the continued improvement in Title XI compliance by the States. The ASC is also exploring other beneficial educational opportunities for the States.

The ASC continued to operate its Appraisal Complaint National Hotline (Hotline). The Hotline incorporates a toll-free telephone number, e-mail address, and website for referring complainants to the appropriate State and/or Federal agency for complaints of alleged violations of USPAP and/or non-compliance with appraisal independence standards. The Hotline statistics for 2017 are in Appendix F.

The ASC will continue to fulfill its responsibilities under Title XI as amended by the Dodd-Frank Act. The ASC member agencies are committed to supporting the mission of the ASC and providing the ASC with the necessary resources to fulfill its statutory mandates in a transparent and efficient manner.

Appraisal Subcommittee

Member Representatives

The ASC has seven members, each designated respectively by the head of their agency, including the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection, Federal Housing Finance Agency, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, National Credit Union Administration, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. Title XI also requires the FFIEC to appoint a Chairperson from the member representatives to serve a two-year term.



Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System

Chair: Arthur Lindo, June 2013

Member Since: January 2012

Mr. Lindo is Deputy Director, Division of Supervision and Regulation.



Office of the Comptroller of the Currency

Vice Chair: Richard B. Taft

Member Since: August 2015

Mr. Taft is Deputy Comptroller of Credit Risk.



Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection

Member: Veronica Spicer

Member Since: February 2017

Ms. Spicer is Senior Counsel for Enforcement Policy and Strategy

Alternate Member: Philip Neary

Alternate Member Since: February 2017



Department of Housing and Urban Development

Member: Cherylayne Walker

Member Since: August 2017

Ms. Walker is the Director of the Home Valuation Policy Division of HUD

Alternate Member: Bobbi Borland

Alternate Member Since: August 2017



Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation

Member: Marianne Hatheway

Member Since: February 2016

Ms. Hatheway is the Deputy Regional Director, Division of Risk Management Supervision in the FDIC Boston Area Office.

Alternate Member: Rae-Ann Miller

Alternate Member Since: February 2016



Federal Housing Finance Agency

Member: Maria Fernandez

Member Since: October 2014

Ms. Fernandez is Senior Associate Director, Housing and Regulatory Policy.

Alternate Member: Robert Witt

Alternate Member Since: July 2013



National Credit Union Administration

Member: Timothy Segerson

Member Since: May 2013

Mr. Segerson is Deputy Director, Office of Examination and Insurance.

Administration of the Appraisal Subcommittee

Staff Listing

James R. Park, Executive Director

Denise E. Graves, Deputy Executive Director

Alice M. Ritter, General Counsel

Ada L. Bohorfoush, Attorney-Advisor

L. Girard Hull, Financial Manager

Vicki A. Ledbetter-Metcalf, Policy Manager

Jenny Howard Tidwell, Policy Manager

Kristi A. Klamet, Policy Manager

Neal R. Fenochietti, Policy Manager

Claire M. Brooks, Policy Manager

Lori L. Schuster, Management and Program Analyst

Brian T. Kelly, Administrative Officer/Project Manager-IT

Vacant, Administrative Assistant

Vacant, Regulatory Affairs Specialist

Vacant, Administrative Officer

ASC Meeting Procedures

The ASC held regular meetings in February, May, and August 2018. Additionally, the ASC held a special meeting in April 2018 to address an appraiser waiver request from TriStar Bank in Tennessee.

Instructions on how to submit a request to attend the public portion of a meeting are available on the ASC website (www.asc.gov). The meeting agenda is posted in the *Federal Register* and on the website approximately seven business days prior to the scheduled meeting. Following passage of the Dodd-Frank Act, the ASC began holding its meetings in conformance with Section 1104(b) of Title XI, which instructs the ASC to “meet in public session after notice in the *Federal Register*, but [the ASC] may close certain portions of these meetings related to personnel and review of preliminary State audit reports.”

State Appraiser and AMC Regulatory Program Oversight

Pursuant to Title XI, one of the ASC's core functions is to monitor the requirements established by the States⁵ for certification and licensing of appraisers qualified to perform appraisals in connection with federally related transactions. Title XI as amended by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (Dodd-Frank Act)⁶ expanded the ASC's core functions to include monitoring of the requirements established by States that elect to register and supervise the operations and activities of appraisal management companies⁷ (AMCs).⁸

The ASC performs periodic Compliance Reviews of each State appraiser regulatory program (Appraiser Program) to determine compliance or lack thereof with Title XI, and to assess implementation of minimum requirements for credentialing of appraisers as adopted by the Appraiser Qualifications Board (*The Real Property Appraiser Qualification Criteria* or AQB Criteria). As a result of the Dodd-Frank Act amendments to Title XI, States with an AMC regulatory program (AMC Program) will be evaluated during the Compliance Review to determine compliance or lack thereof with Title XI, and to assess implementation of the minimum requirements for State registration and supervision of AMCs as established by the AMC Rule.⁹ The ASC issues Policy Statements to provide States with the necessary information to maintain their Appraiser Programs and AMC Programs in compliance with Title XI. Current Policy Statements are set forth in Appendix H that follows this Annual Report.

The ASC monitors State Appraiser and AMC Programs largely through on-site visits to the States. The ASC performs an on-site Compliance Review of each State at least once every two years. (See Appendix D, *Tools for Monitoring State Compliance with Title XI*.) Programs that evidence noncompliance in one or more areas may be subject to additional oversight, including Follow-up Reviews, an accelerated Review Cycle and/or off-site monitoring. Additionally, appraisers credentialed by

Appraiser Programs that do not comply with the requirements of Title XI may be prohibited from performing appraisals of real property involved in federally related transactions in that State.

The ASC identifies Appraiser Programs that may have a significant impact on the nation's appraiser regulatory system. The following 14 States, listed alphabetically, collectively represent over 50% of the credentialed appraisers on the Appraiser Registry: California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Michigan, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, Virginia and Washington. The ASC performs Priority Contact visits with these States in those years when Compliance Reviews are not scheduled.¹⁰ The ASC also conducts a Priority Contact visit for individual States when a specific concern warrants special attention by the ASC. The primary purpose of the Priority Contact visit is to review topical issues, evaluate regulatory compliance issues and maintain a close working relationship with the State.

⁵ "State" refers to any State, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Guam, and the United States Virgin Islands. (American Samoa does not have a Program).

⁶ Pub. L. 111-203, 124 Stat. 1376.

⁷ Title XI § 1103 (a)(1)(B), 12 U.S.C. § 3332.

⁸ See Title XI § 1121 (11), 12 U.S.C. § 3350 for the definition of "appraisal management company" (AMC).

⁹ The Dodd-Frank Act required the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency; Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System; Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation; National Credit Union Administration; Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection; and Federal Housing Finance Agency to establish, by rule, minimum requirements to be imposed by a participating State appraiser certifying and licensing agency on AMCs doing business in the State. (Title XI § 1124 (a), 12 U.S.C. 3353(a)). Those rules were finalized and published on June 9, 2015, at 80 *Federal Register* 32658 with an effective date of August 10, 2015. (12 CFR 34.210 – 34.216; 12 CFR 225.190 – 225.196; 12 CFR 323.8 –323.14; 12 CFR 1222.20 – 1222.26).

¹⁰ Priority Contact visits may be performed onsite or by telephone.

In 2018, for Appraiser Programs, ASC Policy Managers conducted 21 Reviews; three Follow-up Reviews; and five Priority Contacts. (See Appendix B, *2018 Compliance Review Findings*.)

The ASC's Compliance Review process is a risk-based review process, focusing on areas of State Appraiser or AMC Programs that are not in compliance with Title XI or that exhibit characteristics which could lead to non-compliance.¹¹ The ASC's rating criteria for Compliance Review Reports focus on three key components: (1) complying with Title XI mandates and requirements of ASC Policy Statements;¹² (2) maintaining a strong regulatory program; and (3) limiting overall risk of Program failure.

Compliance Reviews are scheduled over a three to four-day period to coincide with a meeting of the State's Programs decision-making body whenever possible. Preliminary Findings are communicated to the State in an ASC staff report and the State is given 60 days to respond. ASC staff then considers the State's response and makes formal recommendations for final disposition. The ASC, or its designated representative acting under delegated authority, considers the ASC staff report and recommendations along with responses from the State Program before rendering a decision. A final Compliance Review Report and letter to the State with a determination regarding the State's compliance with Title XI is then issued. (See Appendix B, *2018 Compliance Review Findings*.) Actions taken under delegated authority are presented to the ASC at its next regularly scheduled meeting.

State Programs are issued one of the following Findings:

- Excellent
- Good
- Needs Improvement
- Not Satisfactory
- Poor

Of the 21 Appraiser Program Compliance Reviews performed in 2018, 6 States (29%) were found to be Excellent; 10 States (48%) were found to be Good; 5 States (24%) were found to be Needs Improvement; and no State was found to be Not Satisfactory or Poor. The general areas of non-compliance with Title XI and the number of States experiencing those problems are presented in the *2018 Compliance Review Findings* (Appendix B).¹³

In 2018, the ASC began reviewing AMC Programs. As allowed by Title XI, States could request a 1-year extension to delay the implementation period for registration and supervision of AMCs. Twenty-six States requested and were granted extensions which also had to be approved by the FFIEC. Those States will be subject to the Compliance Review process starting in August 2019. In July 2018, the ASC also opened the National Registry of AMCs to allow participating States to begin entering AMCs on the Registry. The ASC completed 2 Compliance Reviews of AMC Programs in 2018 of States that did not request an extension and whose Compliance Reviews were scheduled after the effective date of the AMC Rule (August 10, 2018). Both States were rated as Good. The general areas of non-compliance with Title XI and the number of States experiencing those problems are presented in the *2018 Compliance Review Findings* (Appendix B).

¹¹ AMC Programs are assessed for compliance with Title XI and implementation of the minimum requirements for State registration and supervision of AMCs as established by the AMC Rule.

¹² *Id.*

¹³ The ASC has made a change in the way it reports the Reviews performed in a year. The Annual Report only includes reports which were finalized in the calendar year that is the subject of the Report. For this reason, 4 of the Reviews with on site visits in calendar year 2018 will be reported in the 2019 Annual Report since those reports will be final in 2019.

ASC Roundtable Summary

The Appraisal Subcommittee (ASC) hosted its second annual Roundtable, *The Evolving Real Estate Valuation Landscape II*. The Roundtable took place on Monday, November 5, 2018, at the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) in Washington, DC. Sixty-six participants and 43 organizations representing Federal and State agencies, as well as the private and non-profit sectors took part in the day-long event.

The discussion focused on the integration of financial technology within today's appraisal landscape. The purpose of the Roundtable was to provide a forum for dialogue between stakeholders with varying perspectives and priorities with the goal of developing a common approach to the integration of technology into the valuation process.

The Roundtable started with presentations by panel members representing the U.S. Department of the Treasury, American Bankers Association, Housing Policy Council and Montana Board of Real Estate Appraisers. Following the presentations, participants divided into 8 separate discussion tables to address the topic of technology integration in residential and commercial real property valuation. Particular focus was given to residential and commercial lending where technology is already having an impact. The afternoon session brought all attendees together to address the issues in a plenary setting.

In general, it was acknowledged that technology (e.g., artificial intelligence and data) will continue to evolve the valuation landscape at an ever-increasing pace. Many participants suggested further discussions among Federal and State regulators, valuation providers, standards setters, the securitization market and other real estate valuation industry stakeholders on issues around: (1) parity among the various constituencies; and (2) understanding the applicability, benefits and risks of technology tools, as well as the appropriate restrictions on their use.

The afternoon session, which brought all attendees together, provided a forum for representatives from each of the discussion tables to present a summary of topics addressed in the morning session by the break-out groups. Topics of discussion where there was general interest in further conversation included:

- Importance of integrating human element and technology
- Consideration of uniform standards for data and technology used in all types of valuations (e.g., appraisals, evaluation, automated valuation models [AVMs])
- Advantage of access to more data by the appraisal profession
- Need for definitions/product descriptions for new products such as hybrid and bifurcated appraisals
- Support for review of existing statutes/regulations
- Value of regulators, lenders, the valuation profession, and vendors embracing innovation while understanding and containing underlying risk
- Concern over the speed at which non-regulated institutions may bring technology to the market versus regulated institutions, and the potential impact to the marketplace

Other topics of discussion included:

- Regulation of innovative technology products
- Use of automation in commercial property valuation
- Performing collateral valuation at the beginning of the loan manufacturing process rather than later in the process
- Degree to which AVMs and other automated tools should be used in lieu of traditional appraisals
- Whether it would be appropriate or necessary for and, if so, how and to what degree should appraisal professionals, lenders, regulators and others to have or be allowed access to the growing pools of data

ASC Roundtables provide a valuable forum to share information and facilitate discussion with industry thought leaders involved in real property valuation. In conformance with the ASC's 2019-23 Strategic Plan, the ASC intends to continue hosting events such as this to facilitate effective and efficient valuation services and regulation.

Appraisal Foundation Monitoring and Oversight

The ASC monitors and reviews the Appraisal Foundation, including the Appraiser Qualifications Board (AQB) and the Appraisal Standards Board (ASB). The ASB and AQB are independent boards of the Foundation which serve as the congressionally-authorized sources for establishing appraiser qualifications and appraisal

standards for federally related transactions. In monitoring the Foundation, the ASC attends AQB, ASB and Board of Trustees (BOT) meetings. The ASC also provides comments on proposals when needed and reviews all final published documents regarding AQB Criteria and USPAP.

ASC Grants

Foundation Grant

Title XI requires the ASC “to make grants in such amounts as it deems appropriate to the [Foundation], to help defray those costs of the Foundation relating to the activities of the [ASB] and [AQB].”¹⁴

The Foundation annually submits grant requests to coincide with the ASC’s fiscal year for consideration as part of the ASC’s budget process. As part of its review of the Foundation’s grant proposal, the ASC evaluates whether amounts requested are for “grant-eligible activities” under the ASC’s Foundation Grant Policy. For ASB, AQB or BOT expenses to be considered as “grant-eligible activities,” they must be included in the ASC’s Annual Budget and meet the following requirements:

- ASB expenses must be related to the development, interpretation, amendment or advancement of USPAP, or related special projects
- AQB expenses must be related to the development, interpretation, amendment or advancement of the AQB Criteria or related special projects, or maintenance of the National Uniform Examination
- BOT expenses must be related to the development, interpretation, amendment or advancement of the USPAP or the AQB Criteria

The ASC evaluates the impact of grant funding on the ASC’s financial condition to ensure consistency with its operating policies for maintaining appropriate reserves. The ASC awarded \$350,000 in grant funds to the Foundation in fiscal year 2018. Since the ASC’s inception in 1989, it has provided approximately \$21.3 million in grant funds to the Foundation for their Title XI obligations.

Approved grant funds generally are disbursed monthly after the Foundation submits a detailed request for reimbursement that includes a summary of the amount and types of expenses and supporting documentation. ASC staff reviews each reimbursement request to ensure expenses requested for reimbursement are related to “grant-eligible activities” and that the expenses were included in the annual grant budget.

The ASC employs an independent auditing firm on an annual basis to perform an agreed upon procedures engagement in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants relating to the Foundation grant and the applicable requirements of OMB Circular A-122.¹⁵ The purpose of the engagement is to:

- Ascertain that grant funds are expended for the activities allowed in the grant
- Ascertain that costs charged to the grant are allowed under the grant agreement
- Note whether specific service or expenditure levels are maintained
- Ascertain whether minimum or maximum limits for specified services are met
- Determine whether funds were obligated within the period of availability and obligations were liquidated within the required time period

¹⁴ Title XI § 1109(b)(4), 12 U.S.C. § 3338(b)(4).

¹⁵ OMB Circular A-122, “Cost Principles for Non-Profit Organizations,” revised May 10, 2004. This Circular establishes principles for determining costs of grants, contract and other agreements with non-profit organizations.

- Determine whether revenues are correctly recorded and disbursed in accordance with the grant/program requirements
- Determine whether activities related to the grant occurred when the Foundation incurred the expenditure
- Ascertain the costs charged to the meetings are in compliance with the grant agreement
- Ascertain that the costs charged to the grant for conference calls are in compliance with the grant agreement

State Grant

Title XI also requires the ASC to make grants to the States in accordance with policies developed by the ASC in support of State enforcement activities, in addition to other areas.¹⁶ In 2018, the ASC provided \$310,000 in grant funds for attendees' meeting materials, lodging and travel expenses. The ASC is committed to assisting the States with grant funds and the Investigator Training Program is an important facet of the ASC's State Grant Program. Since the Investigator Training Program started in 2009, almost \$2.5 million in grant funds has been given to support the States. The ASC continues to review other options for the use of State grant funds, including additional educational programs for State regulators.

¹⁶ Title XI § 1109(b)(5), 12 U.S.C. § 3338(b)(5).

Appraiser Registry

Title XI requires the ASC to maintain an Appraiser Registry of State certified and licensed appraisers who are eligible to perform appraisals in federally related transactions.¹⁷ As of December 31, 2018, the Appraiser Registry contained 94,524¹⁸ appraiser credentials down 1.3% from the 95,731 entries at the end of 2017, and down 22% from the peak in 2007 of over 121,000. (See Appendix C, *National Appraiser Credential Statistics*.)

The Appraiser Registry is accessible at the ASC's website (www.asc.gov), and is used by appraisers, Federal and State agencies, financial institutions, users of appraisal services, law enforcement, and consumers. Use of the Appraiser Registry is free. The Appraiser Registry allows users to determine whether an appraiser is State certified or licensed to perform appraisals in connection with federally related transactions. Users can also access an appraiser's credential status and determine whether the appraiser's credential is currently suspended, revoked, or surrendered in lieu of State disciplinary action.

The Appraiser Registry facilitates information sharing that assists State Programs in enforcing their appraiser-related statutes and regulations, including temporary practice and reciprocity. Financial institutions and other users also can access the Appraiser Registry to:

- Receive automatic notifications about new revocations, suspensions, surrenders, and certification/license expirations
- Download publicly available information or parts of the Appraiser Registry into predefined queries and user-customized queries
- Verify appraiser credentials

- Set up automatic queries of Appraiser Registry information using a web service which allows computer systems used by lenders, regulatory agencies and other authorized parties to integrate directly with the Appraiser Registry

The Appraiser Registry also contains non-public data (such as certain disciplinary actions other than suspensions, revocations or voluntary surrenders). Access to nonpublic data is restricted to authorized State regulatory agency representatives.

In 2010, the ASC upgraded the Appraiser Registry to allow States to submit data to the ASC directly from their credential tracking applications. By the end of 2018, 38% of the States were reporting all their appraiser data directly into the Appraiser Registry and all States were entering their appraiser disciplinary data into the Appraiser Registry as a supplement to the data files sent by the State periodically.

These upgrades reduce costs, increase efficiency, and allow States the opportunity to provide almost immediate updates to the Appraiser Registry, making it a more effective tool for users of appraisal services as well as consumers and law enforcement.

States reported 14,713 disciplinary actions taken against appraisers for misconduct or wrongdoing over the past 10 years. (See Appendix E, *Appraiser Disciplinary Actions Reported by States*.)

¹⁷ Title XI § 1109(b)1), 12 U.S.C. § 3338(b)(1).

¹⁸ This number does not represent the number of individual appraisers as some appraisers have credentials from multiple States.

AMC Registry

Title XI requires the ASC to maintain a Registry of AMCs that are eligible to provide services in federally related transactions.¹⁹

The AMC Registry became available for States to populate on July 16, 2018. As of December 31, 2018, the AMC Registry contained 199 AMCs registered from 4 States. Participating States are not required to populate the registry until June 2020.

The AMC Registry is accessible at the ASC's website (www.asc.gov), and is used by appraisers, Federal and State agencies, financial institutions, users of appraisal services, law enforcement, and consumers. Use of the AMC Registry is free. The AMC Registry allows users to determine whether an AMC meets the federal definition of an AMC and is registered in the State in which the user is interested. Users can also access an AMC's credential status and determine whether the AMC is currently suspended, revoked, or surrendered in lieu of State disciplinary action.

The AMC Registry facilitates information sharing that assists State Programs in enforcing their AMC-related statutes and regulations. Financial institutions and other users also can access the AMC Registry to:

- Receive automatic notifications about new revocations, suspensions, surrenders, and registration expirations
- Download publicly available information or parts of the AMC Registry into predefined queries and user-customized queries
- Set up automatic queries of AMC Registry information using a web service which allows computer systems used by lenders, regulatory agencies, and other authorized parties to integrate directly with the AMC Registry

The AMC Registry also contains non-public data (such as certain disciplinary actions other than suspensions, revocations or voluntary surrenders). Access to nonpublic data is restricted to authorized State regulatory agency representatives.

¹⁹ Title XI § 1103(a)(6), 12 U.S.C. § 3332(a)(6).

Appraisal Complaint National Hotline

Title XI established certain requirements for the ASC to establish and operate a national hotline to receive complaints of non-compliance with the appraisal independence standards and/or USPAP, including complaints from appraisers, individuals, or other entities concerning the improper influencing or attempted improper influencing of appraisers or the appraisal process.²⁰ The ASC began operation of the Appraisal Complaint National Hotline (Hotline) on March 15, 2013. The Hotline refers complainants to the appropriate State and/or Federal agencies to handle complaints of alleged non-compliance with USPAP and/or appraisal independence standards. The Hotline consists of three components: a website (ReferMyAppraisalComplaint.asc.gov), a linked email and a call center with a toll-free telephone number (877-739-0096).

The Hotline website received 338 contacts in calendar year 2018. Additionally, the toll-free telephone number received 383 calls and the email portal received 2 contacts. These contacts resulted in 391 referrals to State and Federal agencies. Appendix F, *Appraisal Complaint National Hotline*, contains more detailed information on the source of contacts, specific agency referrals and

whether the contact concerned issues of potential non-compliance with appraisal independence standards or violations of USPAP. Since the Hotline was designed as a referral system, it does not collect data on the resolution of complaints referred to the State or Federal agencies. Appendix G, *Agency Complaint Data*, also contains data reported by the Federal financial institution regulatory agencies and the Bureau concerning complaints received by them during calendar year 2018. The numbers reported in this table differ from those reported in the ASC's table for several reasons. The Hotline is a referral tool available to a wide range of users; consequently, not all complainants who access the Hotline will proceed to filing a complaint with one of the agencies, or they may choose to file a complaint with their State appraiser regulatory agency. Additionally, the reported data includes all appraisal-related complaints received by the Federal agency without identifying whether the complainant used the Hotline to obtain the referral information. States do not report data on appraisal-related complaints to the ASC, although a State's processing and disposition of complaints are part of the Compliance Review process.

²⁰ Title XI § 1122(i), 12 U.S.C. § 3351(i).

Activities of the ASC Member Agencies

Following Title XI's adoption in 1989, each of the Federal financial institutions regulatory agencies and HUD adopted appraisal-related rules and policies. The OCC, Federal Reserve, FDIC and NCUA also jointly issued *Interagency Appraisal and Evaluation Guidelines* (Guidelines) that clarify the long-standing expectations for an institution's appraisal and evaluation program.²¹ The Guidelines address an institution's collateral valuation function, including appraisal independence, minimum appraisal standards for federally related transactions, the development and content of evaluations, appraisals for

residential tract development lending and background on USPAP. The appraisal regulations require federally regulated institutions to ensure that appraisals supporting federally related transactions, among other things, comply with USPAP and are performed by a State certified or State licensed appraiser.²²

²¹ See 75 *Federal Register* 77450 (December 10, 2010).

²² FDIC: 12 CFR Part 323, subpart A; Board: 12 CFR 225.61(b); 12 CFR Part 208, subpart E; OCC: 12 CFR Part 34, subpart C; NCUA: 12 CFR Part 722.

Interagency Activity

Appraisals for Residential Real Estate Transactions

On December 7, 2018, the OCC, Federal Reserve, and FDIC (collectively, the agencies) issued a proposed rule to amend the agencies' regulations requiring appraisals for certain real estate-related transactions.²³ The proposed rule would increase the threshold level at or below which appraisals would not be required for residential real estate-related transactions from \$250,000 to \$400,000. Consistent with the requirement for other transactions that fall below applicable thresholds, regulated institutions would be required to obtain an evaluation of the real property collateral that is consistent with safe and sound banking practices.

The proposed rule would make conforming changes to add transactions secured by residential property in rural areas that have been exempted from the agencies' appraisal requirement pursuant to the Economic Growth, Regulatory Relief and Consumer Protection Act to the list of exempt transactions. The proposed rule would require evaluations for these exempt transactions. Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, the proposed rule would amend the agencies' appraisal regulations to require regulated institutions to subject appraisals for federally related transactions to appropriate review for compliance with USPAP. Comments were due on the proposed rule by February 5, 2019.

Appraisals for Commercial Real Estate Transactions

On April 9, 2018, the OCC, Federal Reserve, and FDIC (collectively, the agencies) adopted a final rule that amended the agencies' regulations by increasing the threshold level at or below which appraisals are not required for commercial real estate transactions from \$250,000 to \$500,000.²⁴ The final rule defines commercial real estate transaction as a real estate-related financial transaction that is not secured by a single 1-to-4 family residential property. It excludes all transactions secured by

a single 1-to-4 family residential property, thus construction loans secured by a single 1-to-4 family residential property remain subject to the \$250,000 threshold. For commercial real estate transactions exempted from the appraisal requirement as a result of the revised threshold, regulated institutions must obtain an evaluation of the real property collateral that is consistent with safe and sound banking practices.

Joint Guidance Relating to Appraisals

On October 16, 2018, the OCC, Federal Reserve, and FDIC (collectively, the agencies) published answers to frequently asked questions (FAQ) concerning appraisals and evaluations for real estate transactions that are covered by the interagency appraisal rules (12 CFR 34, subpart C). These FAQs clarify existing regulatory requirements and guidance provided in the 2010 *Interagency Appraisal and Evaluation Guidelines* and in the 2016 *Interagency Advisory on Use of Evaluations in Real Estate-Related Financial Transactions*. These FAQs are the agencies' interpretations of existing rules and guidance based on the facts and circumstances presented in the questions. These FAQs are not official rules or regulations.

Higher-Priced Mortgage Loans

On November 23, 2018, the Bureau, Federal Reserve, and OCC published amendments in the Federal Register to the official interpretations and commentary for their regulations implementing TILA. These amendments dealt with the exemption threshold for appraisal requirements for higher-priced mortgage loans. Based on the Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers in effect as of June 1, 2018, the exemption threshold increased from \$26,000 to \$26,700, effective as of January 1, 2019.

²³ 83 *Federal Register* 63110 (December 7, 2018).

²⁴ 83 *Federal Register* 15019 (April 9, 2018).

Member Agency Activity

Several ASC member agencies issued appraisal related rules, guidance and training in addition to the interagency rulemaking projects.

Federal Reserve Board

In 2018, the Federal Reserve Board collaborated with other agencies to finalize changes to joint appraisal regulations; to propose an additional change to those regulations; and to issue new guidance on appraisals and evaluations. In April 2018, the Federal Reserve Board, OCC, and FDIC finalized the proposal to increase the threshold above which appraisals are required for commercial real estate transactions from \$250,000 to \$500,000. In December, the Federal Reserve Board, OCC, and FDIC jointly proposed a similar increase for residential real estate transactions, from \$250,000 to \$400,000. In November, the Federal Reserve Board, OCC, and Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection collaborated to implement the annual threshold increase for an exemption from special appraisal requirements for higher-priced mortgage loans, to \$26,700 for 2019. The Federal Reserve Board, OCC, and FDIC also published guidance in October 2018, in the form of *Frequently Asked Questions on the Appraisal Regulations and the Interagency Appraisal and Evaluation Guidelines*, to clarify and update previous guidance. These updated FAQs were in response to questions raised through public comment and outreach meetings regarding certain appraisal related topics. The Federal Reserve Board continued to engage with stakeholders via outreach efforts. An officer from the Federal Reserve Board participated in a roundtable sponsored by the Appraisal Subcommittee that facilitated discussions about appraisal topics between stakeholders in the appraisal and banking industries. Federal Reserve Board staff participated in several outreach programs, including panel discussions to explain the federal banking agencies' appraisal regulations and guidance to appraisal professionals, users of appraisal services, and state appraiser regulators. The Federal Reserve Board also continued to publish Community Banking Connections, a web-based publication that provides community bankers with access to information on safe and sound banking practices, including compliance with the appraisal regulations.

Comptroller of the Currency

OCC staff participated in outreach throughout the year with various constituents in the appraisal profession including appraisers, industry associations, users of appraisal services, bankers, and other regulators. This outreach included panel discussions, roundtables, and presentations. Through this outreach, the agency provided training, information, and guidance on real estate lending, appraisals, and evaluations.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation

FDIC staff participated in outreach programs and panel discussions to explain its appraisal regulations and guidance to various members of the appraisal profession as well as users of appraisal services and appraiser regulators throughout 2018. The FDIC continues to work with the other member agencies on interagency rulemakings for appraisal-related provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. The FDIC used programs, such as the Technical Assistance Video Program, to provide guidance on appraisals and evaluations to the financial industry and the public.

National Credit Union Administration

On September 20th, NCUA's Board approved a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on part 722 – Appraisals which raised the threshold where an appraisal must be completed by a state certified appraiser from \$250,000 to \$1 million for non-residential real estate transactions. The rule also reorganized provisions to clarify what transactions require a state certified appraiser and when a written evaluation is suitable. NCUA expects to finalize the rule in 2019.

Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection

In 2018, the Bureau issued an interpretive and procedural rule to implement and clarify the amendments to the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA) made by the Economic Growth, Regulatory Relief, and Consumer Protection Act (EGRRCPA), which was signed into law on May 24, 2018. The Bureau's 2015 HMDA Rule, among other things, required the reporting of certain

additional data points, including property value. In general, the EGRRCPA amended HMDA by adding partial exemptions from HMDA's requirements for certain transactions made by certain insured depository institutions and insured credit unions. The 2018 interpretive and procedural rule, referred to as the 2018 HMDA Rule, was issued on August 31, 2018. The 2018 HMDA Rule clarified such issues as how to determine whether an institution met the test for the EGRRCPA partial exemptions and which data points no longer need to be collected and reported by such institutions. The Bureau stated in the 2018 HMDA Rule that it anticipated at a later date initiating a notice-and-comment rulemaking to incorporate the 2018 HMDA Rule's interpretations and procedures into Regulation C and to further implement the EGRRCPA. Shortly after issuing the 2018 HMDA Rule, the Bureau issued a number of updated resources to assist industry with understanding and implementing the EGRRCPA partial exemptions.

Federal Housing Finance Agency

In 2018, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac (the Enterprises) jointly launched a multi-year initiative to update the Uniform Appraisal Dataset (UAD) and redesign the uniform appraisal reporting forms (UAD and Forms Redesign). Working together at the direction of FHFA, the Enterprises assembled a team to plan and execute this initiative. The goal of the project is to align the new dataset with the industry-standard MISMO Reference Model Version 3.X, and to overhaul the uniform appraisal forms to establish a more flexible and dynamic structure for appraisal reporting. The project began by focusing on exploring options and making recommendations for changes to the current dataset and appraisal forms that will support emerging technologies and data updates. The first phase involved extensive industry outreach and stakeholder engagement. The collection of input from industry stakeholders is a central and critical component of the UAD Redesign. The objective of the UAD Redesign project is to provide greater clarity to lenders, borrowers, and investors; simplify appraisal reporting and review; and build a foundation for appraisal process and policy modernization. The initiative represents a significant step forward in driving improved data quality and consistency, supporting analysis to drive more effective risk management, and enable innovation.

The Enterprises began independent work on an initiative called Appraisal Process and Policy Modernization, an FHFA 2018 Scorecard project. The appraisal modernization project requires the Enterprises to independently research, assess and plan for modernizing the appraisal process. Similar to the UAD Redesign effort, the first phase of the project began with collecting input from key industry stakeholders. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac engaged stakeholders separately in interactive workshops that included appraisers, appraisal software and forms vendors, lenders, data vendors, appraisal management companies (AMCs), industry trade groups and trade associations, and government and regulatory agencies. In 2018, the project teams considered feedback from outreach efforts and made recommendations for modernization.

In 2018, Fannie Mae addressed industry feedback about appraisal requirements in disaster areas and clarified when appraisal waivers can be used in disaster-impacted areas. Fannie Mae also engaged stakeholders to understand appraisal challenges affecting certain Duty to Serve segments, including factory-built housing, homes with energy efficiency upgrades, rural housing, shared equity, and tribal trust lands. Fannie Mae plans to continue this research in 2019 under the Duty to Serve Plan. In 2018, both Enterprises worked to clarify appraisal requirements under the Duty to Serve Plan.

Freddie Mac announced the expansion of its Automated Collateral Evaluation (ACE) to include condominium purchase and refinance transactions. This expansion matches Fannie Mae's existing appraisal waiver policy. Enterprise appraisal waiver policy provides qualified borrowers of mortgages secured by homes and condominiums the opportunity to realize savings in time and money where it is determined a traditional appraisal is not needed because of the low risk of the loan and the certainty of the value and condition of the underlying collateral.

In 2018, Freddie Mac also announced updates to its Loan Collateral Advisor (LCA), part of Freddie Mac's Loan Advisor tool set. LCA analyzes appraisal reports submitted to the Uniform Collateral Data Portal (UCDP) and provides feedback and critical information to help determine appropriate levels of appraisal review. The analysis performed by LCA enables lenders to identify low to

high-risk appraisals using real-time data submitted to UCDP.

Both the Enterprises' appraisal tools provide analysis and feedback messaging to lenders that help promote adherence to Enterprise underwriting guidelines and compliance standards.

Department of Housing and Urban Development

During 2018, over one million individuals and families obtained a Federal Housing Administration (FHA) insured mortgage to purchase or refinance their homes, including over 640,000 first-time homebuyers and over

48,000 seniors. FHA's focus is on those areas that promote access to sustainable mortgage credit, the drivers behind the increase in higher risk credit characteristics and helping those devastated by Hurricanes Harvey, Irma, and Maria and other natural disasters. Regarding appraisals, FHA began performing collateral risk assessments on Home Equity Conversion Mortgage originations. Depending on the outcome of the assessment, a second appraisal may be required, and lenders must use the lower value of the two appraisals for endorsement. The appraisal validation policy is intended to reduce the risk of appraisal inflation bias to FHA's Mutual Mortgage Insurance Fund. FHA continued its work on identifying opportunities to improve appraisal quality and monitor the performance of its Electronic Appraisal Delivery (EAD) process.

Activities of the Appraisal Foundation

The Appraisal Foundation is a not-for-profit corporation formed in 1987. It serves as the parent organization for two boards: the Appraisal Standards Board (ASB) which is responsible for promulgating and maintaining the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) and the Appraiser Qualifications Board (AQB) which establishes minimum credential criteria for appraisers performing work for federally related transactions, the Real Property Appraiser Qualification Criteria (Criteria). The Foundation Board of Trustees continued its oversight of the Boards during 2018.

A new edition of the standards, the 2018-19 USPAP, became effective on January 1, 2018 (although the new document and accompanying educational material were made available by October 1, 2017). In January 2018, the ASB commenced consideration of potential areas of change for the 2020-21 edition of USPAP by publishing a Discussion Draft document. Based on the feedback received, the ASB subsequently issued exposure drafts of proposed revisions to USPAP in May, August, and December, each time incorporating changes based on feedback received from prior exposures. The ASB intends to adopt any changes for the 2020-21 edition of USPAP at its public meeting in April 2019.

After considering potential revisions for over two years, on February 1, 2018, the AQB adopted revisions to the Criteria that became effective on May 1, 2018. This action included revising:

- College-level education requirements for the Licensed Residential and Certified Residential credential levels;
- The hours and months of experience required for the Licensed Residential and Certified Residential levels; and
- The months of experience required for the Certified General credential.

In addition, for Licensed Residential appraisers with a track record of ethical and competent practice, the AQB adopted an alternative track that eliminates the college-level education requirements for those seeking the Certified Residential credential.

The AQB also continued its work on the Practical Applications of Real Estate Appraisal (PAREA) concept, which is intended to provide an alternative method of obtaining the experience required for a credential. PAREA will incorporate a simulated training environment, designed to assist new appraisers in entering the profession without the difficulty of finding a suitable supervisory appraiser.

The Foundation hosted two Valuation Roundtables and continued to focus on outreach to appraisers, regulators and consumers.

The State Investigator Training Program was developed as a joint effort of the Foundation and the Association of Appraiser Regulatory Officials (AARO), in consultation with the Appraisal Subcommittee (ASC), to train State regulators on USPAP enforcement and investigative techniques. The program covers three levels: Level 1 is a basic course on USPAP and the regulatory system; Level 2 is a more detailed review of USPAP and includes issues related to case management; and, Level 3, initiated in 2014, is more advanced training on conducting interviews, writing reports and presenting findings. Program attendance since it began in 2009 includes 54 of the 55 States and jurisdictions, with 524 attendees completing Level 1, 325²⁵ completing Level 2 and 175 completing Level 3. The ASC funds the attendance by State regulators at investigator training courses through the State grant process.

²⁵ The 2017 Annual Report indicated 344 attendees completed Level 2; the correct number for 2017 was 300.

Financial Status of the ASC


In fiscal year 2018, the ASC's revenue totaled approximately \$3.4 million and expenses (including the Foundation grant and State grants) totaled approximately \$3.5 million. All ASC funds were derived from Appraiser Registry fees.²⁶ The annual Appraiser Registry fee of \$40 is paid by licensed and certified appraisers to be listed on the Appraiser Registry as eligible to perform appraisals for federally related transactions.

Appendix A contains the ASC audited financial statements for fiscal year 2018, ending September 30, 2018. The ASC realized a net gain of approximately \$17,000. The ASC had reserves of approximately \$4.7 million. The external auditors found no material weakness involving the ASC's internal control structure and operation, or any material instances of noncompliance with selected provisions of applicable laws and regulations tested.

The ASC continued to provide careful stewardship of the funds entrusted to it as the following charts demonstrate. The bar graph reflects expenditures versus budgeted amounts for the most recent five-year period. This data reflects the ASC's continuing efforts to operate in an efficient and effective manner as shown by the fact that expenditures consistently were held below budgeted amounts.

²⁶ The AMC Registry was opened in July 2018. States started registering AMCs in calendar year 2018, but no fees were paid to the ASC in fiscal year 2018. Starting in fiscal year 2019, the ASC will receive revenue from both the Appraiser and AMC Registry fees.


Appraisal Subcommittee



Appraisal Subcommittee (5 Year Trend)

	Revenue	Budget	Expenditures	% of Budget Expended
2014	\$3,815,854	\$3,544,282	\$3,383,062	95.5%
2015	\$3,576,000	\$3,802,136	\$3,436,395	90.4%
2016	\$3,589,898	\$4,229,513	\$3,514,914	83.1%
2017	\$3,428,245	\$3,857,320	\$3,471,615	90.0%
2018	\$3,647,104	\$3,633,515	\$3,630,136	99.9%

Appraisal Subcommittee Grant Award
(includes grants for The Appraisal Foundation & State Investigator Training Program)



Appraisal Subcommittee Grant Awards

	Awarded	Expended	% Expended
2014	\$610,860	\$610,860	100.0%
2015	\$569,000	\$488,116	85.8%
2016	\$659,632	\$511,832	77.6%
2017	\$659,085	\$621,016	94.2%
2018	\$660,000	\$545,711	82.7%

Appendix A

Financial Statements

Appraisal Subcommittee Financial Statements

September 30, 2018

APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE

Financial Statements

September 30, 2018 and 2017

C O N T E N T S

Independent Auditors' Report	1-4
Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited)	5-16
Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	17
Statements of Net Cost	18
Statements of Changes in Net Position	19
Statements of Budgetary Resources	20
Notes to the Financial Statements	21-27



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Appraisal Subcommittee of the
Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council
Washington, DC 20005

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Appraisal Subcommittee, which comprise the balance sheets as of September 30, 2018 and 2017, the related statements of net cost, changes in net position and budgetary resources for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") Bulletin No. 19-01, *Audit Requirements for Federal Financial Statements*. Those standards and OMB Bulletin No. 19-01, require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion on Financial Statements

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Appraisal Subcommittee as of September 30, 2018 and 2017, and its net cost, changes in net position and budgetary resources for the years then ended, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

U.S. generally accepted accounting principles require that the information in the *Management's Discussion and Analysis* ("MD&A") section be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with U.S. generally accepted government auditing standards, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Appraisal Subcommittee's internal control over financial reporting ("internal control") to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Appraisal Subcommittee's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Appraisal Subcommittee's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Report on Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Appraisal Subcommittee's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* or OMB Bulletin No. 19-01.

Management's Responsibility for Internal Control and Compliance

Appraisal Subcommittee's management is responsible for (1) evaluating effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting based on criteria established under the Federal Managers Financial Integrity Act ("FMFIA"), (2) providing a statement of assurance on the overall effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, and (3) ensuring compliance with other applicable laws and regulations.

Auditors' Responsibilities

We are responsible for (1) obtaining a sufficient understanding of internal control over financial reporting to plan the audit, (2) testing compliance with certain provisions of laws and regulations that have a direct and material effect on the financial statements and applicable laws for which OMB Bulletin No. 19-01 requires testing, and (3) applying certain limited procedures with respect to the MD&A.

We did not evaluate all internal controls relevant to operating objectives as broadly established by the FMFIA, such as those controls relevant to preparing statistical reports and ensuring efficient operations. We limited our internal control testing to testing internal control over financial reporting. Because of inherent limitations in internal control, misstatements due to error or fraud, losses, or noncompliance may nevertheless occur and not be detected. We also caution that projecting our audit results to future periods is subject to risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with controls may deteriorate. In addition, we caution that our internal control testing may not be sufficient for other purposes.

We did not test compliance with all laws and regulations applicable to Appraisal Subcommittee. We limited our tests of compliance to certain provisions of laws and regulations that have a direct and material effect on the financial statements and those required by OMB Bulletin No. 19-01 that we deemed applicable to Appraisal Subcommittee's financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2018. We caution that noncompliance with laws and regulations may occur and not be detected by these tests and that such testing may not be sufficient for other purposes.

Purpose of the Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and the Report on Compliance and Other Matters

The purpose of the Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and the Report on Compliance and Other Matters sections of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Appraisal Subcommittee's internal control or on compliance. These reports are an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Appraisal Subcommittee's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, these reports are not suitable for any other purpose.

O'Connor and Duen, P.C.

**Certified Public Accountants
Braintree, Massachusetts**

March 8, 2019

Appraisal Subcommittee

Management's Discussion and Analysis

September 30, 2018

ASC History

The Appraisal Subcommittee (“ASC”) of the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (“FFIEC”) was created on August 9, 1989, pursuant to Title XI of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989 (“Title XI”). Title XI’s purpose is to “provide that Federal financial and public policy interests in real estate transactions will be protected by requiring that real estate appraisals utilized in connection with federally related transactions are performed in writing, in accordance with uniform standards, by individuals whose competency has been demonstrated and whose professional conduct will be subject to effective supervision.”

In general, the ASC oversees the real estate appraisal process as it relates to federally related transactions, as defined in Section 1121(4) of Title XI(1). The ASC is an FFIEC subcommittee. The FFIEC was established pursuant to Title X of the Financial Institutions Regulatory and Interest Rate Control Act of 1978. The FFIEC is an interagency body empowered to set uniform principles for the examination of federally regulated financial institutions.

Section 1103 of Title XI sets out the ASC’s general responsibilities:

- Monitor the requirements for the certification and licensing of appraisers established by the States, Territories, and the District of Columbia (“States”). The ASC reviews each State’s compliance with the requirements of Title XI and is authorized to take action against non-complying States;
- Monitor the requirements established by the Federal financial institution’s regulatory agencies regarding appraisal standards for federally related transactions;
- Maintain a national registry of State licensed and certified appraisers (Registry) who may perform appraisals in connection with federally related transactions; and
- Monitor and review the practices, procedures, activities, and organizational structure of the Appraisal Foundation (Foundation).

On July 21, 2010, the President signed into law the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (“Dodd-Frank Act”) which includes amendments to Title XI. These statutory amendments expanded the ASC's general responsibilities within each of the aforementioned areas. The ASC will submit a report on its activities during the preceding year annually, by June 15th.

The Dodd-Frank bill also requires the registration and supervision of the operations and activities of appraisal management companies. The ASC will eventually monitor the States' regulations of these entities and maintain a National Registry of Appraisal Management Companies that are either registered with and subject to supervision of a State appraiser certifying and licensing agency or are operating subsidiaries of a federally regulated financial institution.

Appraisal Subcommittee

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Continued

September 30, 2018

Before the enactment of Title XI, there were no universally accepted appraisal content standards, no system of licensing appraisers, no appraiser education and experience qualification standards, and no laws requiring the use of appraisals. Title XI created a regulatory framework that includes federal bank regulatory agencies, a federal agency with authority to monitor State activities, a nonprofit appraisal organization, and State agencies that license and certify appraisers.

Title XI also requires the ASC to:

- make grants in such amounts as it deems appropriate to the Foundation to help defray costs relating to the activities of the Appraisal Standards Board (“ASB”) and Appraiser Qualifications Board (“AQB”)
- make grants to State appraiser certifying and licensing agencies in accordance with policies developed by the ASC to support the efforts of such agencies to comply with Title XI, including—
 - the complaint process, complaint investigations, and appraiser enforcement activities of such agencies
 - the submission of data on State licensed and certified appraisers and AMCs to the Appraiser Registry and the AMC Registry, including information affirming that appraisers or AMCs meet required qualification criteria, and information on formal and informal disciplinary actions
 - reporting to all State appraiser certifying and licensing agencies when a license or certification is surrendered, revoked or suspended

The ASC has seven members, each designated respectively by the head of their agency, including the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (“Federal Reserve”), Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (“CFPB”), Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”), National Credit Union Administration (“NCUA”), Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (“OCC”), Federal Housing Finance Agency (“FHFA”), and U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (“HUD”).

Appraisal Subcommittee

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Continued

September 30, 2018

The Appraisal Subcommittee's ("ASC") Mission Statement:

To provide federal oversight of State appraiser regulatory programs and a monitoring framework for the Appraisal Foundation and the Federal Financial Institutions Regulatory Agencies in their roles to protect federal financial and public policy interests in real estate appraisals utilized in federally related transactions.

Strategic Goals


1. Promote Title XI-compliant State Appraiser Programs and AMC Programs;
2. Carry out Title XI monitoring functions;
3. Administer Title XI Grant Programs;
4. Finalize implementation of Advisory Committee recommendations;
5. Maintain the National Registries (Appraiser Registry and AMC Registry);
6. Prudently manage ASC resources; and
7. Partner with the Valuation Stakeholders to Facilitate Effective and Efficient Valuation Services and Regulation

Appraisal Subcommittee

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Continued

September 30, 2018

Appraisal Subcommittee Organizational Chart



Appraisal Subcommittee

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Continued

September 30, 2018

Performance Goals

As required by Title XI, the ASC monitors each State's Program for compliance with Title XI, AQB Criteria and ASC Policy Statements. Current Policy Statements are set forth in Appendix H that follows this Annual Report. Appraisers credentialed by State Programs that do not comply with the requirements of Title XI may be prohibited from performing appraisals of real property involved in federally related transactions in that State. The ASC's rating criteria of the State Programs focuses on three key components: (1) complying with Title XI mandates and requirements of ASC Policy Statements; (2) maintaining a strong regulatory program; and (3) limiting overall risk of Program failure.

The ASC monitors State Programs largely through on-site visits to the States; the ASC performs an on-site Compliance Review of each State at least once every two years. Programs that evidence noncompliance in one or more areas may be subject to additional oversight, including Follow-up Reviews, an accelerated Review Cycle and/or off-site monitoring.

The ASC identifies State Programs that may have a significant impact on the nation's appraiser regulatory system. The following 14 States, listed alphabetically, collectively represent over 50% of the credentialed appraisers on the Appraiser Registry: California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Michigan, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, Virginia and Washington. The ASC performs Priority Contact visits with these States in those years when Compliance Reviews are not scheduled. The ASC also conducts a Priority Contact visit for individual States when a specific concern warrants special attention by the ASC. The primary purpose of the Priority Contact visit is to review topical issues, evaluate regulatory compliance issues and maintain a close working relationship with the State.

The ASC began 25 State Appraiser Program Compliance Reviews in 2018 of which 19 were finalized. Two State Appraiser Program Compliance Reviews which began in 2017 were finalized. Of the 21 State Appraiser Program Compliance Reviews finalized in 2018, 6 States were rated to be Excellent (29%); 10 States were rated to be Good (48%); 5 States were rated to be Needs Improvement (24%); and no States were rated to be Not Satisfactory or Poor. The ASC began 3 State Appraiser Program Follow-up Reviews in 2018, of which 2 were finalized. The ASC performed 5 State Appraiser Program Priority Contacts.

The ASC began 3 State Appraisal Management Company Program Compliance Reviews in 2018 of which 2 were finalized. Of the 2 Compliance Reviews finalized in 2018, both were rated to be Good.

Appraisal Subcommittee

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Continued

September 30, 2018

ASC Organizational Overviews

Compliance Review Process

The ASC's Compliance Review process is a risk-based review process, focusing on areas of State Programs that are not in compliance with Title XI or that exhibit characteristics which could lead to non-compliance. Effective June 1, 2013, the ASC refined its Compliance Review process to better identify various levels of compliance.

Compliance Reviews are scheduled over a three to four-day period to coincide with a meeting of the State Program's decision-making body whenever possible. Preliminary Findings are communicated to the State in an ASC staff report and the State is given 60 days to respond. ASC staff then considers the State's response and makes formal recommendations for final disposition. The ASC, or its designated representative acting under delegated authority, considers the ASC staff report and recommendations along with responses from the State Program before rendering a decision. A final Compliance Review Report and letter to the State with a determination regarding the State's compliance with Title XI is then issued. Actions taken under delegated authority are presented to the ASC at its next regularly scheduled meeting.

State Programs are issued one of the following Findings:

ASC Finding	Rating Criteria	Review Cycle*
Excellent	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• State meets all Title XI mandates and complies with requirements of ASC Policy Statements• State maintains a strong regulatory Program• Very low risk of Program failure	2-year
Good	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• State meets the majority of Title XI mandates and complies with the majority of ASC Policy Statement requirements• Deficiencies are minor in nature• State is adequately addressing deficiencies identified and correcting them in the normal course of business• State maintains an effective regulatory Program• Low risk of Program failure	2-year

Appraisal Subcommittee

Management’s Discussion and Analysis – Continued

September 30, 2018

Needs Improvement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State does not meet all Title XI mandates and does not comply with all requirements of ASC Policy Statements • Deficiencies are material but manageable and if not corrected in a timely manner pose a potential risk to the Program • State may have a history of repeated deficiencies but is showing progress toward correcting deficiencies • State regulatory Program needs improvement • Moderate risk of Program failure 	2-year with additional monitoring
Not Satisfactory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State does not meet all Title XI mandates and does not comply with all requirements of ASC Policy Statements • Deficiencies present a significant risk and if not corrected in a timely manner pose a well-defined risk to the Program • State may have a history of repeated deficiencies and requires more supervision to ensure corrective actions are progressing • State regulatory Program has substantial deficiencies • Substantial risk of Program failure 	1-year
Poor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State does not meet Title XI mandates and does not comply with requirements of ASC Policy Statements • Deficiencies are significant and severe, require immediate attention and if not corrected represent critical flaws in the Program • State may have a history of repeated deficiencies and may show a lack of willingness or ability to correct deficiencies • High risk of Program failure 	Continuous monitoring

**Program history or nature of deficiency may warrant a more accelerated Review Cycle.*

Appraisal Subcommittee

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Continued

September 30, 2018

Appraiser Registry

Title XI requires the ASC to maintain an Appraiser Registry of State certified and licensed appraisers who are eligible to perform appraisals in federally related transactions. As of December 31, 2018, the Appraiser Registry contained almost 95,000 appraiser credentials down 1% from the roughly 96,000 entries at the end of 2017, and down 22% from the peak in 2007 of over 121,000.

The Appraiser Registry is accessible at the ASC's website (www.asc.gov), and is used by appraisers, Federal and State agencies, financial institutions, users of appraisal services, law enforcement, and consumers. Use of the Appraiser Registry is free. The Appraiser Registry allows users to determine whether an appraiser is State certified or licensed to perform appraisals in connection with federally related transactions. Users can also access an appraiser's credential status and determine whether the appraiser's credential is currently suspended, revoked, or surrendered in lieu of State disciplinary action.

The Appraiser Registry facilitates information sharing that assists State Programs in enforcing their appraiser-related statutes and regulations, including temporary practice and reciprocity. Financial institutions and other users also can access the Appraiser Registry to:

- Receive automatic notifications about new revocations, suspensions, surrenders, and certification/license expirations
- Download publicly available information or parts of the Appraiser Registry into predefined queries and user- customized queries
- Verify appraiser credentials
- Set up automatic queries of Appraiser Registry information using a web service which allows computer systems used by lenders, regulatory agencies, and other authorized parties to integrate directly with the Appraiser Registry

The Appraiser Registry also contains non-public data (such as certain disciplinary actions other than suspensions, revocations or voluntary surrenders). Access to nonpublic data is restricted to authorized State regulatory agency representatives.

Appraisal Subcommittee

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Continued

September 30, 2018

In 2010, the ASC upgraded the Appraiser Registry to allow States to submit data to the ASC directly from their credential tracking applications. By the end of 2018, 38% of the States were reporting all their appraiser data directly into the Appraiser Registry and all States were entering their appraiser disciplinary data into the Appraiser Registry as a supplement to the data files sent by the State periodically.

These upgrades reduced costs, increased efficiency, and allowed States the opportunity to provide almost immediate updates to the Appraiser Registry, making it a more effective tool for users of appraisal services as well as consumers and law enforcement.

States reported 14,713 disciplinary actions taken against appraisers for misconduct or wrongdoing over the past 10 years.

National Registry of AMCs (AMC Registry)

Title XI requires the ASC to maintain the AMC Registry of those AMCs that are either:

(1) registered with and subject to supervision by a State that has elected to register and supervise AMCs; or

(2) are operating subsidiaries of a Federally regulated financial institution (Federally regulated AMCs).

The ASC issued a final rule on collection and transmission of annual AMC Registry Fees. The AMC Registry Fee Rule was published in the September 25, 2017 issue of the *Federal Register* and went into effect on November 24, 2017.

The ASC recognizes that participating States may need to amend their rules and/or regulations, or revise their operating procedures, to implement AMC registry fees. After June 4, 2020, any participating State's AMC Program will be reviewed for compliance with the AMC Registry Fee Rule as part of the Compliance Review process.

Title XI imposes a statutory restriction on performance of services by AMCs for a federally related transaction (FRT) that applies after August 10, 2018, for AMCs operating in a State that elected not to register and supervise AMCs in accordance with the AMC Rule. The restriction on performance of services for FRTs will not impact an AMC so long as the AMC is registered with a State that has elected to register and supervise AMCs or is subject to oversight by a Federal financial institutions regulatory agency.

Appraisal Subcommittee

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Continued

September 30, 2018

The AMC Registry is used by appraisers, Federal and State agencies, financial institutions, users of appraisal services, law enforcement, and consumers. Use of the AMC Registry is free.

ASC Grants

Foundation Grant

Title XI requires the ASC “to make grants in such amounts as it deems appropriate to the [Foundation], to help defray those costs of the Foundation relating to the activities of the [ASB] and [AQB].”

The Foundation annually submits grant requests to coincide with the ASC's fiscal year for consideration as part of the ASC's budget process. As part of its review of the Foundation's grant proposal, the ASC evaluates whether amounts requested are for “grant-eligible activities” under the ASC's Foundation Grant Policy. For ASB, AQB or BOT expenses to be considered as “grant eligible activities,” they must meet the following requirements:

- ASB expenses must be related to the development, interpretation, amendment or advancement of USPAP, or related special projects and be included in the approved grant budget
- AQB expenses must be related to the development, interpretation, amendment or advancement of the AQB Criteria or related special projects, or maintenance of the National Uniform Examination and be included in the approved grant budget
- BOT expenses must be related to the development, interpretation, amendment or advancement of the USPAP or the AQB Criteria and be included in the approved grant budget
- The ASC evaluates the impact of grant funding on the ASC's financial condition to ensure consistency with its operating standards for maintaining appropriate reserves. The ASC awarded \$350,000 in grant funds to the Foundation in fiscal year 2018. Since the ASC's inception in 1989, it has provided approximately \$21.3 million in grant funds for the Foundation for their Title IX obligations.

Approved grant funds generally are disbursed monthly after the Foundation submits a detailed request for reimbursement that includes a summary of the amount and types of expenses and supporting documentation. ASC staff reviews each reimbursement request to ensure expenses requested for reimbursement are related to “grant-eligible activities” and that the expenses were included in the annual grant budget.

Appraisal Subcommittee

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Continued

September 30, 2018

The ASC employs an independent auditing firm on an annual basis to perform an agreed upon procedures engagement in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants relating to the Foundation grant and the applicable requirements of OMB Circular A-122. The purpose of the engagement is to:

- Ascertain that grant funds are expended for the activities allowed in the grant
- Ascertain that costs charged to the grant are allowed under the grant agreement
- Note whether specific service or expenditure levels are maintained
- Ascertain whether minimum or maximum limits for specified services are met
- Determine whether funds were obligated within the period of availability and obligations were liquidated within the required time period
- Determine whether revenues are correctly recorded and disbursed in accordance with the grant/program requirements
- Determine whether activities related to the grant occurred when the Foundation incurred the expenditure
- Ascertain the costs charged to the meetings are in compliance with the grant agreement
- Ascertain that the costs charged to the grant for conference calls are in compliance with the grant agreement

State Grant

Title XI also requires the ASC to make grants to the States in accordance with policies developed by the ASC in support of State enforcement activities, in addition to other areas. The ASC provided grant funds in 2018 in the amount \$310,000, administered by the Foundation, in support of the States for the development, presentation and hosting of State Investigator Training Courses. ASC grant funds paid for attendees' meeting materials, lodging and travel expenses for up to three individuals from each State. The ASC continues to review other options for the use of State grant funds, including educational programs for State regulators.

Appraisal Subcommittee

Management's Discussion and Analysis – Continued

September 30, 2018

Overview of the Financial Statements

The Management's Discussion and Analysis introduces ASC's principal statements. The principal statements include: (1) balance sheets, (2) statements of net cost, (3) statements of changes in net position, (4) statements of budgetary resources, and (5) notes to financial statements.

Balance Sheets – The balance sheet is a summary of assets, liabilities and net position for each fiscal year. It includes assets in possession or managed by the entity exclusive of items subject to stewardship reporting (assets), amounts owed by the entity (liabilities), and amounts which comprise the difference (net position).

SUMMARY BALANCE SHEETS

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>Inc / (Dec)</u>
Total Assets	\$ 9,149,846	\$ 8,717,853	\$ 431,993
Total Liabilities	\$ 4,410,173	\$ 3,995,148	\$ 415,025
Net Position	\$ 4,739,673	\$ 4,722,705	\$ 16,968

*Net position consists entirely of cumulative results of operations - other funds

Statements of Net Cost – The statements of net cost are designed to show separately the components of the net cost of the reporting entity's operations for the period. The net cost of operations is the gross cost incurred by the reporting entity less any exchange revenue earned from its activities.

SUMMARY STATEMENTS OF NET COST

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>Inc / (Dec)</u>
Public costs	\$ 3,630,136	\$ 3,471,615	\$ 158,521
Less: Earned revenue	\$ (3,647,104)	\$ (3,428,245)	\$ (218,859)
Net public costs	\$ (16,968)	\$ 43,370	\$ (60,338)

APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE

Balance Sheets

As of September 30,

Assets

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>
Assets:		
Intragovernmental Assets:		
Fund balance with Treasury	\$ 5,887,155	\$ 5,719,284
Restricted fund balance with Treasury	<u>2,472,990</u>	<u>2,097,334</u>
Total Intragovernmental Assets:	<u>8,360,145</u>	7,816,618
Accounts receivable	517,064	597,005
Property and Equipment, net	<u>272,637</u>	<u>304,230</u>
Total Assets	<u>\$ 9,149,846</u>	<u>\$ 8,717,853</u>

Liabilities and Net Position

Liabilities:		
Intragovernmental Liabilities:		
Unearned revenues	\$ 1,505,547	\$ 1,403,608
Restricted funds held for others	<u>2,472,990</u>	<u>2,097,334</u>
Total Intragovernmental Liabilities:	<u>3,978,537</u>	3,500,942
Accounts payable	52,558	50,072
Grants payable	54,987	109,895
Accrued funded rent	-	14,504
Accrued funded payroll and leave	81,479	79,182
Unfunded leave	<u>242,612</u>	<u>240,553</u>
Total Liabilities	<u>4,410,173</u>	<u>3,995,148</u>
Net Position:		
Unexpended appropriations - other funds	\$ -	\$ -
Cumulative results of operations - other funds	<u>4,739,673</u>	<u>4,722,705</u>
Total Net Position	<u>4,739,673</u>	<u>4,722,705</u>
Total Liabilities and Net Position	<u>\$ 9,149,846</u>	<u>\$ 8,717,853</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE

Statements of Net Cost

For the Years Ended September 30,

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>
Program Costs:		
Public costs	\$ 3,630,136	\$ 3,471,615
Less: Earned revenue from public	<u>(3,647,104)</u>	<u>(3,428,245)</u>
Net public costs	<u>\$ (16,968)</u>	<u>\$ 43,370</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE

Statements of Changes in Net Position

For the Years Ended September 30,

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>
Cumulative Results of Operations:		
Beginning Balance	\$ <u>4,722,705</u>	\$ 4,766,075
Beginning Balance, As Adjusted	<u>4,722,705</u>	<u>4,766,075</u>
Budgetary Financing Sources:		
Appropriations used	-	-
Other Financing Sources:		
Imputed financing	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Total Financing Sources	-	-
Net Cost of Operations (+/-)	<u>16,968</u>	<u>(43,370)</u>
Net Change	<u>16,968</u>	<u>(43,370)</u>
 Cumulative Results of Operations	 <u>\$ 4,739,673</u>	 <u>\$ 4,722,705</u>
 Unexpended Appropriations:		
Beginning Balance	\$ <u>-</u>	\$ <u>-</u>
Beginning Balance, As Adjusted	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Budgetary Financing Sources:		
Appropriations received	3,609,662	3,388,967
Other adjustments	-	-
Appropriations used	<u>(3,609,662)</u>	<u>(3,388,967)</u>
Total Budgetary Financing Sources	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Total Unexpended Appropriations	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
 Net Position	 <u>\$ -</u>	 <u>\$ -</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE

Statements of Budgetary Resources

For the Years Ended September 30,

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>
	<u>Budgetary</u>	<u>Budgetary</u>
Budgetary Resources:		
Unobligated balance brought forward, Oct 1	\$ <u>4,143,475</u>	\$ 4,336,977
Unobligated balance brought forward, Oct 1, as adjusted	4,143,475	4,336,977
Recoveries from prior year unpaid obligations	30,660	343,435
Other changes in unobligated balance	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Unobligated balance from prior year budget authority, net	4,174,135	4,680,412
Appropriations (Discretionary and Mandatory)	<u>3,609,662</u>	<u>3,388,967</u>
Total Budgetary Resources	<u>\$ 7,783,797</u>	<u>\$ 8,069,379</u>
Status of Budgetary Resources:		
New obligations and upward adjustments (total)	\$ 4,400,441	\$ 3,925,904
Unobligated balance, end of year		
Apportioned, unexpired accounts	-	-
Unapportioned, unexpired accounts	<u>3,383,357</u>	<u>4,143,475</u>
Unexpired unobligated balance, end of year	3,383,357	4,143,475
Expired unobligated balance, end of year	-	-
Unobligated balance, end of year	3,383,357	4,143,475
Total Status of Budgetary Resources	<u>\$ 7,783,798</u>	<u>\$ 8,069,379</u>
Change in Obligated Balance:		
Unpaid obligations:		
Unpaid obligations, brought forward, Oct 1	\$ 466,018	\$ 673,942
New obligations and upward adjustments	4,400,441	3,925,904
Outlays (gross) (-)	(3,583,462)	(3,790,393)
Recoveries of prior year unpaid obligations	<u>(30,660)</u>	<u>(343,435)</u>
Unpaid obligations, end of year	1,252,337	466,018
Uncollected payments:		
Uncollected pymts, Fed sources, brought forward, Oct 1 (-)	-	-
Change in uncollected pymts, Fed sources (+/-)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Uncollected pymts, Fed sources, end of year (-)	-	-
Memorandum (non-add) entries		
Obligated balance, start of year (+/-)	<u>\$ 466,018</u>	<u>\$ 673,942</u>
Obligated balance, end of year (+/-)	<u>\$ 1,252,337</u>	<u>\$ 466,018</u>
Budget Authority and Outlays, Net		
Budget authority, gross (discretionary and mandatory)	\$ 3,609,662	\$ 3,388,967
Actual offsetting collections (discretionary and mandatory)	-	-
Recoveries of prior year paid obligations (discretionary and mandatory)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Budget authority, net (total) (discretionary and mandatory)	3,609,662	3,388,967
Outlays (gross) (discretionary and mandatory)	(3,583,462)	(3,790,393)
Actual offsetting collections (discretionary and mandatory)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Outlays, net (total) (discretionary and mandatory)	<u>(3,583,462)</u>	<u>(3,790,393)</u>
Agency Outlays, net (discretionary and mandatory)	<u>\$ (3,583,462)</u>	<u>\$ (3,790,393)</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE

Notes to the Financial Statements

September 30, 2018 and 2017

Note 1 - **Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

Organization

The Appraisal Subcommittee of the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (the “Appraisal Subcommittee” or “ASC”) was created by Title XI of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (“FIRREA”) of 1989. The mission of the Appraisal Subcommittee is to monitor the certification and licensing programs of the States to determine compliance with Title XI of FIRREA. The purpose of this title is to ensure that the federal financial and public policy interests in real estate related transactions will be protected by requiring that real estate appraisals utilized in connection with federally related transactions are performed in writing, in accordance with uniform standards, by individuals whose competency has been demonstrated and whose professional conduct will be subject to effective supervision. In accordance with Title XI of FIRREA, an appraiser must be registered to perform appraisals connected to federal transactions. Effective January 1, 2012, ASC raised the annual fee assessed to the states from \$25 per registered appraiser to \$40.

The federal financial institutions regulatory agencies include the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (“CFPB”), the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and the National Credit Union Administration. The member agencies of the Appraisal Subcommittee include the federal financial institutions regulatory agencies, the Department of Housing and Urban Development, and the Federal Housing Finance Agency (“FHFA”). The CFPB and FHFA were added as members to the ASC as a result of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (“Dodd-Frank Act”).

Basis of Presentation

These financial statements have been prepared from the accounting records of ASC in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (“GAAP”), and the form and content for entity financial statements specified by the Office of Management and Budget (“OMB”) in OMB Circular No. A-136, as amended. GAAP for federal entities are standards prescribed by the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (“FASAB”), which has been designated the official accounting standards-setting body for the Federal Government by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE

Notes to the Financial Statements - Continued

September 30, 2018 and 2017

Note 1 - **Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - Continued**

Basis of Presentation - continued

OMB Circular No. A-136 requires agencies to prepare financial statements, which include a Balance Sheet, Statement of Net Cost, Statement of Changes in Net Position, and a Statement of Budgetary Resources. The Balance Sheet presents, as of September 30, 2018, amounts of future economic benefits owned or managed by ASC (assets), amounts owed by ASC (liabilities), and amounts, which comprise the difference (net position). The Statement of Net Cost reports the full cost of the program, both direct and indirect costs of the output, and the costs of identifiable supporting services provided by other segments within ASC and other reporting entities. The Statement of Budgetary Resources reports an agency's budgetary activity.

Basis of Accounting

Transactions are recorded on the accrual accounting basis in accordance with OMB Circular No. A-136. Under the accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized when earned, and expenses are recognized when a liability is incurred, without regard to receipt or payment of cash. The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates. Significant assumptions made in these financial statements include future collection of receivables, and deferring revenue on the billing and receipt of the incremental fee from \$25 to \$40.

Fund Balance with U.S. Treasury

Fund Balance with Treasury is the aggregate amount of the ASC's funds with Treasury in expenditure, receipt, and revolving fund accounts. Appropriated funds recorded in expenditure accounts are available to pay current liabilities and finance authorized purchases.

The ASC does not maintain bank accounts of its own, has no disbursing authority, and does not maintain cash held outside of Treasury. Treasury disburses funds for the agency on demand.

APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE

Notes to the Financial Statements - Continued

September 30, 2018 and 2017

Note 1 - **Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - Continued**

Restricted Fund Balance with U.S. Treasury

Restricted fund balance with the U.S. Treasury are amounts restricted by law that the Appraisal Subcommittee received in conjunction with the \$15 dollar fee increase, as permitted by the Dodd-Frank Act. As part of the fee increase that was effective January 1, 2012, Congress specifically required the ASC to set aside 25% of the increase without specifications as to how it could be used. Accordingly, these funds have been classified as a liability on the balance sheets.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable consist of amounts due to the Appraisal Subcommittee for registry fees. As of September 30, 2018 and 2017, management is of the opinion that an allowance for doubtful accounts is not necessary.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is stated at cost. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives ranging from three to ten years. The capitalization threshold is \$5,000 for assets with a useful life of three or more years. For bulk purchases, items will be capitalized when the individual useful lives are at least three years and have an aggregate value of \$15,000 or more.

Unearned Revenues

Registry fees received in advance are deferred and recognized as earned during the applicable fee year.

Annual and Sick Leave Program

Annual leave is accrued as it is earned by employees and is included in personnel compensation and benefits cost. An unfunded liability is recognized for earned but unused annual leave since, from a budgetary standpoint, this annual leave will be paid from future funding sources when the leave is used by employees. The amount accrued is based upon current pay rates of the employees. Sick leave is expensed when used and no liability is recognized as employees have no right to be paid for unused sick leave.

Tax Status

The Appraisal Subcommittee is a federal governmental agency and is therefore generally exempt from income taxes under Section 115 of the Internal Revenue Code.

APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE

Notes to the Financial Statements - Continued

September 30, 2018 and 2017

Note 2 - **Property and Equipment, Net**

Property and equipment at September 30, consist of the following:

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>
Computer Equipment	845,123	757,483
Furniture	<u>31,803</u>	<u>31,803</u>
	876,926	789,286
Less: accumulated depreciation	<u>604,289</u>	<u>485,056</u>
Net Property and Equipment	<u>\$ 272,637</u>	<u>\$ 304,230</u>

Note 3 - **Appraisal Foundation Grant**

Title XI of FIRREA provides that amounts appropriated for, or collected by, the Appraisal Subcommittee be used to make grants to The Appraisal Foundation (the "Foundation") to help defray costs of the Foundation relating to the activities of its Appraisal Standards Board ("ASB"), Appraiser Qualification Board ("AQB"), and State Investigator Training Grants. Since inception of the Appraisal Subcommittee on August 9, 1989, it has made grants to the Foundation under which approximately \$20,623,000 was expended through September 30, 2018. As of September 30, 2018 and 2017, the Appraisal Subcommittee has \$54,987 and 109,895 in undistributed grant funding available to the Foundation for the grant years ending September 30, 2018 and 2017, which is recorded in grants payable.

Note 4 - **Retirement and Benefit Plans**

The Appraisal Subcommittee contributes to the Civil Service Retirement System and the Federal Employees' Retirement System administered by the Office of Personnel Management for the benefit of U.S. Government employees. The retirement plans are participatory. Under the Civil Service Retirement System, the employer and employee each contribute amounts ranging from 7-8 percent of salary to the plan. Under the Federal Employees' Retirement System, 13 percent of salary is contributed by the Appraisal Subcommittee and 1 percent of salary is contributed by the employee.

The Appraisal Subcommittee contributes up to 5 percent of base pay for participants in the Thrift Savings Plan under the Federal Employees Retirement System. Contributions by the Appraisal Subcommittee during fiscal years 2018 and 2017 for the Civil Service Retirement System and the Federal Employees' Retirement System plans aggregated approximately \$282,000 and \$267,000, respectively.

APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE

Notes to the Financial Statements - Continued

September 30, 2018 and 2017

Note 4 - **Retirement and Benefit Plans - Continued**

Although the Appraisal Subcommittee contributes a portion of pension benefits for its employees participating in the retirement plans and withholds the necessary payroll deductions from them, it has no liability for future payments to employees under those programs and is not accountable for the assets of the Civil Service and Federal Employees' Retirement Systems, nor does the Appraisal Subcommittee have actuarial data concerning the accumulated plan benefits or the unfunded pension liability relating to its employees. These amounts are reported by the Office of Personnel Management for the retirement systems and are not allocated to the individual employers. The Office of Personnel Management also accounts for all health and life insurance programs for retired federal employees.

Note 5 - **Interagency Transactions**

The Appraisal Subcommittee had a Memorandum of Understanding with the U.S. General Services Administration ("GSA") to share the costs of office space, including operating costs and real estate taxes, which expired in September 2018. Payments were due on a monthly basis, as billed by GSA. Base rent expense totaled \$235,135 and \$232,007 for the years ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively. As of September 30, 2018, The Appraisal Subcommittee no longer rents out this office space and each employee now works remotely.

In conducting its administrative operations, the Appraisal Subcommittee uses the services of various other federal agencies. The largest of the administrative service arrangements is with the U.S. General Services Administration for certain accounting and reporting functions, and processing of payroll and related benefits. Operating expenses of the Appraisal Subcommittee for fiscal years ended 2018 and 2017 include approximately \$81,000 and \$73,000, respectively, for these services. These costs are included in contracted services on the statements of operations.

APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE

Notes to the Financial Statements - Continued

September 30, 2018 and 2017

Note 6 - **Reconciliation of Net Cost of Operations to Budget**

Reconciliation of Net Cost of Operations to Budget	
	<u>2018</u>
Net Cost	\$ 3,630,136
<i>Components of Net Cost that are not part of Net Outlays:</i>	
Property and equipment depreciation	(119,233)
Change in budgetary resources obligated for goods, services, and benefits ordered but not yet provided	751,346
<i>Increase / (Decrease) in Assets</i>	
Accounts receivable	79,941
<i>(Increase) / Decrease in Liabilities</i>	
Unearned revenues	(101,939)
Accounts payable	7,494
Grants payable	54,908
Accrued funded rent	14,504
Salaries and benefits	(4,356)
<i>Components of Net Outlays that are not part of Net Cost:</i>	
Acquisition of capital assets	87,640
Net Outlays	\$ 4,400,441

APPRAISAL SUBCOMMITTEE

Notes to the Financial Statements - Continued

September 30, 2018 and 2017

Note 6 - **Reconciliation of Net Cost of Operations to Budget - Continued**

A reconciliation of net cost of operations to budget is presented above to show the relationship between accrual-based (financial accounting) information in the statement of net cost and obligation-based (budgetary accounting) information in the statement of budgetary resources. This reconciliation ensures that the proprietary and budgetary accounts in the financial management system are in balance. For FY 2018, the Appraisal Subcommittee reconciled the difference between the \$4.4 million in obligated resources and the \$3.6 million in the net cost of operations by adjusting for offsetting collections, adjustments, recoveries, financing resources not part of the net cost of operations, and depreciation. The details of these reconciliations are as listed above.

Note 7 - **Management's Acceptance of Financial Statements**

Subsequent Events

Management has evaluated subsequent events through March 8, 2019, the date for which the financial statements were available for issuance. Management has accepted the financial statements and did not identify any events subsequent to September 30, 2018 requiring disclosure in the financial statements.

Appendix B

2016–2018 Compliance Review Findings

2016–2018 Appraiser Program Compliance Review Findings

Areas of Non-Compliance			
Requirement/Guidance Areas	2016 25 States Reviewed	2017 25 States Reviewed	2018 21 States Reviewed
Statutes, Regulations, Policies and Procedures:	6	1	3
Temporary Practice:	0	0	3
National Registry:	3	0	3
Application Process:	4	4	3
Reciprocity:	0	0	0
Education:	0	0	0
Enforcement:	3	4	7
State Compliance Status			
	2016 25 States Reviewed	2017 25 States Reviewed	2018 21 States Reviewed
Excellent	12	12	6
Good	8	11	10
Needs Improvement	5	2	5
Not Satisfactory	0	0	0
Poor	0	0	0

The table above documents the 2016 through 2018 Compliance Review Findings by requirement and areas of guidance.

2018 Appraisal Management Company Compliance Review Findings

Areas of Non-Compliance	
Requirement/Guidance Areas	2018 2 States Reviewed
Statutes, Regulations, Policies and Procedures:	6
National Registry:	0
Enforcement:	0
State Compliance Status	
	2016 2 States Reviewed
Excellent	0
Good	2
Needs Improvement	0
Not Satisfactory	0
Poor	0

The table above documents the 2018 Compliance Review Findings by requirement and areas of guidance.

State Compliance Review Finding Descriptions

The charts below provide an explanation of ASC Findings and rating criteria.

ASC Finding	Rating Criteria	Review Cycle**
Excellent	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State meets all Title XI mandates and complies with requirements of ASC Policy Statements • State maintains a strong regulatory Program • Very low risk of Program failure 	2-year
Good	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State meets the majority of Title XI mandates and complies with the majority of ASC Policy Statement requirements • Deficiencies are minor in nature • State is adequately addressing deficiencies identified and correcting them in the normal course of business • State maintains an effective regulatory Program • Low risk of Program failure 	2-year
Needs Improvement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State does not meet all Title XI mandates and does not comply with all requirements of ASC Policy Statements • Deficiencies are material but manageable and if not corrected in a timely manner pose a potential risk to the Program • State may have a history of repeated deficiencies but is showing progress toward correcting deficiencies • State regulatory Program needs improvement • Moderate risk of Program failure 	2-year with additional monitoring
Not Satisfactory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State does not meet all Title XI mandates and does not comply with all requirements of ASC Policy Statements • Deficiencies present a significant risk and if not corrected in a timely manner pose a well-defined risk to the Program • State may have a history of repeated deficiencies and requires more supervision to ensure corrective actions are progressing • State regulatory Program has substantial deficiencies • Substantial risk of Program failure 	1-year
Poor*	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State does not meet Title XI mandates and does not comply with requirements of ASC Policy Statements • Deficiencies are significant and severe, require immediate attention and if not corrected represent critical flaws in the Program • State may have a history of repeated deficiencies and may show a lack of willingness or ability to correct deficiencies • High risk of Program failure 	Continuous monitoring

*An ASC Finding of "Poor" may result in significant consequences to the State. *See* Policy Statement 5, *Reciprocity*; *see also* Policy Statement 8, *Interim Sanctions*.

**Program history or nature of deficiency may warrant a more accelerated Review Cycle.

2018 Compliance Review Summary

State	Month of Review	ASC Finding	Review Cycle
Alabama	January	Good	Two-Year
Arizona	August	Excellent	Two-Year
Arkansas	March	Good	Two-Year
Colorado	August	Good	Two-Year
Connecticut	June	Excellent	Two-Year
Georgia	March	Good	Two-Year
Illinois	November 2017	Needs Improvement	Two-Year
Louisiana	April	Excellent	Two-Year
Maryland	April	Excellent	Two-Year
Massachusetts	July	Needs Improvement	Two-Year with Follow-up
Minnesota	September	Good	Two-Year
Missouri	June	Good	Two-Year
Nevada	May	Good	Two-Year
New York	September 2017	Good	Two-Year
North Dakota	June	Excellent	Two-Year
Oregon	July	Needs Improvement	Two-Year
Pennsylvania	May	Needs Improvement	Two-Year
South Dakota	August	Good	Two-Year
Texas	February	Good	Two-Year
Vermont	August	Needs Improvement	Two-Year with Follow-up
Washington	August	Excellent	Two-Year

2018 Appraisal Management Company Program Compliance Review Summary

State	Month of Review	ASC Finding	Review Cycle
Arizona	August	Good	Two-Year
Minnesota	September	Good	Two-Year

Appendix C

National Appraiser Credential Statistics

Note: These statistics reflect the number of appraiser credentials, not the number of appraisers, listed on the National Registry. It is not uncommon for the same appraiser to hold multiple State credentials.


Appraiser Registry Credentials—Year-End 2009–2018

Year-End	Certified General	Certified Residential	Licensed	Transitional	Total Credentials
2009	38,061	57,253	21,434	43	116,791
2010	37,807	55,522	16,674	23	110,026
2011	38,016	54,201	13,900	13	106,130
2012	37,834	52,504	11,875	12	102,225
2013	38,332	51,893	10,648	0	100,873
2014	38,777	51,240	9,507	0	99,524
2015	39,257	50,427	8,622	0	98,351
2016	39,269	49,654	7,933	0	96,856
2017	39,262	48,720	7,749	0	95,731
2018	39,135	47,908	7,481	0	94,524

Note: Transitional licensing enabled persons to become licensed when they passed the appropriate examination but lacked either the educational or the experience requirements adopted by the State. Effective July 1, 2013, transitional credentials were no longer eligible for listing on the Appraiser Registry.

National Registry Credentials

Yearly Appraiser Credential Trends



Appendix D

Tools for Monitoring State Compliance with Title XI

Monitoring Tool	Description	Frequency
Routine Compliance Reviews	Full on-site Reviews of State appraiser regulatory Programs.	Every 2 years or annually if ASC determines a State needs closer monitoring.
Follow-up Compliance Reviews	On-site Reviews focused on areas of noncompliance identified during routine Compliance Reviews.	6 to 12 months after previous Compliance Review.
Priority Contact Visits	On-site visits, usually to States with large populations of appraisers, to discuss potentially problematic emerging issues and maintain a close working relationship with the State agency.	As needed.
Off-site Monitoring	Telephone or e-mail contacts with State agencies regarding emerging compliance issues and progress in addressing previously identified issues.	Continuous.

Appendix E

Appraiser Disciplinary Actions Reported by States²⁷

January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2018

State or US Territory	Additional Education	Down-grade	Limited	Monetary Fine	Official Reprimand	Other	Probation	Revocation	Suspension	Voluntary Surrender	Warning, Corrective Action, and/or Disciplinary Action	Total
Alabama	24	0	0	79	17	31	8	4	17	12	6	198
Alaska	5	0	0	8	1	8	5	0	0	3	5	35
Arizona	32	0	1	0	1	106	66	15	15	21	0	257
Arkansas	58	0	2	25	0	5	67	2	4	6	49	218
California	459	0	15	586	2	22	153	67	85	82	8	1479
Colorado	72	1	0	89	4	4	4	26	20	86	30	336
Connecticut	28	0	0	158	0	1	1	5	7	11	1	212
Delaware	18	0	0	21	57	1	11	4	7	0	0	119
District Of Columbia	4	0	0	22	5	0	4	2	5	0	0	42
Florida	128	0	0	194	3	33	232	109	69	7	0	775
Georgia	0	0	0	0	6	411	0	165	81	0	0	663
Hawaii	6	0	0	16	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	26
Idaho	29	0	0	69	0	47	38	5	7	5	2	202
Illinois	14	0	1	187	20	17	17	70	105	5	91	527
Indiana	5	0	0	5	19	0	42	6	23	4	1	105
Iowa	41	0	0	16	10	5	26	2	9	18	20	147
Kansas	52	2	0	19	0	0	12	8	2	5	3	103
Kentucky	64	2	0	75	1	21	0	3	23	6	0	195
Louisiana	14	0	0	24	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	64
Maine	47	0	0	64	41	3	8	15	12	3	25	218
Maryland	71	0	0	121	11	1	0	5	36	11	0	256
Massachusetts	43	0	0	74	24	1	68	18	5	25	0	258
Michigan	102	0	0	245	1	2	6	62	20	5	0	443
Minnesota	8	0	0	156	6	8	0	45	16	1	116	356
Mississippi	55	0	0	0	0	3	11	2	2	3	0	76
Missouri	0	0	0	0	0	27	132	54	77	14	0	304
Montana	21	0	0	26	5	4	12	7	15	5	0	95
Nebraska	36	2	1	12	0	10	2	0	7	3	4	77
Nevada	87	1	0	38	0	5	7	18	6	24	2	188
New Hampshire	40	0	0	48	18	3	0	5	5	2	0	121
New Jersey	60	0	0	172	34	1	16	3	51	26	0	363
New Mexico	40	0	0	16	1	0	1	14	5	3	29	109

State or US Territory	Additional Education	Down-grade	Limited	Monetary Fine	Official Reprimand	Other	Probation	Revocation	Suspension	Voluntary Surrender	Warning, Corrective Action, and/or Disciplinary Action	Total
New York	174	0	0	335	9	5	0	71	76	0	36	706
North Carolina	100	1	3	0	42	0	0	4	78	27	0	255
North Dakota	8	0	0	10	2	2	3	1	3	2	1	32
Ohio	104	0	0	98	15	2	0	7	92	9	3	330
Oklahoma	81	1	2	272	2	14	50	20	258	15	26	741
Oregon	81	0	0	148	14	26	1	5	17	15	14	321
Pennsylvania	100	0	0	137	8	3	26	8	33	24	0	339
Puerto Rico	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	9
Rhode Island	8	0	0	15	4	1	1	3	3	0	2	37
South Carolina	81	0	0	87	95	0	25	6	7	19	0	320
South Dakota	38	0	1	43	28	2	0	2	6	5	3	128
Tennessee	138	2	0	122	2	2	14	11	41	13	23	368
Texas	375	0	5	210	14	196	152	69	77	66	19	1183
Utah	36	3	0	59	0	1	3	12	7	9	1	131
Vermont	2	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	5	1	9	21
Virginia	34	0	0	57	0	1	10	19	71	0	109	301
Washington	59	0	0	104	2	1	94	25	29	3	0	317
West Virginia	17	0	0	19	8	8	3	5	11	1	2	74
Wisconsin	112	0	83	17	143	8	1	11	86	41	0	502
Wyoming	12	0	0	12	0	2	6	1	0	2	0	35
Total	3,225	15	114	4,315	701	1,055	1,339	1,024	1,639	648	642	14,717

A total of 7,622 credentials have been revoked, suspended or voluntarily surrendered since 1992.

²⁷ Public disciplinary actions on the Appraiser Registry are those State actions currently in effect that affect an appraiser's ability to appraise: revocations, suspensions or voluntary surrenders in lieu of discipline. No disciplinary actions have been reported by Guam, Mariana Islands or Virgin Islands.

Appendix F

Appraisal Complaint National Hotline

Review Period: January-December 2018

In-Bound Source	Calendar Year 2018 Totals	Referral Type	Description	Calendar Year 2018 Totals	
Call Center Calls	383	Complainant	Appraiser	78	
Email Referral	2		AMC	9	
Website Hits/Visits	338		Bank, savings and loan, thrift, credit union, or other financial institution	5	
Federal Agency Referrals	53		Mortgage broker, lender, or loan originator	14	
State Agency Referrals	338		Settlement services provider	0	
Member Agency Referrals			Other Totals	232	
Agency	Calendar Year 2018 Totals		<i>Consumer*</i>	221	
FRS	1		<i>Real Estate Agent</i>	11	
OCC	7		<i>Attorney</i>	0	
FDIC	1		<i>Insurance Agent</i>	0	
NCUA	2		<i>Federal Entity</i>	0	
CFPB	40		<i>Blank/Undefined</i>	0	
FTC	2		Complaint	USPAP	249
FHFA	0		Complaint Against	Appraisal Independence	89
		Appraiser		285	
		AMC		17	
		Bank, savings and loan, thrift, credit union, or other financial institution		14	
		Mortgage broker, lender, or loan originator		10	
		Settlement services provider		1	
		Other		11	
		Property Type		1-4 Unit Residential	318
				Commercial	14
				No Property	6
		Federal Loan Type	FHA	70	
			VA	17	
			USDA	5	
			None	246	

*Consumer consists of the following self-identified categories: Property Owner, Homeowner, Buyer, Borrower, Seller and Loan Applicant.

Appendix G

Agency Complaint Data

Agency Complaint Data: January–December 2018

	Agency					
Complainant	FDIC	FRB	NCUA	OCC	CFPB	TOTAL
Appraiser	7	0	0	12	5	24
Appraisal Management Company	0	0	1	0	0	1
Business Property Owner	3	0	0	0	0	3
Financial Institution Lender	51	0	0	0	0	51
Individual Property Owner	82	4	0	37	0	123
Mortgage Broker	1	0	0	0	0	1
Non-Financial Institution Lender	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	11	2	0	0	5	18
Complaint Against	FDIC	FRB	NCUA	OCC	CFPB	TOTAL
Appraiser	65	0	0	18	0	43
Appraisal Management Company	18	0	0	4	4	10
Lender	71	6	0	24	6	70
Other	1	0	0	3	0	11
Complaint Type	FDIC	FRB	NCUA	OCC	CFPB	TOTAL
Non-compliance with USPAP	107	0	0	7	3	117
Appraiser Independence	43	0	0	5	7	55
Other	19	6	0	37	0	62

Appendix H

Reference Materials

Statutory References

Policy Statements

Statutory References

Unofficial Staff Version of Title XI of FIRREA Real Estate Appraisal Reform [12 U.S.C. 3331-3356] as amended by the Dodd-Frank Act and the Economic Growth, Regulatory Relief and Consumer Protection Act

§ 1101. Purpose [12 U.S.C. 3331]

The purpose of this title is to provide that Federal financial and public policy interests in real estate related transactions will be protected by requiring that real estate appraisals utilized in connection with federally related transactions are performed in writing, in accordance with uniform standards, by individuals whose competency has been demonstrated and whose professional conduct will be subject to effective supervision.

§ 1102. Establishment of Appraisal Subcommittee of the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council [12 U.S.C. 3310]

The Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council Act of 1978 (12 U.S.C. 3301 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new section:

§ 1011. Establishment of Appraisal Subcommittee

There shall be within the Council a subcommittee to be known as the Appraisal Subcommittee, which shall consist of the designees of the heads of the Federal financial institutions regulatory agencies, the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection, and the Federal Housing Finance Agency. Each such designee shall be a person who has demonstrated knowledge and competence concerning the appraisal profession. At all times at least one member of the Appraisal Subcommittee shall have demonstrated knowledge and competence through licensure, certification, or professional designation within the appraisal profession.

§ 1103. Functions of Appraisal Subcommittee [12 U.S.C. 3332]

(a) *In general.* The Appraisal Subcommittee shall—

- (1) monitor the requirements established by States—
 - (A) for the certification and licensing of individuals

who are qualified to perform appraisals in connection with federally related transactions, including a code of professional responsibility; and
(B) for the registration and supervision of the operations and activities of an appraisal management company;

- (2) monitor the requirements established by the Federal financial institutions regulatory agencies [and the Resolution Trust Corporation] with respect to—
 - (A) appraisal standards for federally related transactions under their jurisdiction, and
 - (B) determinations as to which federally related transactions under their jurisdiction require the services of a State certified appraiser and which require the services of a State licensed appraiser;
- (3) maintain a National Registry of State certified and licensed appraisers who are eligible to perform appraisals in federally related transactions;
- (4) [Omitted] terminated effective May 15, 2000, pursuant to § 3003 of Act Dec. 21, 1995, P.L. 104-66, which appears as 31 USCS § 1113 note (see also page 170 of House Document No. 103-7);
- (5) transmit an annual report to the Congress not later than June 15 of each year that describes the manner in which each function assigned to the Appraisal Subcommittee has been carried out during the preceding year. The report shall also detail the activities of the Appraisal Subcommittee, including the results of all audits of State appraiser regulatory agencies, and provide an accounting of disapproved actions and warnings taken in the previous year, including a description of the conditions causing the disapproval and actions taken to achieve compliance; and
- (6) maintain a national registry of appraisal management companies that either are registered with and subject to supervision of a State appraiser certifying and licensing agency or are operating subsidiaries of a Federally regulated financial institution.

(b) *Monitoring and reviewing Foundation.* The Appraisal Subcommittee shall monitor and review the practices, procedures, activities, and organizational structure of the Appraisal Foundation.

§ 1104. Chairperson of Appraisal Subcommittee; term of Chairperson; meetings
[12 U.S.C. 3333]

(a) *Chairperson.* The Council shall select the Chairperson of the subcommittee. The term of the Chairperson shall be 2 years.

(b) *Meetings; quorum; voting.* The Appraisal Subcommittee shall meet in public session after notice in the Federal Register, but may close certain portions of these meetings related to personnel and review of preliminary State audit reports at the call of the Chairperson or a majority of its members when there is business to be conducted. A majority of members of the Appraisal Subcommittee shall constitute a quorum but 2 or more members may hold hearings. Decisions of the Appraisal Subcommittee shall be made by the vote of a majority of its members. The subject matter discussed in any closed or executive session shall be described in the Federal Register notice of the meeting.

§ 1105. Officers and staff [12 U.S.C. 3334]

The Chairperson of the Appraisal Subcommittee shall appoint such officers and staff as may be necessary to carry out the functions of this title consistent with the appointment and compensation practices of the Council.

§ 1106. Powers of Appraisal Subcommittee
[12 U.S.C. 3335]

The Appraisal Subcommittee may, for the purpose of carrying out this title, establish advisory committees, hold hearings, prescribe regulations in accordance with chapter 5 of title 5, *United States Code* (commonly referred to as the Administrative Procedures Act) after notice and opportunity for comment, sit and act at times and places, take testimony, receive evidence, provide information, and perform research, as the Appraisal Subcommittee considers appropriate. Any regulations prescribed by the Appraisal Subcommittee shall (unless otherwise provided in this title) be limited to the following functions: temporary practice, national registry, information sharing, and enforcement. For purposes of prescribing regulations, the Appraisal Subcommittee shall establish an advisory committee of industry participants, including appraisers,

lenders, consumer advocates, real estate agents, and government agencies, and hold meetings as necessary to support the development of regulations.

§ 1107. Procedures for establishing appraisal standards and requiring the use of certified and licensed appraisers [12 U.S.C. 3336]

Appraisal standards and requirements for using State certified and licensed appraisers in federally related transactions pursuant to this title shall be prescribed in accordance with procedures set forth in section 553 of title 5, United States Code, including the publication of notice and receipt of written comments or the holding of public hearings with respect to any standards or requirements proposed to be established.

§ 1108. Startup funding [12 U.S.C. 3337]

(a) *In general.* For purposes of this title, the Secretary of the Treasury shall pay to the Appraisal Subcommittee a one-time payment of \$ 5,000,000 on the date of the enactment of this Act [enacted Aug. 9, 1989]. Thereafter, expenses of the subcommittee shall be funded through the collection of registry fees from certain certified and licensed appraisers pursuant to section 1109 [12 USCS § 3338] or, if required, pursuant to section 1122(b) of this title [12 USCS § 3351(b)].

(b) *Additional funds.* Except as provided in section 1122(c) of this title [12 USCS § 3351(b)], funds in addition to the funds provided under subsection (a) may be made available to the Appraisal Subcommittee only if authorized and appropriated by law.

(c) *Repayment of Treasury loan.* Not later than September 30, 1998, the Appraisal Subcommittee shall repay to the Secretary of the Treasury the unpaid portion of the \$5,000,000 paid to the Appraisal Subcommittee pursuant to this section.

§ 1109. Roster of State certified or licensed appraisers; authority to collect and transmit fees
[12 U.S.C. 3338]

(a) *In general.* Each State with an appraiser certifying and licensing agency whose certifications and licenses comply with this title, shall—

- (1) transmit to the Appraisal Subcommittee, no less than annually, a roster listing individuals who have received a State certification or license in accordance with this title;

- (2) transmit reports on the issuance and renewal of licenses and certifications, sanctions, disciplinary actions, license and certification revocations, and license and certification suspensions on a timely basis to the national registry of the Appraisal Subcommittee;
- (3) transmit reports on a timely basis of supervisory activities involving appraisal management companies or other third-party providers of appraisals and appraisal management services, including investigations initiated and disciplinary actions taken; and
- (4) collect—
 - (A) from such individuals who perform or seek to perform appraisals in federally related transactions, an annual registry fee of not more than \$40, such fees to be transmitted by the State agencies to the Council on an annual basis; and
 - (B) from an appraisal management company that either has registered with a State appraiser certifying and licensing agency in accordance with this title or operates as a subsidiary of a federally regulated financial institution, an annual registry fee of—
 - (i) in the case of such a company that has been in existence for more than a year, \$25 multiplied by the number of appraisers working for or contracting with such company in such State during the previous year, but where such \$25 amount may be adjusted, up to a maximum of \$50, at the discretion of the Appraisal Subcommittee, if necessary to carry out the Subcommittee’s functions under this title; and
 - (ii) in the case of such a company that has not been in existence for more than a year, \$25 multiplied by an appropriate number to be determined by the Appraisal Subcommittee, and where such number will be used for determining the fee of all such companies that were not in existence for more than a year, but where such \$25 amount may be adjusted, up to a maximum of \$50, at the discretion of the Appraisal Subcommittee, if necessary to carry out the Subcommittee’s functions under this title.

Subject to the approval of the Council, the Appraisal Subcommittee may adjust the dollar amount of registry fees under paragraph (4)(A), up to a maximum of \$80 per annum, as necessary to carry out its functions under this title. The Appraisal Subcommittee shall consider at least

once every 5 years whether to adjust the dollar amount of the registry fees to account for inflation. In implementing any change in registry fees, the Appraisal Subcommittee shall provide flexibility to the States for multi-year certifications and licenses already in place, as well as a transition period to implement the changes in registry fees. In establishing the amount of the annual registry fee for an appraisal management company, the Appraisal Subcommittee shall have the discretion to impose a minimum annual registry fee for an appraisal management company to protect against the under reporting of the number of appraisers working for or contracted by the appraisal management company.

(b) *Use of amounts appropriated or collected.* Amounts appropriated for or collected by the Appraisal Subcommittee under this section shall be used—

- (1) to maintain a registry of individuals who are qualified and eligible to perform appraisals in connection with federally related transactions;
- (2) to support its activities under this title;
- (3) to reimburse the general fund of the Treasury for amounts appropriated to and expended by the Appraisal Subcommittee during the 24-month start-up period following the date of the enactment of this title [enacted Aug. 9, 1989];
- (4) to make grants in such amounts as it deems appropriate to the Appraisal Foundation, to help defray those costs of the foundation relating to the activities of its Appraisal Standards and Appraiser Qualification Boards;
- (5) to make grants to State appraiser certifying and licensing agencies, in accordance with policies to be developed by the Appraisal Subcommittee, to support the efforts of such agencies to comply with this title, including—
 - (A) the complaint process, complaint investigations, and appraiser enforcement activities of such agencies; and
 - (B) the submission of data on State licensed and certified appraisers and appraisal management companies to the National appraisal registry, including information affirming that the appraiser or appraisal management company meets the required qualification criteria and formal and informal disciplinary actions; and
- (6) to report to all State appraiser certifying and licensing agencies when a license or certification is surrendered, revoked, or suspended.

§ 1110. Functions of Federal financial institutions regulatory agencies relating to appraisal standards [12 U.S.C. 3339]

Each Federal financial institutions regulatory agency and the Resolution Trust Corporation shall prescribe appropriate standards for the performance of real estate appraisals in connection with federally related transactions under the jurisdiction of each such agency or instrumentality. These rules shall require, at a minimum—

- (1) that real estate appraisals be performed in accordance with generally accepted appraisal standards as evidenced by the appraisal standards promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of the Appraisal Foundation;
- (2) that such appraisals shall be written appraisals. Each such agency or instrumentality may require compliance with additional standards if it makes a determination in writing that such additional standards are required in order to properly carry out its statutory responsibilities; and
- (3) that such appraisals shall be subject to appropriate review for compliance with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.

§ 1111. Time for proposal and adoption of standards [12 U.S.C. 3340]

Appraisal standards established under this title shall be proposed not later than 6 months and shall be adopted in final form and become effective not later than 12 months after the date of the enactment of this Act [enacted Aug. 9, 1989].

§ 1112. Functions of Federal financial institutions regulatory agencies relating to appraiser qualifications [12 U.S.C. 3341]

(a) *In general.* Each Federal financial institutions regulatory agency and the Resolution Trust Corporation shall prescribe, in accordance with sections 1113 and 1114 of this title [12 USCS §§ 3342, 3343], which categories of federally related transactions should be appraised by a State certified appraiser and which by a State licensed appraiser under this title.

(b) *Threshold level.* Each Federal financial institutions regulatory agency and the Resolution Trust Corporation may establish a threshold level at or below which a certified or licensed appraiser is not required to perform appraisals in connection with federally related

transactions, if such agency determines in writing that such threshold level does not represent a threat to the safety and soundness of financial institutions and receives concurrence from the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection that such threshold level provides reasonable protection for consumers who purchase 1–4 unit single-family residences.

(c) *GAO study of appraisals in connection with real estate related financial transactions below the threshold level.*

- (1) *GAO studies.* The Comptroller General of the United States may conduct, under such conditions as the Comptroller General determines appropriate, studies on the adequacy and quality of appraisals or evaluations conducted in connection with real estate related financial transactions below the threshold level established under subsection (b), taking into account—
 - (A) the cost to any financial institution involved in any such transaction;
 - (B) the possibility of losses to the Deposit Insurance Fund or the National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund;
 - (C) the cost to any customer involved in any such transaction; and
 - (D) the effect on low-income housing.

(2) *Reports to Congress and the appropriate Federal financial institutions regulatory agencies.* Upon completing each of the studies referred to in paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall submit a report on the Comptroller General's findings and conclusions with respect to such study to the Federal financial institutions regulatory agencies, the Committee on Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs of the Senate, together with such recommendations for legislative or administrative action as the Comptroller General determines to be appropriate.

§ 1113. Transactions requiring the services of a State certified appraiser [12 U.S.C. 3342]

In determining whether an appraisal in connection with a federally related transaction shall be performed by a State certified appraiser, an agency or instrumentality under this title shall consider whether transactions, either individually or collectively, are of sufficient financial or public policy importance to the United States that an individual who performs an appraisal in connection with such transactions should be a State certified appraiser, except that—

- (1) a State certified appraiser shall be required for all federally related transactions having a value of \$1,000,000 or more; and
- (2) 1-to-4 unit, single family residential appraisals may be performed by State licensed appraisers unless the size and complexity requires a State certified appraiser, where a complex 1-to-4 unit single family residential appraisal means an appraisal for which the property to be appraised, the form of ownership, the property characteristics, or the market conditions are atypical.

§ 1114. Transactions requiring the services of a State licensed appraiser [12 U.S.C. 3343]

All federally related transactions not requiring the services of a State certified appraiser shall be performed by either a State certified or licensed appraiser.

§ 1115. Time for proposal and adoption of rules [12 U.S.C. 3344]

As appropriate, rules issued under sections 1113 and 1114 [12 USCS §§ 3342 and 3343] shall be proposed not later than 6 months and shall be effective upon adoption in final form not later than 12 months after the date of the enactment of this Act [enacted Aug. 9, 1989].

§ 1116. Certification and licensing requirements [12 U.S.C. 3345]

(a) *In general.* For purposes of this title, the term “State certified real estate appraiser” means any individual who has satisfied the requirements for State certification in a State or territory whose criteria for certification as a real estate appraiser currently meets the minimum criteria for certification issued by the Appraiser Qualification Board of the Appraisal Foundation.

(b) *Restriction.* No individual shall be a State certified real estate appraiser under this section unless such individual has achieved a passing grade upon a suitable examination administered by a State or territory that is consistent with and equivalent to the Uniform State Certification Examination issued or endorsed by the Appraiser Qualification Board of the Appraisal Foundation.

(c) *“State licensed appraiser” defined.* As used in this section, the term “State licensed appraiser” means an individual who has satisfied the requirements for State licensing in a State or territory whose criteria for the licensing

of a real estate appraiser currently meet or exceed the minimum criteria issued by the Appraisal Qualifications Board of The Appraisal Foundation for the licensing of real estate appraisers.

(d) *Additional qualification criteria.* Nothing in this title shall be construed to prevent any Federal agency or instrumentality under this title from establishing such additional qualification criteria as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out the statutory responsibilities of such department, agency, or instrumentality.

(e) *Minimum Qualification Requirements.* Any requirements established for individuals in the position of ‘Trainee Appraiser’ and ‘Supervisory Appraiser’ shall meet or exceed the minimum qualification requirements of the Appraiser Qualifications Board of The Appraisal Foundation. The Appraisal Subcommittee shall have the authority to enforce these requirements.

§ 1117. Establishment of State appraiser certifying and licensing agencies [12 U.S.C. 3346]

To assure the availability of State certified and licensed appraisers for the performance in a State of appraisals in federally related transactions and to assure effective supervision of the activities of certified and licensed appraisers, a State may establish a State appraiser certifying and licensing agency. The duties of such agency may additionally include the registration and supervision of appraisal management companies and the addition of information about the appraisal management company to the national registry.

§ 1118. Monitoring of State appraiser certifying and licensing agencies [12 U.S.C. 3347]

(a) *In general.* The Appraisal Subcommittee shall monitor each State appraiser certifying and licensing agency for the purposes of determining whether such agency—

- (1) has policies, practices, funding, staffing, and procedures that are consistent with this title;
- (2) processes complaints and completes investigations in a reasonable time period;
- (3) appropriately disciplines sanctioned appraisers and appraisal management companies;
- (4) maintains an effective regulatory program; and
- (5) reports complaints and disciplinary actions on a timely basis to the national registries on appraisers and appraisal management companies maintained by the Appraisal Subcommittee.

The Appraisal Subcommittee shall have the authority to remove a State licensed or certified appraiser or a registered appraisal management company from a national registry on an interim basis, not to exceed 90 days, pending State agency action on licensing, certification, registration, and disciplinary proceedings. The Appraisal Subcommittee and all agencies, instrumentalities, and Federally recognized entities under this title shall not recognize appraiser certifications and licenses from States whose appraisal policies, practices, funding, staffing, or procedures are found to be inconsistent with this title. The Appraisal Subcommittee shall have the authority to impose sanctions, as described in this section, against a State agency that fails to have an effective appraiser regulatory program. In determining whether such a program is effective, the Appraisal Subcommittee shall include an analysis of the licensing and certification of appraisers, the registration of appraisal management companies, the issuance of temporary licenses and certifications for appraisers, the receiving and tracking of submitted complaints against appraisers and appraisal management companies, the investigation of complaints, and enforcement actions against appraisers and appraisal management companies. The Appraisal Subcommittee shall have the authority to impose interim actions and suspensions against a State agency as an alternative to, or in advance of the derecognition of a State agency.

(b) *Disapproval by Appraisal Subcommittee.* The Federal financial institutions regulatory agencies, the Federal National Mortgage Association, the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, and the Resolution Trust Corporation shall accept certifications and licenses awarded by a State appraiser certifying the licensing agency unless the Appraisal Subcommittee issues a written finding that—

- (1) the State agency fails to recognize and enforce the standards, requirements, and procedures prescribed pursuant to this title;
- (2) the State agency is not granted authority or sufficient funding by the State which is adequate to permit the agency to carry out its functions under this title; or
- (3) decisions concerning appraisal standards, appraiser qualifications and supervision of appraiser practices are not made in a manner that carries out the purposes of this title.

(c) *Rejection of State certifications and licenses.*

(1) *Opportunity to be heard or correct conditions.* Before refusing to recognize a State's appraiser certifications or licenses, the Appraisal Subcommittee shall provide that State's certifying and licensing agency a written notice of its intention not to recognize the State's certified or licensed appraisers and ample opportunity to provide rebuttal information or to correct the conditions causing the refusal.

(2) *Adoption of procedures.* The Appraisal Subcommittee shall adopt written procedures for taking actions described in this section.

(3) *Judicial review.* A decision of the subcommittee under this section shall be subject to judicial review.

§ 1119. Recognition of State certified and licensed appraisers for purposes of this title
[12 U.S.C. 3348]

(a) *Effective date for use of certified or licensed appraisers only.*

(1) *In general.* Not later than December 31, 1992, all appraisals performed in connection with federally related transactions shall be performed only by individuals certified or licensed in accordance with the requirements of this title.

(2) *Extension of effective date.* Subject to the approval of the Council, the Appraisal Subcommittee may extend, until December 31, 1991, the effective date for the use of certified or licensed appraisers if it makes a written finding that a State has made substantial progress in establishing a State certification and licensing system that appears to conform to the provisions of this title.

(b) *Temporary waiver of appraiser certification or licensing requirements for State having scarcity of qualified appraisers.* Subject to the approval of the Council, the Appraisal Subcommittee may waive any requirement relating to certification or licensing of a person to perform appraisals under this title if the Appraisal Subcommittee or a State agency whose certifications and licenses are in compliance with this title, makes a written determination that there is a scarcity of certified or licensed appraisers to perform appraisals in connection with federally related transactions in a State, or in any geographical political subdivision of

a State, leading to significant delays in the performance of such appraisals. The waiver terminates when the Appraisal Subcommittee determines that such significant delays have been eliminated.

(c) *Reports to State certifying and licensing agencies.* The Appraisal Subcommittee, any other Federal agency or instrumentality, or any federally recognized entity shall report any action of a State certified or licensed appraiser that is contrary to the purposes of this title to the appropriate State agency for a disposition of the subject of the referral. The State agency shall provide the Appraisal Subcommittee or the other Federal agency or instrumentality with a report on its disposition of the matter referred. Subsequent to such disposition, the subcommittee or the agency or instrumentality may take such further action, pursuant to written procedures, it deems necessary to carry out the purposes of this title.

§ 1120. Violations in obtaining and performing appraisals in federally related transactions
[12 U.S.C. 3349]

(a) *Violations.* Except as authorized by the Appraisal Subcommittee in exercising its waiver authority pursuant to section 1119(b) [12 USCS § 3348(b)], it shall be a violation of this section—

- (1) for a financial institution to seek, obtain, or give money or any other thing of value in exchange for the performance of an appraisal by a person who the institution knows is not a State certified or licensed appraiser in connection with a federally related transaction; and
- (2) for the Federal National Mortgage Association, the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, or the Resolution Trust Corporation to knowingly contract for the performance of any appraisal by a person who is not a State certified or licensed appraiser in connection with a real estate related financial transaction defined in section 1121(5) [12 USCS § 3350(5)] to which such association or corporation is a party.

(b) *Penalties.* A financial institution that violates subsection (a)(1) shall be subject to civil penalties under section 8(i)(2) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act or section 206(k)(2) of the Federal Credit Union Act [12 USCS § 1818(i)(2) or 1786(k)(2)], as appropriate.

(c) *Proceeding.* A proceeding with respect to a violation of this section shall be an administrative proceeding which may be conducted by a Federal financial institutions regulatory agency in accordance with the procedures set forth in subchapter II of chapter 5 of title 5, United States Code [5 USCS §§ 551 et seq.].

§ 1121. Definitions [12 U.S.C. 3350]

For purposes of this title:

- (1) *State appraiser certifying and licensing agency.* The term “State appraiser certifying and licensing agency” means a State agency established in compliance with this title.
- (2) *Appraisal Subcommittee; subcommittee.* The terms “Appraisal Subcommittee” and “subcommittee” mean the Appraisal Subcommittee of the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council.
- (3) *Council.* The term “Council” means the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council.
- (4) *Federally related transaction.* The term “federally related transaction” means any real estate-related financial transaction which—
 - (A) a federal financial institutions regulatory agency or the Resolution Trust Corporation engages in, contracts for, or regulates; and
 - (B) requires the services of an appraiser.
- (5) *Real estate related financial transaction.* The term “real estate-related financial transaction” means any transaction involving—
 - (A) the sale, lease, purchase, investment in or exchange of real property, including interests in property, or the financing thereof;
 - (B) the refinancing of real property or interests in real property; and
 - (C) the use of real property or interests in property as security for a loan or investment, including mortgage-backed securities.
- (6) *Federal financial institutions regulatory agencies.* The term “Federal financial institutions regulatory agencies” means the Board of Governors of the Fed-

eral Reserve System, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Office of Thrift Supervision, and the National Credit Union Administration.

(7) *Financial institution.* The term “financial institution” means an insured depository institution as defined in section 3 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act [12 USCS § 1813] or an insured credit union as defined in section 101 of the Federal Credit Union Act [12 USCS § 1752].

(8) *Chairperson.* The term “Chairperson” means the Chairperson of the Appraisal Subcommittee selected by the Council.

(9) *Foundation.* The terms “Appraisal Foundation” and “Foundation” means [mean] the Appraisal Foundation established on November 30, 1987, as a not for profit corporation under the laws of Illinois.

(10) *Written appraisal.* The term “written appraisal” means a written statement used in connection with a federally related transaction that is independently and impartially prepared by a licensed or certified appraiser setting forth an opinion of defined value of an adequately described property as of a specific date, supported by presentation and analysis of relevant market information.

(11) *Appraisal Management Company.* The term ‘appraisal management company’ means, in connection with valuing properties collateralizing mortgage loans or mortgages incorporated into a securitization, any external third party authorized either by a creditor of a consumer credit transaction secured by a consumer’s principal dwelling or by an underwriter of or other principal in the secondary mortgage markets, that oversees a network or panel of more than 15 certified or licensed appraisers in a State or 25 or more nationally within a given year—
(A) to recruit, select, and retain appraisers;
(B) to contract with licensed and certified appraisers to perform appraisal assignments;
(C) to manage the process of having an appraisal performed, including providing administrative duties such as receiving appraisal orders and appraisal reports, submitting completed appraisal

reports to creditors and underwriters, collecting fees from creditors and underwriters for services provided, and reimbursing appraisers for services performed; or

(D) to review and verify the work of appraisers.

§ 1122. Miscellaneous provisions

[12 U.S.C. 3351]

(a) *Temporary practice.*

(1) *In general.* A State appraiser certifying or licensing agency shall recognize on a temporary basis the certification or license of an appraiser issued by another State if—

(A) the property to be appraised is part of a federally related transaction,

(B) the appraiser’s business is of a temporary nature, and

(C) the appraiser registers with the appraiser certifying or licensing agency in the State of temporary practice.

(2) *Fees for temporary practice.* A State appraiser certifying or licensing agency shall not impose excessive fees or burdensome requirements, as determined by the Appraisal Subcommittee, for temporary practice under this subsection.

(b) *Reciprocity.* Notwithstanding any other provisions of this title, a federally related transaction shall not be appraised by a certified or licensed appraiser unless the State appraiser certifying or licensing agency of the State certifying or licensing such appraiser has in place a policy of issuing a reciprocal certification or license for an individual from another State when—

(1) the appraiser licensing and certification program of such other State is in compliance with the provisions of this title; and

(2) the appraiser holds a valid certification from a State whose requirements for certification or licensing meet or exceed the licensure standards established by the State where an individual seeks appraisal licensure.

(c) *Supplemental funding.* Funds available to the Federal financial institutions regulatory agencies may be made available to the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council to support the Council’s functions under this title.

(d) *Prohibition against discrimination.* Criteria established by the Federal financial institutions regulatory agencies, the Federal National Mortgage Association, the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, and the Resolution Trust Corporation for appraiser qualifications in addition to State certification or licensing may include education achieved, experience, sample appraisals, and references from prior clients. Membership in a nationally recognized professional appraisal organization may be a criteria considered, though lack of membership therein shall not be the sole bar against consideration for an assignment under these criteria.

(e) *Other requirements.* A corporation, partnership, or other business entity may provide appraisal services in connection with federally related transactions if such appraisal is prepared by individuals certified or licensed in accordance with the requirements of this title. An individual who is not a State certified or licensed appraiser may assist in the preparation of an appraisal if—

- (1) the assistant is under the direct supervision of a licensed or certified individual; and
- (2) the final appraisal document is approved and signed by an individual who is certified or licensed.

(f) *Studies.*

- (1) *Study.* The Appraisal Subcommittee shall—
 - (A) conduct a study to determine whether real estate sales and financing information and data that is available to real estate appraisers in the States is sufficient to permit appraisers to properly estimate the values of properties in connection with federally related transactions; and
 - (B) study the feasibility and desirability of extending the provisions of this title to the function of personal property appraising and to personal property appraisers in connection with Federal financial and public policy interests.
- (2) *Report.* The Appraisal Subcommittee shall— (A) report its findings to the Congress with respect to the study described in paragraph (1)(A) no later than 12 months after the date of the enactment of this title [enacted Aug. 9, 1989], and (B) report its findings with respect to the study described in paragraph (1)(B) to Congress not later than 18 months after the date of the enactment of this title [enacted Aug. 9, 1989].

(g) *Appraiser Independence Monitoring.* The Appraisal Subcommittee shall monitor each State appraiser certifying and licensing agency for the purpose of determining whether such agency's policies, practices, and procedures are consistent with the purposes of maintaining appraiser independence and whether such State has adopted and maintains effective laws, regulations, and policies aimed at maintaining appraiser independence.

(h) *Approved Education.* The Appraisal Subcommittee shall encourage the States to accept courses approved by the Appraiser Qualification Board's Course Approval Program.

(i) *Appraisal Complaint National Hotline.* If, 6 months after the date of the enactment of this subsection, the Appraisal Subcommittee determines that no national hotline exists to receive complaints of non-compliance with appraisal independence standards and Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, including complaints from appraisers, individuals, or other entities concerning the improper influencing or attempted improper influencing of appraisers or the appraisal process, the Appraisal Subcommittee shall establish and operate such a national hotline, which shall include a toll-free telephone number and an email address. If the Appraisal Subcommittee operates such a national hotline, the Appraisal Subcommittee shall refer complaints for further action to appropriate governmental bodies, including a State appraiser certifying and licensing agency, a financial institution regulator, or other appropriate legal authorities. For complaints referred to State appraiser certifying and licensing agencies or to Federal regulators, the Appraisal Subcommittee shall have the authority to follow up such complaint referrals in order to determine the status of the resolution of the complaint.

§ 1123. Emergency exceptions for disaster areas **[12 U.S.C. 3352]**

(a) *In general.* Each Federal financial institutions regulatory agency may, by regulation or order, make exceptions to this title, and to standards prescribed pursuant to this title, for transactions involving institutions for which the agency is the primary Federal regulator with respect to real property located within a disaster area if the agency—

- (1) makes the exception not later than 30 months after the date on which the President determines, pursuant to section 401 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act [42 USCS § 5170], that a major disaster exists in the area; and
- (2) determines that the exception—
 - (A) would facilitate recovery from the major disaster; and
 - (B) is consistent with safety and soundness.

(b) *3-year limit on exceptions.* Any exception made under this section shall expire not later than 3 years after the date of the determination referred to in subsection (a)(1).

(c) *Publication required.* Any Federal financial institutions regulatory agency shall publish in the Federal Register a statement that—

- (1) describes any exception made under this section; and
- (2) explains how the exception—
 - (A) would facilitate recovery from the major disaster; and
 - (B) is consistent with safety and soundness.

(d) *“Disaster area” defined.* For purposes of this section, the term “disaster area” means an area in which the President, pursuant to section 401 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act [42 USCS § 5170], has determined that a major disaster exists.

§ 1124. Appraisal Management Company Minimum Requirements [12 U.S.C. 3353]

(a) *In general.* The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Comptroller of the Currency, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the National Credit Union Administration Board, the Federal Housing Finance Agency, and the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection shall jointly, by rule, establish minimum requirements to be applied by a State in the registration of appraisal management companies. Such requirements shall include a requirement that such companies—

- (1) register with and be subject to supervision by a State appraiser certifying and licensing agency in each State in which such company operates;
- (2) verify that only licensed or certified appraisers are used for federally related transactions;
- (3) require that appraisals coordinated by an appraisal management company comply with the Uniform

Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice; and

- (4) require that appraisals are conducted independently and free from inappropriate influence and coercion pursuant to the appraisal independence standards established under section 129E of the Truth in Lending Act.

(b) *Relation to State Law.* Nothing in this section shall be construed to prevent States from establishing requirements in addition to any rules promulgated under subsection (a).

(c) *Federally Regulated Financial Institutions.* The requirements of subsection (a) shall apply to an appraisal management company that is a subsidiary owned and controlled by a financial institution and regulated by a Federal financial institution regulatory agency. An appraisal management company that is a subsidiary owned and controlled by a financial institution regulated by a Federal financial institution regulatory agency shall not be required to register with a State.

(d) *Registration Limitations.* An appraisal management company shall not be registered by a State or included on the national registry if such company, in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, is owned by any person who has had an appraiser license or certificate refused, denied, cancelled, surrendered in lieu of revocation, or revoked in any State. Additionally, each person that owns more than 10 percent of an appraisal management company shall be of good moral character, as determined by the State appraiser certifying and licensing agency, and shall submit to a background investigation carried out by the State appraiser certifying and licensing agency.

(e) *Reporting.* The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Comptroller of the Currency, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the National Credit Union Administration Board, the Federal Housing Finance Agency, and the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection shall jointly promulgate regulations for the reporting of the activities of appraisal management companies to the Appraisal Subcommittee in determining the payment of the annual registry fee.

(f) *Effective Date.*

- (1) *In general.* No appraisal management company may perform services related to a federally related transaction in a State after the date that is 36 months after the date on which the regulations required to be

prescribed under subsection (a) are prescribed in final form unless such company is registered with such State or subject to oversight by a Federal financial institutions regulatory agency.

- (2) *Extension of Effective Date.* Subject to the approval of the Council, the Appraisal Subcommittee may extend by an additional 12 months the requirements for the registration and supervision of appraisal management companies if it makes a written finding that a State has made substantial progress in establishing a State appraisal management company registration and supervision system that appears to conform with the provisions of this title.

§ 1125. Automated Valuation Models Used to Estimate Collateral Value for Mortgage Lending Purposes. [12 U.S.C. 3354]

(a) *In general.* Automated valuation models shall adhere to quality control standards designed to—

- (1) ensure a high level of confidence in the estimates produced by automated valuation models;
- (2) protect against the manipulation of data;
- (3) seek to avoid conflicts of interest;
- (4) require random sample testing and reviews; and
- (5) account for any other such factor that the agencies listed in subsection (b) determine to be appropriate.

(b) *Adoption of Regulations.* The Board, the Comptroller of the Currency, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the National Credit Union Administration Board, the Federal Housing Finance Agency, and the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection, in consultation with the staff of the Appraisal Subcommittee and the Appraisal Standards Board of the Appraisal Foundation, shall promulgate regulations to implement the quality control standards required under this section.

(c) *Enforcement.* Compliance with regulations issued under this subsection shall be enforced by—

- (1) with respect to a financial institution, or subsidiary owned and controlled by a financial institution and regulated by a Federal financial institution regulatory agency, the Federal financial institution regulatory agency that acts as the primary Federal supervisor of such financial institution or subsidiary; and
- (2) with respect to other participants in the market for appraisals of 1-to-4 unit single family residential real estate, the Federal Trade Commission, the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection, and a State attorney general.

(d) *Automated Valuation Model Defined.* For purposes of this section, the term ‘automated valuation model’ means any computerized model used by mortgage originators and secondary market issuers to determine the collateral worth of a mortgage secured by a consumer’s principal dwelling.

§ 1126. Broker Price Opinions [12 U.S.C. 3355]

(a) *General Prohibition.* In conjunction with the purchase of a consumer’s principal dwelling, broker price opinions may not be used as the primary basis to determine the value of a piece of property for the purpose of a loan origination of a residential mortgage loan secured by such piece of property.

(b) *Broker Price Opinion Defined.* For purposes of this section, the term ‘broker price opinion’ means an estimate prepared by a real estate broker, agent, or sales person that details the probable selling price of a particular piece of real estate property and provides a varying level of detail about the property’s condition, market, and neighborhood, and information on comparable sales, but does not include an automated valuation model, as defined in section 1125(c).

§ 1127. Exemption From Appraisals of Real Estate Located in Rural Areas [12 U.S.C. 3356]

(a) *Definitions.* In this section—

- (1) the term ‘mortgage originator’ has the meaning given the term in section 103 of the Truth in Lending Act (15 U.S.C. 1602); and
- (2) the term ‘transaction value’ means the amount of a loan or extension of credit, including a loan or extension of credit that is part of a pool of loans or extensions of credit.

(b) *Appraisal Not Required.* Except as provided in subsection (d), notwithstanding any other provision of law, an appraisal in connection with a federally related transaction involving real property or an interest in real property is not required if—

- (1) the real property or interest in real property is located in a rural area, as described in section 1026.35(b)(2)(iv)(A) of title 12, Code of Federal Regulations;
- (2) not later than 3 days after the date on which the Closing Disclosure Form, made in accordance with the final rule of the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection entitled ‘Integrated Mortgage Disclo-

tures Under the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (Regulation X) and the Truth in Lending Act (Regulation Z)' (78 Fed. Reg. 79730 (December 31, 2013)), relating to the federally related transaction is given to the consumer, the mortgage originator or its agent, directly or indirectly—

- (A) has contacted not fewer than 3 State certified appraisers or State licensed appraisers, as applicable, on the mortgage originator's approved appraiser list in the market area in accordance with part 226 of title 12, Code of Federal Regulations; and
- (B) has documented that no State certified appraiser or State licensed appraiser, as applicable, was available within 5 business days beyond customary and reasonable fee and timeliness standards for comparable appraisal assignments, as documented by the mortgage originator or its agent;
- (3) the transaction value is less than \$400,000; and
- (4) the mortgage originator is subject to oversight by a Federal financial institutions regulatory agency.

(c) *Sale, Assignment, or Transfer.* A mortgage originator that makes a loan without an appraisal under the terms of subsection (b) shall not sell, assign, or otherwise transfer legal title to the loan unless—

- (1) the loan is sold, assigned, or otherwise transferred to another person by reason of the bankruptcy or failure of the mortgage originator;
- (2) the loan is sold, assigned, or otherwise transferred to another person regulated by a Federal financial institutions regulatory agency, so long as the loan is retained in portfolio by the person;
- (3) the sale, assignment, or transfer is pursuant to a merger of the mortgage originator with another person or the acquisition of the mortgage originator by another person or of another person by the mortgage originator; or
- (4) the sale, loan, or transfer is to a wholly owned subsidiary of the mortgage originator, provided that, after the sale, assignment, or transfer, the loan is considered to be an asset of the mortgage originator for regulatory accounting purposes.

(d) *Exception.* Subsection (b) shall not apply if—

- (1) a Federal financial institutions regulatory agency requires an appraisal under section 225.63(c),

323.3(c), 34.43(c), or 722.3(e) of title 12, Code of Federal Regulations; or

- (2) the loan is a high-cost mortgage, as defined in section 103 of the Truth in Lending Act (15 U.S.C. 1602).

(e) *Anti-Evasion.* Each Federal financial institutions regulatory agency shall ensure that any mortgage originator that the Federal financial institutions regulatory agency oversees that makes a significant amount of loans under subsection (b) is complying with the requirements of subsection (b)(2) with respect to each loan.

Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989

§ 142 FHA Operations [12 U.S.C. § 1708(g)]

(e) Appraisal standards.

- (1) The Secretary shall prescribe standards for the appraisal of all property to be insured by the Federal Housing Administration. Such appraisals shall be performed in accordance with uniform standards, by individuals who have demonstrated competence and whose professional conduct is subject to effective supervision. These standards shall require at a minimum—
 - (A) that the appraisals of properties to be insured by the Federal Housing Administration shall be performed in accordance with generally accepted appraisal standards promulgated by the Appraisal Foundation a not-for-profit corporation established on November 30, 1987 under the laws of Illinois; and
 - (B) that each appraisal is a written statement used in connection with a real estate transaction that is independently and impartially prepared by a licensed or certified appraiser setting forth an opinion of defined value of an adequately described property as of a specific date, supported by presentation and analysis of relevant market information.
- (2) The Appraisal Subcommittee of the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council shall include the Secretary or his designee.

Policy Statements

Requirements and Guidance to State Appraiser Certifying and Licensing Agencies For Compliance with Title XI

Contents

Introduction and Purpose.	105	POLICY STATEMENT 5	120
PART A: APPRAISER PROGRAM.	107	Reciprocity.	120
POLICY STATEMENT 1	107	A. Reciprocity Policy	120
Statutes, Regulations, Policies and Procedures		B. Application of Reciprocity Policy	120
Governing State Appraiser Programs	107	C. Appraiser Compliance Requirements	121
A. State Regulatory Structure	107	D. Well-Documented Application Files	121
B. Funding and Staffing	107	E. Summary of Requirements.	121
C. Minimum Criteria.	107	POLICY STATEMENT 6	122
D. Federally Recognized Appraiser		Education.	122
Classifications	107	A. Course Approval	122
E. Non-federally Recognized Credentials	108	B. Distance Education	122
F. Appraisal Standards.	108	C. Summary of Requirements	122
G. Exemptions	108	POLICY STATEMENT 7	123
H. ASC Staff Attendance at State Board		State Agency Enforcement	123
Meetings	109	A. State Agency Regulatory Program	123
I. Summary of Requirements	109	B. Enforcement Process	123
POLICY STATEMENT 2	110	C. Summary of Requirements	124
Temporary Practice.	110	PART B: AMC PROGRAM	125
A. Requirement for Temporary Practice	110	POLICY STATEMENT 8	125
B. Excessive Fees or Burdensome Requirements	110	Statutes, Regulations, Policies and Procedures	
C. Summary of Requirements	111	Governing State AMC Programs	125
POLICY STATEMENT 3	112	A. Participating States and ASC Oversight	125
National Registry of Appraisers (Appraiser Registry) 112		B. Relation to State Law	125
A. Requirements for the Appraiser Registry	112	C. Funding and Staffing	125
B. Registry Fee and Invoicing Policies.	112	D. Minimum Requirements for Registration	
C. Access to Appraiser Registry Data	113	and Supervision of AMCs as Established	
D. Information Sharing	113	by the AMC Rule	126
E. Summary of Requirements.	113	E. Summary of Requirements.	127
POLICY STATEMENT 4	115	POLICY STATEMENT 9	128
Application Process	115	National Registry of AMCs (AMC Registry)	128
A. Processing of Applications	115	A. Requirements for the AMC Registry	128
B. Qualifying Education for Initial or Upgrade		B. Registry Fee and Invoicing Policies.	128
Applications	115	C. Reporting Requirements	128
C. Continuing Education for Reinstatement and		D. Access to AMC Registry Data	128
Renewal Applications	115	E. Summary of Requirements.	129
D. Experience for Initial or Upgrade Applications 117			
E. Examination.	118		
F. Summary of Requirements	118		

POLICY STATEMENT 10	130
State Agency Enforcement	130
A. State Agency Regulatory Program	130
B. Enforcement Process	130
C. Summary of Requirements	131
POLICY STATEMENT 11	132
Statutory Implementation Period	132
PART C: INTERIM SANCTIONS	133
POLICY STATEMENT 12	133
Interim Sanctions	133
A. Authority	133
B. Opportunity to be Heard or Correct Conditions	133
C. Procedures	133
APPENDICES	135
Appendix A—Compliance Review Process	135
Appendix B—Glossary of Terms	137

Introduction and Purpose

Title XI of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989 as amended (Title XI) established the Appraisal Subcommittee of the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (ASC).¹ The purpose of Title XI is to provide protection of Federal financial and public policy interests by upholding Title XI requirements for appraisals performed for federally related transactions. Specifically, those appraisals shall be performed in writing, in accordance with uniform standards, by individuals whose competency has been demonstrated and whose professional conduct will be subject to effective supervision.

Pursuant to Title XI, one of the ASC's core functions is to monitor the requirements established by the States² for certification and licensing of appraisers qualified to perform appraisals in connection with federally related transactions.³ Title XI as amended by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (Dodd-Frank Act)⁴ expanded the ASC's core functions to include monitoring of the requirements established by States that elect to register and supervise the operations and activities of appraisal management companies⁵ (AMCs).⁶

The ASC performs periodic Compliance Reviews⁷ of each State appraiser regulatory program (Appraiser Program) to determine compliance or lack thereof with Title XI,

and to assess implementation of minimum requirements for credentialing of appraisers as adopted by the Appraiser Qualifications Board (*The Real Property Appraiser Qualification Criteria* or AQB Criteria). As a result of the Dodd-Frank Act amendments to Title XI, States with an AMC regulatory program (AMC Program) will be evaluated during the Compliance Review to determine compliance or lack thereof with Title XI, and to assess implementation of the minimum requirements for State registration and supervision of AMCs as established by the AMC Rule.⁸

The ASC is issuing these revised Policy Statements⁹ in three parts to provide States with the necessary information to maintain their Appraiser Programs and AMC Programs in compliance with Title XI:

- Part A, *Appraiser Program*—Policy Statements 1 through 7 correspond with the categories that are: (a) evaluated during the Appraiser Program Compliance Review; and (b) included in the *ASC's Compliance Review Report of the Appraiser Program*.
- Part B, *AMC Program*—Policy Statements 8 through 10 correspond with the categories that are: (a) evaluated during the AMC Program Compliance Review; and (b) included in the *ASC's Compliance Review Report of the AMC Program*.
- Part C, *Interim Sanctions*—Policy Statement 12 sets forth required procedures in the event that interim sanctions are imposed against a State by the ASC for non-compliance in either the Appraiser Program or the AMC Program.

¹The ASC Board is made up of seven members. Five members are designated by the heads of the FFIEC agencies (Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and National Credit Union Administration). The other two members are designated by the heads of the Department of Housing and Urban Development and the Federal Housing Finance Agency.

²See Appendix B, Glossary of Terms, for the definition of "State."

³See Appendix B, Glossary of Terms, for the definition of "federally related transaction."

⁴Pub. L. 111-203, 124 Stat. 1376.

⁵Title XI § 1103 (a)(1)(B), 12 U.S.C. § 3332.

⁶See Appendix B, Glossary of Terms, for the definition of "appraisal management company" or AMC.

⁷See Appendix A, Compliance Review Process.

⁸The Dodd-Frank Act required the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency; Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System; Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation; National Credit Union Administration; Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection; and Federal Housing Finance Agency to establish, by rule, minimum requirements to be imposed by a participating State appraiser certifying and licensing agency on AMCs doing business in the State. (Title XI § 1124 (a), 12 U.S.C. 3353(a)). Those rules were finalized and published on June 9, 2015, at 80 *Federal Register* 32658 with an effective date of August 10, 2015. (12 CFR 34.210 – 34.216; 12 CFR 225.190 – 225.196; 12 CFR 323.8 -323.14; 12 CFR 1222.20 – 1222.26).

⁹These Policy Statements, adopted February 14, 2018, supersede all previous Policy Statements adopted by the ASC.

Part A: Appraiser Program

POLICY STATEMENT 1

Statutes, Regulations, Policies and Procedures Governing State Appraiser Programs

A. State Regulatory Structure

Title XI requires the ASC to monitor each State appraiser certifying and licensing agency for the purpose of determining whether each such agency has in place policies, practices and procedures consistent with the requirements of Title XI.¹⁰ The ASC recognizes that each State may have legal, fiscal, regulatory or other factors that may influence the structure and organization of its Appraiser Program. Therefore, a State has flexibility to structure its Appraiser Program so long as it meets its Title XI-related responsibilities.

States should maintain an organizational structure for appraiser certification, licensing and supervision that avoids conflicts of interest. A State agency may be headed by a board, commission or an individual. State board¹¹ or commission members, or employees in policy or decision-making positions, should understand and adhere to State statutes and regulations governing performance of responsibilities consistent with the highest ethical standards for public service. In addition, Appraiser Programs using private entities or contractors should establish appropriate internal policies, procedures and safeguards to promote compliance with the State agency's responsibilities under Title XI and these Policy Statements.

B. Funding and Staffing

The Dodd-Frank Act amended Title XI to require the ASC to determine whether States have sufficient funding and staffing to meet their Title XI requirements. Compliance with this provision requires that a State must provide its Appraiser Program with funding and staffing sufficient to carry out its Title XI-related duties. The ASC evaluates the sufficiency of funding and staffing as part of its review of all aspects of an Appraiser Program's effectiveness, including the adequacy of State boards, committees, or commissions responsible for carrying out Title XI-related duties.

¹⁰Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347.

¹¹See Appendix B, *Glossary of Terms*, for the definition of "State board."

C. Minimum Criteria

Title XI requires States to adopt and/or implement all relevant AQB Criteria. Requirements established by a State for certified residential or certified general appraisers, as well as requirements established for licensed appraisers, trainee appraisers and supervisory appraisers must meet or exceed applicable AQB Criteria.

D. Federally Recognized Appraiser Classifications

State Certified Appraisers

"State certified appraisers" means those individuals who have satisfied the requirements for residential or general certification in a State whose criteria for certification meet or exceed the applicable minimum AQB Criteria. Permitted scope of practice and designation for State certified residential or certified general appraisers must be consistent with State and Federal laws, including regulations and supplementary guidance.

State Licensed Appraisers

"State licensed appraisers" means those individuals who have satisfied the requirements for licensing in a State whose criteria for licensing meet or exceed the applicable minimum AQB Criteria. The permitted scope of practice and designation for State licensed appraisers must be consistent with State and Federal laws, including regulations and supplementary guidance.

Trainee Appraisers

"Trainee appraisers" means those individuals who have satisfied the requirements for credentialing in a State whose criteria for credentialing meet or exceed the applicable minimum AQB Criteria. Any minimum qualification requirements established by a State for individuals in the position of "trainee appraiser" or "supervisory appraiser" must meet or exceed the applicable minimum AQB Criteria. ASC staff will evaluate State designations such as "registered appraiser," "apprentice appraiser," "provisional appraiser," or any other similar designation to determine if, in substance, such designation is consistent with a "trainee appraiser" designation and, therefore,

administered to comply with Title XI. The permitted scope of practice and designation for trainee appraisers must be consistent with State and Federal laws, including regulations and supplementary guidance.

Any State or Federal agency may impose additional appraiser qualification requirements for trainee, State licensed, certified residential or certified general classifications, if they consider such requirements necessary to carry out their responsibilities under Federal and/or State statutes and regulations, so long as the additional qualification requirements do not preclude compliance with AQB Criteria.

E. Non-federally Recognized Credentials

States using non-federally recognized credentials or designations¹² must ensure that they are easily distinguished from the federally recognized credentials.

F. Appraisal Standards

Title XI and the Federal financial institutions regulatory agencies' regulations mandate that all appraisals performed in connection with federally related transactions be in written form, prepared in accordance with generally accepted appraisal standards as promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board (ASB) in the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP), and be subject to appropriate review for compliance with

USPAP.¹³ States that have incorporated USPAP into State law should ensure that statutes or regulations are updated timely to adopt the current version of USPAP, or if State law allows, automatically incorporate the latest version of USPAP as it becomes effective. States should consider ASB Advisory Opinions, Frequently Asked Questions, and other written guidance issued by the ASB regarding interpretation and application of USPAP.

¹²See Appendix B, *Glossary of Terms*, for the definition of "non-federally recognized credentials or designations."

¹³See Appendix B, *Glossary of Terms* for the definition of "Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice."

Any State or Federal agency may impose additional appraisal standards if they consider such standards necessary to carry out their responsibilities, so long as additional appraisal standards do not preclude compliance with USPAP or the Federal financial institutions regulatory agencies' appraisal regulations for work performed for federally related transactions.

The Federal financial institutions regulatory agencies' appraisal regulations define "appraisal" and identify which real estate-related financial transactions require the services of a State certified or licensed appraiser. These regulations define "appraisal" as a "written statement independently and impartially prepared by a qualified appraiser setting forth an opinion as to the market value of an adequately described property as of a specific date(s) supported by the presentation and analysis of relevant market information." Per these regulations, an appraiser performing an appraisal review which includes the reviewer providing his or her own opinion of value constitutes an appraisal. Under these same regulations, an appraisal review that does not include the reviewer providing his or her own opinion of value does not constitute an appraisal. Therefore, under the Federal financial institutions regulatory agencies' regulations, only those transactions that involve appraisals for federally related transactions require the services of a State certified or licensed appraiser.

G. Exemptions

Title XI and the Federal financial institutions regulatory agencies' regulations specifically require the use of State certified or licensed appraisers in connection with the appraisal of certain real estate-related financial transactions.¹⁴ A State may not exempt any individual or group of individuals from meeting the State's certification or licensing requirements if the individual or group member performs an appraisal when Federal statutes and regulations require the use of a certified or licensed appraiser. For example, an individual who has been exempted by the

¹⁴Title XI § 1112, 12 U.S.C. § 3341; Title XI § 1113, 12 U.S.C. § 3342; Title XI § 1114, 12 U.S.C. § 3343.

State from its appraiser certification or licensing requirements because he or she is an officer, director, employee or agent of a federally regulated financial institution would not be permitted to perform an appraisal in connection with a federally related transaction.

H. ASC Staff Attendance at State Board Meetings

The efficacy of the ASC's Compliance Review process rests on the ASC's ability to obtain reliable information about all areas of a State's Appraiser Program. ASC staff regularly attends open State board meetings as part of the on-site Compliance Review process. States are expected to make available for review by ASC staff minutes of closed meetings and executive sessions. States are encouraged to allow ASC staff to attend closed and executive sessions of State board meetings where such attendance would not violate State law or regulation or be inconsistent with other legal obligations of the State board. ASC staff is obligated to protect information obtained during the Compliance Review process concerning the privacy of individuals and any confidential matters.

I. Summary of Requirements

1. States must require that appraisals be performed in accordance with the latest version of USPAP.¹⁵
2. States must, at a minimum, adopt and/or implement all relevant AQB Criteria.¹⁶

¹⁵ Title XI § 1101, 12 U.S.C. § 3331; Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347; AQB *Real Property Appraiser Qualification Criteria*.

¹⁶ Title XI §§ 1116 (a), (c) and (e), 12 U.S.C. § 3345; Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347.

3. States must have policies, practices and procedures consistent with Title XI.¹⁷
4. States must have funding and staffing sufficient to carry out their Title XI-related duties.¹⁸
5. States must use proper designations and permitted scope of practice for certified residential; certified general; licensed; and trainee classifications.¹⁹
6. State board members, and any persons in policy or decision-making positions, must perform their responsibilities consistent with Title XI.²⁰
7. States' certification and licensing requirements must meet the minimum requirements set forth in Title XI.²¹
8. State requirements for trainee appraisers and supervisory appraisers must meet or exceed the AQB Criteria.
9. State agencies must be granted adequate authority by the State to maintain an effective regulatory Appraiser Program in compliance with Title XI.²²

¹⁷ Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347.

¹⁸ *Id.*; Title XI § 1118 (b), 12 U.S.C. § 3347.

¹⁹ Title XI §§ 1116 (a), (c) and (e), 12 U.S.C. § 3345; Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347; Title XI § 1113, 12 U.S.C. § 3342; AQB *Real Property Appraiser Qualification Criteria*.

²⁰ Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347.

²¹ Title XI §§ 1116 (a), (c) and (e), 12 U.S.C. § 3345.

²² Title XI § 1118 (b), 12 U.S.C. § 3347.

POLICY STATEMENT 2

Temporary Practice

A. Requirement for Temporary Practice

Title XI requires State agencies to recognize, on a temporary basis, the certification or license of an out-of-State appraiser entering the State for the purpose of completing an appraisal assignment²³ for a federally related transaction. States are not, however, required to grant temporary practice permits to trainee appraisers. The out-of-State appraiser must register with the State agency in the State of temporary practice (Host State). A State may determine the process necessary for “registration” provided such process complies with Title XI and does not impose “excessive fees or burdensome requirements,” as determined by the ASC.²⁴ Thus, a credentialed appraiser²⁵ from State A has a statutory right to enter State B (the Host State) to perform an assignment concerning a federally related transaction, so long as the appraiser registers with the State agency in State B prior to performing the assignment. Though Title XI contemplates reasonably free movement of credentialed appraisers across State lines, an out-of-State appraiser must comply with the Host State’s real estate appraisal statutes and regulations and is subject to the Host State’s full regulatory jurisdiction. States should utilize the National Registry of Appraisers to verify credential status on applicants for temporary practice.

²³See Appendix B, *Glossary of Terms*, for the definition of “assignment.”

²⁴Title XI § 1122 (a) (2), 12 U.S.C. § 3351.

²⁵See Appendix B, *Glossary of Terms*, for the definition of “credentialed appraisers.”

B. Excessive Fees or Burdensome Requirements

Title XI prohibits States from imposing excessive fees or burdensome requirements, as determined by the ASC, for temporary practice.²⁶ Adherence by State agencies to the following mandates and prohibitions will deter the imposition of excessive fees or burdensome requirements.

Host State agencies must:

- a. issue temporary practice permits on an assignment basis;
- b. issue temporary practice permits within five business days of receipt of a completed application, or notify the applicant and document the file as to the circumstances justifying delay or other action;
- c. issue temporary practice permits designating the permit’s effective date;
- d. take regulatory responsibility for a temporary practitioner’s unethical, incompetent and/or fraudulent practices performed while in the State;
- e. notify the appraiser’s home State agency²⁷ in the case of disciplinary action concerning a temporary practitioner;

²⁶Title XI § 1122 (a) (2), 12 U.S.C. § 3351.

²⁷See Appendix B, *Glossary of Terms*, for the definition of “home State agency.”

- f. allow at least one temporary practice permit extension through a streamlined process;
- g. track all temporary practice permits using a permit log which includes the name of the applicant, date application received, date completed application received, date of issuance, and date of expiration, if any (States are strongly encouraged to maintain this information in an electronic, sortable format); and
- h. maintain documentation sufficient to demonstrate compliance with this Policy Statement.

Host State agencies may not:

- a. limit the valid time period of a temporary practice permit to less than 6 months (unless the applicant requests a specific end date and the applicant is allowed an extension if required to complete the assignment, the applicant’s credential is no longer in active status during that period of time);
- b. limit an appraiser to one temporary practice permit per calendar year;²⁸
- c. charge a temporary practice permit fee exceeding \$250, including one extension fee;
- d. impose State appraiser qualification requirements for education, experience and/or exam upon temporary practitioners;

- e. require temporary practitioners to obtain a certification or license in the State of temporary practice;
- f. require temporary practitioners to affiliate with an in-State licensed or certified appraiser;
- g. refuse to register licensed or certified appraisers seeking temporary practice in a State that does not have a licensed or certified level credential; or
- h. prohibit temporary practice.

Home State agencies may not:

- a. delay the issuance of a written “letter of good standing” or similar document for more than five business days after receipt of a request; or
- b. fail to consider and, if appropriate, take disciplinary action when one of its certified or licensed appraisers is disciplined by another State.

C. Summary of Requirements

1. States must recognize, on a temporary basis, appraiser credentials issued by another State if the property to be appraised is part of a federally related transaction.²⁹
2. States must adhere to mandates, prohibitions and documentation requirements as set forth above in Section B above, titled *Excessive Fees or Burdensome Requirements*.³⁰

²⁸State agencies may establish by statute or regulation a policy that places reasonable limits on the number of times an out-of-State certified or licensed appraiser may exercise his or her temporary practice rights in a given year. If such a policy is not established, a State agency may choose not to honor an out-of-State certified or licensed appraiser’s temporary practice rights if it has made a determination that the appraiser is abusing his or her temporary practice rights and is regularly engaging in real estate appraisal services within the State.

²⁹Title XI § 1122 (a) (1), 12 U.S.C. § 3351.

³⁰Title XI § 1122 (a) (2), 12 U.S.C. § 3351.

POLICY STATEMENT 3

National Registry of Appraisers (Appraiser Registry)

A. Requirements for the Appraiser Registry

Title XI requires the ASC to maintain a National Registry of State certified and licensed appraisers who are eligible to perform appraisals in federally related transactions.³¹ Title XI further requires the States to transmit to the ASC: (1) a roster listing individuals who have received a State certification or license in accordance with Title XI; (2) reports on the issuance and renewal of licenses and certifications, sanctions, disciplinary actions, revocations and suspensions; and (3) the registry fee as set by the ASC³² from individuals who have received certification or licensing. States must notify the ASC as soon as practicable if a credential holder listed on the Appraiser Registry does not qualify for the credential held.

Roster and registry fee requirements apply to all individuals who receive State certifications or licenses, originally or by reciprocity, whether or not the individuals are, in fact, performing or planning to perform appraisals in federally related transactions. If an appraiser is certified or licensed in more than one State, the appraiser is required to be on each State's roster of certified or licensed appraisers, and a registry fee is due from each State in which the appraiser is certified or licensed.

Only AQB-compliant certified and licensed appraisers in active status on the Appraiser Registry are eligible to perform appraisals in connection with federally related transactions. Only those appraisers whose registry fees have been transmitted to the ASC will be eligible to be on the Appraiser Registry for the period subsequent to payment of the fee.

Some States may give State certified or licensed appraisers an option to not pay the registry fee. If a State certified or licensed appraiser chooses not to pay the registry fee, then the Appraiser Program must ensure that any potential user of that appraiser's services is aware that the appraiser is not eligible to perform appraisals for federally

related transactions. The Appraiser Program must place a conspicuous notice directly on the face of any evidence of the appraiser's authority to appraise stating, "Not Eligible To Appraise Federally Related Transactions," and the appraiser must not be listed in active status on the Appraiser Registry.

The ASC extranet application allows States to update their appraiser credential information directly to the Appraiser Registry. Only Authorized Registry Officials are allowed to request access for their State personnel (see section C below). The ASC will issue a User Name and Password to the designated State personnel responsible for that State's Appraiser Registry entries. Designated State personnel are required to protect the right of access, and not share their User Name or Password with anyone. States must adopt and implement a written policy to protect the right of access, as well as the ASC issued User Name and Password. The ASC will provide detailed specifications regarding the data elements on the Appraiser Registry.

B. Registry Fee and Invoicing Policies

Each State must remit to the ASC the annual registry fee, as set by the ASC, for State certified or licensed appraisers within the State to be listed on the Appraiser Registry. Requests to prorate refunds or partial-year registrations will not be granted. If a State collects multiple-year fees for multiple-year certifications or licenses, the State may choose to remit to the ASC the total amount of the multiple-year registry fees or the equivalent annual fee amount. The ASC will, however, record appraisers on the Appraiser Registry only for the number of years for which the ASC has received payment. Nonpayment by a State of an appraiser's registry fee may result in the status of that appraiser being listed as "inactive." States must reconcile and pay registry invoices in a timely manner (45 calendar days after the invoice date). When a State's failure to pay a past due invoice results in appraisers being listed as inactive, the ASC will not change those appraisers back to active status until payment is received from the State. An inactive status on the Appraiser Registry, for whatever the reason, renders an appraiser ineligible to perform appraisals in connection with federally related transactions.

³¹Title XI § 1103 (a) (3), 12 U.S.C. § 3332.

³²Title XI § 1109, *Roster of State certified or licensed appraisers; authority to collect and transmit fees*, requires the ASC to consider at least once every 5 years whether to adjust the dollar amount of the registry fees to account for inflation. (Title XI § 1109 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3338).

C. Access to Appraiser Registry Data

The ASC website provides free access to the public portion of the Appraiser Registry at www.asc.gov. The public portion of the Appraiser Registry data may be downloaded using predefined queries or user-customized applications.

Access to the full database, which includes non-public data (e.g., certain disciplinary action information), is restricted to authorized State and Federal regulatory agencies. States must designate a senior official, such as an executive director, to serve as the State's Authorized Registry Official, and provide to the ASC, in writing, information regarding the designated Authorized Registry Official. States must ensure that the authorization information provided to the ASC is updated and accurate.

D. Information Sharing

Information sharing (routine exchange of certain information among lenders, governmental entities, State agencies and the ASC) is essential for carrying out the purposes of Title XI. Title XI requires the ASC, any other Federal agency or instrumentality, or any federally recognized entity to report any action of a State certified or licensed appraiser that is contrary to the purposes of Title XI to the appropriate State agency for disposition. The ASC believes that full implementation of this Title XI requirement is vital to the integrity of the system of State appraiser regulation. States are encouraged to develop and maintain procedures for sharing of information among themselves.

The Appraiser Registry's value and usefulness are largely dependent on the quality and frequency of State data submissions. Accurate and frequent data submissions from all States are necessary to maintain an up-to-date Appraiser Registry. States must submit appraiser data in a secure format to the ASC at least monthly. If there are no changes to the data, the State agency must notify the ASC of that fact in writing. States are encouraged to submit data as frequently as possible.

States must report all disciplinary action³³ taken against an appraiser to the ASC via the extranet application within 5 business days after the disciplinary action is final, as determined by State law.³⁴ States not reporting via the extranet application must provide, in writing to the ASC, a description of the circumstances preventing compliance with this requirement.³⁵ For the most serious disciplinary actions (*i.e.*, voluntary surrenders, suspensions and revocations, or any action that interrupts a credential holder's ability to practice), the appraiser's status must be changed on the Appraiser Registry to "inactive," thereby making the appraiser ineligible to perform appraisals for federally related transactions or other transactions requiring the use of State certified or licensed appraisers.³⁶

Title XI also contemplates the reasonably free movement of certified and licensed appraisers across State lines. This freedom of movement assumes, however, that certified and licensed appraisers are, in all cases, held accountable and responsible for their actions while performing appraisal activities.

E. Summary of Requirements

1. States must reconcile and pay registry invoices in a timely manner (45 calendar days after the invoice date).³⁷
2. States must report all disciplinary action taken against an appraiser to the ASC via the extranet application within 5 business days after the disciplinary action is final, as determined by State law.³⁸
3. States not reporting via the extranet application must provide, in writing to the ASC, a description of the circumstances preventing compliance with this requirement.³⁹

³³ See Appendix B, *Glossary of Terms*, for the definition of "disciplinary action."

³⁴ *Id.*

³⁵ Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347.

³⁶ *Id.*

³⁷ Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347; Title XI § 1109 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3338.

³⁸ *Id.*

³⁹ Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347.

4. For the most serious disciplinary actions (*i.e.*, voluntary surrenders, suspensions and revocations, or any action that interrupts a credential holder’s ability to practice), the appraiser’s status must be changed on the Appraiser Registry to “inactive,” thereby making the appraiser ineligible to perform appraisals for federally related transactions or other transactions requiring the use of State certified or licensed appraisers.⁴⁰
5. States must designate a senior official, such as an executive director, who will serve as the State’s Authorized Registry Official, and provide to the ASC, in writing, information regarding the selected Authorized Registry Official, and any individual(s) authorized to act on their behalf.⁴¹
6. States must ensure that the authorization information provided to the ASC is updated and accurate.⁴²
7. States must adopt and implement a written policy to protect the right of access to the Appraiser Registry, as well as the ASC issued User Name and Password.⁴³
8. States must ensure the accuracy of all data submitted to the Appraiser Registry.⁴⁴
9. States must submit appraiser data (other than discipline) to the ASC at least monthly. If a State’s data does not change during the month, the State agency must notify the ASC of that fact in writing.⁴⁵
10. If a State certified or licensed appraiser chooses not to pay the registry fee, the State must ensure that any potential user of that appraiser’s services is aware that the appraiser’s certificate or license is limited to performing appraisals only in connection with non-federally related transactions.⁴⁶

⁴⁰ *Id.*

⁴¹ *Id.*

⁴² *Id.*

⁴³ *Id.*

⁴⁴ *Id.*

⁴⁵ *Id.*

⁴⁶ *Id.*

POLICY STATEMENT 4

Application Process

AQB Criteria sets forth the minimum education, experience and examination requirements applicable to all States for credentialing of real property appraisers (certified, licensed, trainee and supervisory). In the application process, States must, at a minimum, employ a reliable means of validating both education and experience credit claimed by applicants for credentialing.⁴⁷ Effective January 1, 2017, AQB Criteria also requires States to assess whether an applicant for a real property appraiser credential possesses a background that would not call into question public trust. The basis for such assessment shall be a matter left to the individual States, and must, at a minimum, be documented to the file.

A. Processing of Applications

States must process applications in a consistent, equitable and well-documented manner. Applications for credentialing should be timely processed by State agencies (within 90 calendar days after receipt of a completed application). Any delay in the processing of applications must be sufficiently documented in the file to explain the delay. States must ensure appraiser credential applications submitted for processing do not contain invalid examinations as established by AQB Criteria.

States must obtain and maintain sufficient relevant documentation pertaining to an application for issuance, upgrade and renewal of a credential so as to enable understanding of the facts and determinations in the matter and the reasons for those determinations. Documentation must include:

1. Application receipt date;
2. Education;
3. Experience;
4. Examination;
5. Continuing education; and
6. Any administrative or disciplinary action taken in connection with the application process, including results of any continuing education audit.

⁴⁷Includes applications for credentialing of trainee, licensed, certified residential or certified general classifications.

B. Qualifying Education for Initial or Upgrade Applications

States must verify that:

- (1) the applicant's claimed education courses are acceptable under AQB Criteria; and
- (2) the applicant has successfully completed courses consistent with AQB Criteria for the appraiser credential sought.

States may not accept an affidavit for claimed qualifying education from applicants for any federally recognized credential.⁴⁸ States must maintain adequate documentation to support verification of education claimed by applicants.

C. Continuing Education for Reinstatement and Renewal Applications

1. Reinstatement Applications

States must verify that:

- (1) the applicant's claimed continuing education courses are acceptable under AQB Criteria; and
- (2) the applicant has successfully completed all continuing education consistent with AQB Criteria for reinstatement of the appraiser credential sought.

States may not accept an affidavit for continuing education claimed from applicants for reinstatement. Applicants for reinstatement must submit documentation to support claimed continuing education and States must maintain adequate documentation to support verification of claimed education.

⁴⁸If a State accepts education-related affidavits from applicants for initial licensure in any non-certified classification, upon the appraiser's application to upgrade to a certified classification, the State must require documentation to support the appraiser's educational qualification for the certified classification, not just the incremental amount of education required to move from the non-certified to the certified classification. This requirement applies to all federally recognized credentials.

2. *Renewal Applications*

States must ensure that continuing education courses for renewal of an appraiser credential are consistent with AQB Criteria and that continuing education hours required for renewal of an appraiser credential were completed consistent with AQB Criteria. States may accept affidavits for continuing education credit claimed for credential renewal so long as the State implements a reliable validation procedure that adheres to the following objectives and requirements:

a. Validation objectives

The State's validation procedures must be structured to permit acceptable projections of the sample results to the entire population of subject appraisers. Therefore, the sample must include an adequate number of affidavits selected from each federally recognized credential level to have a reasonable chance of identifying appraisers who fail to comply with AQB Criteria, and the sample must include a statistically relevant representation of the appraiser population being sampled.

b. Minimum Standards

Validation must include a prompt post-approval audit. Each audit of an affidavit for continuing education credit claimed must be completed within 60 business days from the date the credential is scheduled for renewal (based on the credential's expiration date). To ensure the audit is a statistically relevant representation, a sampling of credentials that were renewed after the scheduled expiration date and/or beyond the date the sample was selected, must also be audited to ensure that a credential holder may not avoid being selected for a continuing education audit by renewing early or late.

2. States must audit the continuing education-related affidavit for each credentialed appraiser selected in the sampling procedure.

3. States must determine that education courses claimed conform to AQB Criteria and that the appraiser successfully completed each course.
4. When a State determines that an appraiser's continuing education does not meet AQB Criteria, and the appraiser has failed to complete any remedial action offered, the State must take appropriate action to suspend the appraiser's eligibility to perform appraisals in federally related transactions until such time that the requisite continuing education has been completed. The State must notify the ASC within five (5) business days after taking such action in order for the appraiser's record on the Appraiser Registry to be updated appropriately.
5. If a State determines that a renewal applicant knowingly falsely attested to completing the continuing education required by AQB Criteria, the State must take appropriate administrative and/or disciplinary action and report such action, if deemed to be discipline, to the ASC within five (5) business days.
6. If more than ten percent of the audited appraisers fail to meet the AQB Criteria, the State must take remedial action⁴⁹ to address the apparent weakness of its affidavit process. The ASC will determine on a case-by-case basis whether remedial actions are effective and acceptable.
7. In the case of a renewal being processed after the credential's expiration date, but within the State's allowed grace period for a late renewal, the State must establish a reliable process to audit affidavits for continuing education (e.g., requiring documentation of all continuing education).

⁴⁹For example:

(1) a State may conduct an additional audit using a higher percentage of audited appraisers; or

(2) a State may publicly post action taken to sanction non-compliant appraisers to increase awareness in the appraiser community of the importance of compliance with continuing education requirements.

c. Documentation

States must maintain adequate documentation to support its affidavit renewal and audit procedures and actions.

d. List of Education Courses

To promote accountability, the ASC encourages States accepting affidavits for continuing education credit claimed for credential renewal to require that the appraiser provide a list of courses to support the affidavit.

D. Experience for Initial or Upgrade Applications

States must ensure that appraiser experience logs conform to AQB Criteria. States may not accept an affidavit for experience credit claimed by applicants for any federally recognized credential.⁵⁰

1. Validation Required

States must implement a reliable validation procedure to verify that each applicant's experience meets AQB Criteria, including but not limited to, being USPAP compliant and containing the required number of hours and months.

2. Validation Procedures, Objectives and Requirements

⁵⁰ See Policy Statement 1D and E for discussion of "federally recognized credential" and "non-federally recognized credential." If prior to July 1, 2013, a State accepted experience-related affidavits from applicants for initial licensure in any non-certified classification, upon the appraiser's application to upgrade to a certified classification, the State must require experience documentation to support the appraiser's qualification for the certified classification, not just the incremental amount of experience required to move from the non-certified to the certified classification. For example, if a State accepted an experience affidavit from an appraiser to support the appraiser's initial hours to qualify for the licensed classification, and subsequently that appraiser applies to upgrade to the certified residential classification, the State must require documentation to support the full experience hours required for the certified residential classification, not just the difference in hours between the two classifications.

a. Experience Hours Validation

States must determine the hours and time period claimed on the experience log are accurate. Appraiser Program staff or State board members must select the work product to validate the experience hours claimed; applicants may not have any role in this selection process.

b. USPAP Compliance

States must analyze a representative sample of the applicant's work product for compliance with USPAP. For appraisal experience to be acceptable under AQB Criteria, it must be USPAP compliant. States must exercise due diligence in determining whether submitted documentation of experience or work product demonstrates compliance with USPAP. Persons analyzing work product for USPAP compliance must be knowledgeable about appraisal practice and USPAP, and States must be able to document how such persons are so qualified.

c. Determination of Experience Time Periods

Experience time periods must conform to requirements set forth in the AQB Criteria for the credential sought.

d. Supporting Documentation

States must maintain adequate documentation to support validation methods. The applicant's file, either electronic or paper, must include the information necessary to identify each appraisal assignment selected to validate the experience hours claimed and each appraisal assignment analyzed by the State for USPAP compliance, notes, letters and/or reports prepared by the official(s) evaluating the report for USPAP compliance, and any correspondence exchanged with the applicant regarding the appraisals submitted. This supporting documentation may be discarded upon the completion of the first ASC Compliance Review performed after the credential issuance or denial for that applicant.

E. Examination

States must ensure that an appropriate AQB-approved qualifying examination is administered for each of the federally recognized appraiser classifications requiring an examination.

F. Summary of Requirements

Processing of Applications

1. States must process applications in a consistent, equitable and well-documented manner.⁵¹
2. States must ensure appraiser credential applications submitted for processing do not contain invalid examinations as established by AQB Criteria.⁵²
3. States must obtain and maintain sufficient relevant documentation pertaining to an application for issuance, upgrade or renewal of a credential so as to enable understanding of the facts and determinations in the matter and the reasons for those determinations.⁵³

Education

1. States must verify that the applicant's claimed education courses are acceptable under AQB Criteria, whether for initial credentialing, renewal, upgrade or reinstatement.⁵⁴
2. States must verify that the applicant has successfully completed courses consistent with AQB Criteria for the appraiser credential sought, whether for initial credentialing, renewal, upgrade or reinstatement.⁵⁵
3. States must maintain adequate documentation to support verification.⁵⁶

4. States may not accept an affidavit for education claimed from applicants for any federally recognized credential.⁵⁷
5. States may not accept an affidavit for continuing education claimed from applicants for reinstatement.⁵⁸
6. States may accept affidavits for continuing education credit claimed for credential renewal so long as the State implements a reliable validation procedure.⁵⁹
7. Audits of affidavits for continuing education credit claimed must be completed within sixty (60) business days from the date the credential is scheduled for renewal (based on the credential's expiration date).⁶⁰
8. In the case of a renewal being processed after the credential's expiration date, but within the State's allowed grace period for a late renewal, the State must establish a reliable process to audit affidavits for continuing education (*e.g.*, requiring documentation of all continuing education).⁶¹
9. States are required to take remedial action when it is determined that more than ten percent of audited appraiser's affidavits for continuing education credit claimed fail to meet the minimum AQB Criteria.⁶²
10. States are required to take appropriate administrative and/or disciplinary action when it is determined that an applicant knowingly falsely attested to completing continuing education.⁶³
11. When a State determines that an appraiser's continuing education does not meet AQB Criteria, and the appraiser has failed to complete any remedial action offered, the State must take appropriate action to sus-

⁵¹Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347.

⁵²Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347; AQB *Real Property Appraiser Qualification Criteria*.

⁵³Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347.

⁵⁴*Id.*

⁵⁵*Id.*

⁵⁶Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347.

⁵⁷*Id.*

⁵⁸*Id.*

⁵⁹Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347; AQB *Real Property Appraiser Qualification Criteria*.

⁶⁰Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347.

⁶¹*Id.*

⁶²*Id.*

⁶³*Id.*

pend the appraiser's eligibility to perform appraisals in federally related transactions until such time that the requisite continuing education has been completed. The State must notify the ASC within five (5) business days after taking such action in order for the appraiser's record on the Appraiser Registry to be updated appropriately.⁶⁴

Experience

1. States may not accept an affidavit for experience credit claimed from applicants for any federally recognized credential.⁶⁵
2. States must ensure that appraiser experience logs conform to AQB Criteria.⁶⁶
3. States must use a reliable means of validating appraiser experience claims on all initial or upgrade applications for appraiser credentialing.⁶⁷
4. States must select the work product to validate the experience hours claimed on all initial or upgrade applications for appraiser credentialing.⁶⁸

⁶⁴*Id.*

⁶⁵*Id.*

⁶⁶Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347; AQB *Real Property Appraiser Qualification Criteria*.

⁶⁷Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347.

⁶⁸Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347.

5. States must analyze a representative sample of the applicant's work product for compliance with USPAP on all initial or upgrade applications for appraiser credentialing.⁶⁹
6. States must exercise due diligence in determining whether submitted documentation of experience or work product demonstrates compliance with USPAP on all initial or upgrade applications for appraiser credentialing.⁷⁰
7. Persons analyzing work product for USPAP compliance must be knowledgeable about appraisal practice and USPAP, and States must be able to document how such persons are so qualified.⁷¹
8. Experience time periods must conform to requirements set forth in the AQB Criteria for the credential sought.⁷²

Examination

1. States must ensure that an appropriate AQB-approved qualifying examination is administered for each of the federally recognized credentials requiring an examination.⁷³

⁶⁹*Id.*

⁷⁰*Id.*

⁷¹*Id.*

⁷²Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347; AQB *Real Property Appraiser Qualification Criteria*.

⁷³*Id.*

POLICY STATEMENT 5

Reciprocity

A. Reciprocity Policy

Title XI contemplates the reasonably free movement of certified and licensed appraisers across State lines. The ASC monitors Appraiser Programs for compliance with the reciprocity provision of Title XI as amended by the Dodd-Frank Act.⁷⁴ Title XI requires that in order for a State's appraisers to be eligible to perform appraisals for federally related transactions, the State must have a policy in place for issuing reciprocal credentials IF:

- a. the appraiser is coming from a State (Home State) that is "in compliance" with Title XI as determined by the ASC; AND
- b. (i) the appraiser holds a valid credential from the Home State; AND

(ii) the credentialing requirements of the Home State⁷⁵ meet or exceed those of the reciprocal credentialing State (Reciprocal State).⁷⁶

An appraiser relying on a credential from a State that does not have such a policy in place may not perform appraisals for federally related transactions. A State may be more lenient in the issuance of reciprocal credentials by implementing a more open door policy. However, States cannot impose additional impediments to obtaining reciprocal credentials.

For purposes of implementing the reciprocity policy, States with an ASC Finding⁷⁷ of "Poor" do not satisfy the "in compliance" provision for reciprocity. Therefore, States are not required to recognize, for purposes of granting a reciprocal credential, the license or certification of an appraiser credentialed in a State with an ASC Finding of "Poor."

⁷⁴Title XI § 1122 (b), 12 U.S.C. § 3351.

⁷⁵As they exist at the time of application for reciprocal credential.

⁷⁶Id.

⁷⁷See Appendix A, *Compliance Review Process*, for an explanation of ASC Findings.

B. Application of Reciprocity Policy

The following examples illustrate application of reciprocity in a manner that complies with Title XI. The examples refer to the reciprocity policy requiring issuance of a reciprocal credential IF:

- a. the appraiser is coming from a State that is "in compliance"; AND
- b. (i) the appraiser holds a valid credential from that State; AND

(ii) the credentialing requirements of that State (as they currently exist) meet or exceed those of the reciprocal credentialing State (as they currently exist).

Example 1. Additional Requirements Imposed on Applicants

State A requires that prior to issuing a reciprocal credential the applicant must certify that disciplinary proceedings are not pending against that applicant in any jurisdiction. Under b (ii) above, if this requirement is not imposed on all of its own applicants for credentialing, STATE A cannot impose this requirement on applicants for reciprocal credentialing.

Example 2. Credentialing Requirements

An appraiser is seeking a reciprocal credential in STATE A. The appraiser holds a valid credential in STATE Z, even though it was issued in 2007. This satisfies b (i) above. However, in order to satisfy b (ii), STATE A would evaluate STATE Z's credentialing requirements as they currently exist to determine whether they meet or exceed STATE A's current requirements for credentialing.

Example 3. Multiple State Credentials

An appraiser credentialed in several States is seeking a reciprocal credential in State A. That appraiser's initial credentials were obtained through examination in the original credentialing State and through

reciprocity in the additional States. State A requires the applicant to provide a “letter of good standing” from the State of original credentialing as a condition of granting a reciprocal credential. State A may not impose such a requirement since Title XI does not distinguish between credentials obtained by examination and credentials obtained by reciprocity for purposes of granting reciprocal credentials.

C. Appraiser Compliance Requirements

In order to maintain a credential granted by reciprocity, appraisers must comply with the credentialing State’s policies, rules and statutes governing appraisers, including requirements for payment of certification and licensing fees, as well as continuing education.⁷⁸

D. Well-Documented Application Files

States must obtain and maintain sufficient relevant documentation pertaining to an application for issuance of a

⁷⁸A State may offer to accept continuing education (CE) for a renewal applicant who has satisfied CE requirements of a home State; however, a State may not impose this as a requirement for renewal, thereby imposing a requirement for the renewal applicant to retain a home State credential.

credential by reciprocity so as to enable understanding of the facts and determinations in the matter and the reasons for those determinations.

E. Summary of Requirements

States must have a reciprocity policy in place for issuing a reciprocal credential to an appraiser from another State under the conditions specified in Title XI in order for the State’s appraisers to be eligible to perform appraisals for federally related transactions.⁷⁹

States may be more lenient in the issuance of reciprocal credentials by implementing a more open door policy; however, States may not impose additional impediments to issuance of reciprocal credentials.⁸⁰

States must obtain and maintain sufficient relevant documentation pertaining to an application for issuance of a credential by reciprocity so as to enable understanding of the facts and determinations in the matter and the reasons for those determinations.⁸¹

⁷⁹Title XI § 1122 (b), 12 U.S.C. § 3351.

⁸⁰*Id.*

⁸¹Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347.

POLICY STATEMENT 6

Education

AQB Criteria sets forth minimum requirements for appraiser education courses. This Policy Statement addresses proper administration of education requirements for compliance with AQB Criteria. (For requirements concerning qualifying and continuing education in the application process, see Policy Statement 4, *Application Process*.)

A. Course Approval

States must ensure that approved appraiser education courses are consistent with AQB Criteria and maintain sufficient documentation to support that approved appraiser education courses conform to AQB Criteria.

States should ensure that course approval expiration dates assigned by the State coincide with the endorsement period assigned by the AQB's Course Approval Program or any other AQB-approved organization providing approval of course design and delivery. States may not continue to accept AQB approved courses after the AQB's expiration date unless the course content is reviewed and approved by the State.

States should ensure that educational providers are afforded equal treatment in all respects.⁸²

States are encouraged to accept courses approved by the AQB's Course Approval Program.

⁸²For example:

(1) consent agreements requiring additional education should not specify a particular course provider when there are other providers on the State's approved course listing offering the same course; and

(2) courses from professional organizations should not be automatically approved and/or approved in a manner that is less burdensome than the State's normal approval process.

B. Distance Education

States must ensure that distance education courses meet AQB Criteria and that the delivery mechanism for distance education courses offered by a non-academic provider, including secondary providers, has been approved by an AQB-approved organization providing approval of course design and delivery.

States may not continue to accept courses after the AQB-approved organization's approval of course design and delivery date has expired.

C. Summary of Requirements

States must ensure that appraiser education courses are consistent with AQB Criteria.⁸³

States must maintain sufficient documentation to support that approved appraiser courses conform to AQB Criteria.⁸⁴

States must ensure the delivery mechanism for distance education courses offered by a non-academic provider, including secondary providers, has been approved by an AQB-approved organization providing approval of course design and delivery.⁸⁵

⁸³Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347; AQB *Real Property Appraiser Qualification Criteria*.

⁸⁴Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347.

⁸⁵Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347; AQB *Real Property Appraiser Qualification Criteria*.

POLICY STATEMENT 7

State Agency Enforcement

A. State Agency Regulatory Program

Title XI requires the ASC to monitor the States for the purpose of determining whether the State processes complaints and completes investigations in a reasonable time period, appropriately disciplines sanctioned appraisers and maintains an effective regulatory program.⁸⁶

B. Enforcement Process

States must ensure that the system for processing and investigating complaints⁸⁷ and sanctioning appraisers is administered in a timely, effective, consistent, equitable, and well-documented manner.

1. Timely Enforcement

States must process complaints of appraiser misconduct or wrongdoing in a timely manner to ensure effective supervision of appraisers, and when appropriate, that incompetent or unethical appraisers are not allowed to continue their appraisal practice. Absent special documented circumstances, final administrative decisions regarding complaints must occur within one year (12 months) of the complaint filing date.⁸⁸ Special documented circumstances are those extenuating circumstances (fully documented) beyond the control of the State agency that delays normal processing of a complaint such as: complaints involving a criminal investigation by a law enforcement agency when the investigative agency requests that the State refrain from proceeding; final disposition that has been appealed to a higher court; documented medical condition of the respondent; ancillary civil litigation; and complex cases that involve multiple individuals and reports. Such special documented circumstances also include those periods when State rules require referral of a complaint to another State entity for review and the State agency is precluded from further processing of the complaint until it is returned. In that circumstance, the State agency should document the required referral and the

time period during which the complaint was not under its control or authority.

2. Effective Enforcement

Effective enforcement requires that States investigate allegations of appraiser misconduct or wrongdoing, and if allegations are proven, take appropriate disciplinary or remedial action. Dismissal of an alleged violation solely due to an “absence of harm to the public” is inconsistent with Title XI. Financial loss or the lack thereof is not an element in determining whether there is a violation. The extent of such loss, however, may be a factor in determining the appropriate level of discipline.

Persons analyzing complaints for USPAP compliance must be knowledgeable about appraisal practice and USPAP and States must be able to document how such persons are so qualified.

States must analyze each complaint to determine whether additional violations, especially those relating to USPAP, should be added to the complaint.

Closure of a complaint based solely on a State’s statute of limitations that results in dismissal of a complaint without the investigation of the merits of the complaint is inconsistent with the Title XI requirement that States assure effective supervision of the activities of credentialed appraisers.⁸⁹

3. Consistent and Equitable Enforcement

Absent specific documented facts or considerations, substantially similar cases within a State should result in similar dispositions.

4. Well-Documented Enforcement

States must obtain and maintain sufficient relevant documentation pertaining to a matter so as to enable understanding of the facts and determinations in the matter and the reasons for those determinations.

⁸⁶Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347.

⁸⁷See Appendix B, *Glossary of Terms*, for the definition of “complaint.”

⁸⁸The one-year period for resolution of complaints is not intended to have the impact of a statute of limitation or statute of repose.

⁸⁹Title XI § 1117, 12 U.S.C. § 3346.

a. Complaint Files

Complaint files must:

- include documentation outlining the progress of the investigation;
- demonstrate that appraisal reports are analyzed and any USPAP violations are identified and considered, whether or not they were the subject of the complaint;
- include rationale for the final outcome of the case (*i.e.*, dismissal or imposition of discipline);
- include documentation explaining any delay in processing, investigation or adjudication;
- contain documentation that all ordered or agreed upon discipline, such as probation, fine, or completion of education is tracked and that completion of all terms is confirmed; and
- be organized in a manner that allows understanding of the steps taken throughout the complaint, investigation, and adjudicatory process.

b. Complaint Logs

States must track all complaints using a complaint log. The complaint log must record all complaints, regardless of their procedural status in the investigation and/or resolution process, including complaints pending before the State board, Office of the Attorney General, other law enforcement agencies, and/or offices of administrative hearings.

The complaint log must include the following information (States are strongly encouraged to maintain this information in an electronic, sortable format):

1. Case number
2. Name of respondent
3. Actual date the complaint was received by the State
4. Source of complaint (*e.g.*, consumer, lender, AMC, bank regulator, appraiser, hotline) or name of complainant

5. Current status of the complaint

6. Date the complaint was closed (*e.g.*, final disposition by the administrative hearing agency, Office of the Attorney General, State Appraiser Regulatory Agency or Court of Appeals)

7. Method of disposition (*e.g.*, dismissal, letter of warning, consent order, final order)

C. Summary of Requirements

1. States must maintain relevant documentation to enable understanding of the facts and determinations in the matter and the reasons for those determinations.⁹⁰
2. States must resolve all complaints filed against appraisers within one year (12 months) of the complaint filing date, except for special documented circumstances.⁹¹
3. States must ensure that the system for processing and investigating complaints and sanctioning appraisers is administered in an effective, consistent, equitable, and well-documented manner.⁹²
4. States must track complaints of alleged appraiser misconduct or wrongdoing using a complaint log.⁹³
5. States must appropriately document enforcement files and include rationale.⁹⁴
6. States must regulate, supervise and discipline their credentialed appraisers.⁹⁵
7. Persons analyzing complaints for USPAP compliance must be knowledgeable about appraisal practice and USPAP, and States must be able to document how such persons are so qualified.⁹⁶

⁹⁰Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347.

⁹¹*Id.*

⁹²*Id.*

⁹³*Id.*

⁹⁴*Id.*

⁹⁵*Id.*

⁹⁶*Id.*

Part B: AMC Program

POLICY STATEMENT 8

Statutes, Regulations, Policies and Procedures Governing State AMC Programs

A. Participating States and ASC Oversight

States are not required to establish an AMC registration and supervision program. For those States electing to participate in the registration and supervision of AMCs (participating States), ASC staff will informally monitor the State's progress to implement the requirements of Title XI and the AMC Rule.⁹⁷ Formal ASC oversight of State AMC Programs will begin at the next regularly scheduled Compliance Review of a State after a State elects to register and supervise AMCs pursuant to the AMC Rule. Formal ASC oversight will consist of evaluating AMC Programs in participating States during the Compliance Review process to determine compliance or lack thereof with Title XI, and to assess implementation of the minimum requirements for State registration and supervision of AMCs as established by the AMC Rule. Upon expiration of the statutory implementation period (see Policy Statement 11, *Statutory Implementation Period*), Compliance Reviews will include ASC oversight of AMC Programs for any participating State.

B. Relation to State Law

Participating States may establish requirements in addition to those in the AMC Rule.

⁹⁷Title XI § 1103 (a)(1)(B), 12 U.S.C. § 3332. AMC Rule means the inter-agency final rule on minimum requirements for State registration and supervision of AMCs (12 CFR 34.210 – 34.216; 12 CFR 225.190 – 225.196; 12 CFR 323.8 -323.14; 12 CFR 1222.20 – 1222.26.

Participating States may also have a more expansive definition of AMCs.⁹⁸ However, if a participating State has a more expansive definition of AMCs than in Title XI (thereby encompassing State regulation of AMCs that are not within the Title XI definition of AMC), the State must ensure such AMCs are identified as such in the State database, just as States currently do for non-federally recognized credentials or designations. Only those AMCs that meet the Federal definition of AMC will be eligible to be on the AMC Registry.

C. Funding and Staffing

The Dodd-Frank Act amended Title XI to require the ASC to determine whether participating States have sufficient funding and staffing to meet their Title XI requirements. Compliance with this provision requires that a State must provide its AMC Program with funding and

⁹⁸Title XI as amended by the Dodd-Frank Act defines “appraisal management company” to mean, in part, an external third party that oversees a network or panel of more than 15 appraisers (State certified or licensed) in a State, or 25 or more appraisers nationally (two or more States) within a given year. (12 U.S.C. 3350(11)). Title XI as amended by the Dodd-Frank Act also allows States to adopt requirements in addition to those in the AMC Rule. (12 U.S.C. 3353(b)). For example, States may decide to supervise entities that provide appraisal management services, but do not meet the size thresholds of the Title XI definition of AMC. If a State has a more expansive regulatory framework that covers entities that provide appraisal management services but do not meet the Title XI definition of AMC, the State should only submit information regarding AMCs meeting the Title XI definition to the AMC Registry.

staffing sufficient to carry out its Title XI-related duties. The ASC evaluates the sufficiency of funding and staffing as part of its review of all aspects of an AMC Program's effectiveness, including the adequacy of State boards, committees, or commissions responsible for carrying out Title XI-related duties.

D. Minimum Requirements for Registration and Supervision of AMCs as Established by the AMC Rule

1. AMC Registration and Supervision

If a State chooses to participate in the registration and supervision of AMCs in accordance with the AMC Rule, the State will be required to comply with the minimum requirements set forth in the AMC Rule. States should refer to the AMC Rule for compliance requirements⁹⁹ as this Policy Statement merely summarizes what the AMC Rule requires of participating States.

- (a) The AMC Rule includes requirements for participating States to establish and maintain within the State appraiser certifying and licensing agency an AMC Program with the legal authority and mechanisms to:
 - (1) Review and approve or deny AMC initial registration applications and/or renewals for registration;
 - (2) Examine records of AMCs and require AMCs to submit information;
 - (3) Verify that appraisers on AMCs' panels hold valid State credentials;
 - (4) Conduct investigations of AMCs to assess potential violations of appraisal-related laws, regulations, or orders;
 - (5) Discipline, suspend, terminate, or deny renewal of the registration of an AMC that violates appraisal-related laws, regulations, or orders; and
 - (6) Report an AMC's violation of appraisal-related laws, regulations, or orders, as well as disciplinary

and enforcement actions and other relevant information about an AMC's operations, to the ASC.

- (b) The AMC Rule includes requirements for participating States to impose requirements on AMCs that are not Federally regulated AMCs¹⁰⁰ to:
 - (1) Register with and be subject to supervision by the State appraiser certifying and licensing agency;
 - (2) Engage only State-certified or State-licensed appraisers for federally related transactions in conformity with any federally related transaction regulations;
 - (3) Establish and comply with processes and controls reasonably designed to ensure that the AMC, in engaging an appraiser, selects an appraiser who is independent of the transaction and who has the requisite education, expertise, and experience necessary to competently complete the appraisal assignment for the particular market and property type;
 - (4) Direct the appraiser to perform the assignment in accordance with USPAP; and
 - (5) Establish and comply with processes and controls reasonably designed to ensure that the AMC conducts its appraisal management services in accordance with the requirements of section 129E(a) through (i) of the Truth in Lending Act, 15 U.S.C. 1639e(a) through (i), and regulations thereunder.

2. Ownership Limitations for State-registered AMCs

A. Appraiser certification or licensing of Owners

An AMC subject to State registration shall not be registered by a State or included on the AMC Registry if such AMC, in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, is owned by any person who has had an appraiser license or certificate refused, denied, cancelled, surrendered in lieu of revocation, or revoked

⁹⁹ See footnote 97.

¹⁰⁰ "Federally regulated AMCs," meaning AMCs that are subsidiaries owned and controlled by an insured depository institution or an insured credit union and regulated by a Federal financial institutions regulatory agency, are not required to register with the State (Title XI § 1124 (c), 12 U.S.C. § 3353(c)).

in any State for a substantive cause,¹⁰¹ as determined by the State appraiser certifying and licensing agency. A State's process for review could, for example, be by questionnaire, or affidavit, or background screening, or otherwise. States must document to the file the State's method of review and the result.

B. Good Moral Character of Owners

An AMC shall not be registered by a State if any person that owns more than 10 percent of the AMC—

- (1) Is determined by the State not to have good moral character; or
- (2) Fails to submit to a background investigation carried out by the State.

A State's process for review could, for example, be by questionnaire, or affidavit, or background screening, or otherwise. The ASC would expect written documentation of the State's method of review and the result.

3. Requirements for Federally Regulated AMCs

Participating States are not required to identify Federally regulated AMCs¹⁰² operating in their States, but rather the Federal financial institution regulatory agencies are responsible for requiring such AMCs to identify themselves to participating States and report required information.

¹⁰¹ An AMC subject to State registration is not barred from being registered by a State or included on the AMC Registry of AMCs if the license or certificate of the appraiser with an ownership interest was not revoked for a substantive cause and has been reinstated by the State or States in which the appraiser was licensed or certified. (12 CFR 34.210 – 34.216; 12 CFR 225.190 – 225.196; 12 CFR 323.8 – 323.14; 12 CFR 1222.20 – 1222.26.

¹⁰² See footnote 97.

A Federally regulated AMC shall not be included on the AMC Registry if such AMC, in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, is owned by any person who has had an appraiser license or certificate refused, denied, cancelled, surrendered in lieu of revocation, or revoked in any State for a substantive cause, as determined by the ASC.

E. Summary of Requirements

1. Participating States must establish and maintain an AMC Program with the legal authority and mechanisms consistent with the AMC Rule.¹⁰³
2. Participating States must impose requirements on AMCs consistent with the AMC Rule.¹⁰⁴
3. Participating States must enforce and document ownership limitations for State-registered AMCs.¹⁰⁵
4. Only those AMCs that meet the Federal definition of AMC will be eligible to be on the AMC Registry. Therefore, participating States that have a more expansive definition of AMCs than in the AMC Rule must ensure such non-Federally recognized AMCs are identified as such in the State database.¹⁰⁶
5. States must have funding and staffing sufficient to carry out their Title XI-related duties.¹⁰⁷

¹⁰³ 12 CFR 34.210 – 34.216; 12 CFR 225.190 – 225.196; 12 CFR 323.8 – 323.14; 12 CFR 1222.20 – 1222.26.

¹⁰⁴ *Id.*

¹⁰⁵ *Id.*

¹⁰⁶ Title XI § 1118 (b), 12 U.S.C. § 3347.

¹⁰⁷ *Id.*

POLICY STATEMENT 9

National Registry of AMCs (AMC Registry)

A. Requirements for the AMC Registry

Title XI requires the ASC to maintain the AMC Registry of AMCs that are either registered with and subject to supervision of a participating State or are operating subsidiaries of a Federally regulated financial institution.¹⁰⁸ Title XI further requires the States to transmit to the ASC: (1) reports on a timely basis of supervisory activities involving AMCs, including investigations resulting in disciplinary action being taken; and (2) the registry fee as set by the ASC¹⁰⁹ from AMCs that are either registered with a participating State or are Federally regulated AMCs.¹¹⁰

As with appraiser registry fees, Title XI, § 1109(a)(4) (b) requires the AMC registry fee to be collected by each participating State and transmitted to the ASC. Therefore, as with appraisers, an AMC will pay a registry fee in each participating State in which the AMC operates. As with appraisers, an AMC operating in multiple participating States will pay a registry fee in multiple States in order to be on the AMC Registry for each State.

States must notify the ASC as soon as practicable if an AMC listed on the AMC Registry is no longer registered with or operating in the State. The ASC extranet application allows States to update their AMC information directly to the AMC Registry.

B. Registry Fee and Invoicing Policies

Each State must remit to the ASC the annual registry fee, as set by the ASC, for AMCs to be listed on the AMC Registry. Requests to prorate refunds or partial-year registrations will not be granted. If a State collects multiple-year fees for multiple-years, the State may choose to remit to the ASC the total amount of the multiple-year registry fees or the equivalent annual fee amount. The ASC will, however, record AMCs on the AMC Registry only for the number of years for which the ASC has received payment.

¹⁰⁸ Title XI § 1103 (a) (6), 12 U.S.C. § 3332.

¹⁰⁹ Title XI § 1109 (a) (4), 12 U.S.C. § 3338.

¹¹⁰ Title XI § 1109 (a) (3) and (4), 12 U.S.C. § 3338.

States must reconcile and pay registry invoices in a timely manner (45 calendar days after receipt of the invoice).

C. Reporting Requirements

State agencies must report all disciplinary action¹¹¹ taken against an AMC to the ASC via the extranet application within 5 business days after the disciplinary action is final, as determined by State law. States not reporting via the extranet application must provide, in writing to the ASC, a description of the circumstances preventing compliance with this requirement. For the most serious disciplinary actions (*e.g.*, any action that interrupts an AMCs ability to provide appraisal management services), the AMCs status must be changed on the AMC Registry to “inactive.” A Federally regulated AMC operating in a State must report to the State the information required to be submitted by the State to the ASC, pursuant to the ASC’s policies regarding the determination of the AMC registry fee.

D. Access to AMC Registry Data

The ASC website provides free access to the public portion of the AMC Registry at www.asc.gov. The public portion of the AMC Registry data may be downloaded using predefined queries or user-customized applications.

Access to the full database, which includes non-public data (*e.g.*, certain disciplinary action information), is restricted to authorized State and Federal regulatory agencies. States must designate a senior official, such as an executive director, to serve as the State’s Authorized Registry Official, and provide to the ASC, in writing, information regarding the designated Authorized Registry Official. States must ensure that the authorization information provided to the ASC is updated and accurate. States must adopt and implement a written policy to protect the right of access, as well as the ASC issued User Name and Password.

¹¹¹ See Appendix B, *Glossary of Terms*, for the definition of “disciplinary action.”

E. Summary of Requirements

States must reconcile and pay registry invoices in a timely manner (45 calendar days after receipt of the invoice).¹¹²

State agencies must report all disciplinary action taken against an AMC to the ASC via the extranet application within 5 business days after the disciplinary action is final, as determined by State law.¹¹³

States not reporting via the extranet application must provide, in writing to the ASC, a description of the circumstances preventing compliance with this requirement.¹¹⁴

For the most serious disciplinary actions (*e.g.*, any action that interrupts an AMC's ability to provide appraisal management services), the AMC's status must be changed on the AMC Registry to "inactive."¹¹⁵

¹¹² Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347; Title XI § 1109 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3338.

¹¹³ Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347.

¹¹⁴ *Id.*

¹¹⁵ *Id.*

States must notify the ASC as soon as practicable if an AMC listed on the AMC Registry is no longer registered with or operating in the State.

States must designate a senior official, such as an executive director, who will serve as the State's Authorized Registry Official, and provide to the ASC, in writing, information regarding the selected Authorized Registry Official, and any individual(s) authorized to act on their behalf.¹¹⁶

States must adopt and implement a written policy to protect the right of access to the AMC Registry, as well as the ASC issued User Name and Password.¹¹⁷

States must ensure the accuracy of all data submitted to the AMC Registry.¹¹⁸

¹¹⁶ *Id.*

¹¹⁷ *Id.*

¹¹⁸ *Id.*

POLICY STATEMENT 10

State Agency Enforcement

A. State Agency Regulatory Program

Title XI requires the ASC to monitor the States for the purpose of determining whether the State processes complaints and completes investigations in a reasonable time period, appropriately disciplines sanctioned AMCs and maintains an effective regulatory program.¹¹⁹

B. Enforcement Process

States must ensure that the system for processing and investigating complaints¹²⁰ and sanctioning AMCs is administered in a timely, effective, consistent, equitable, and well-documented¹²¹ manner.

1. Timely Enforcement

States must process complaints against AMCs in a timely manner to ensure effective supervision of AMCs. Absent special documented circumstances, final administrative decisions regarding complaints must occur within one year (12 months) of the complaint filing date. Special documented circumstances are those extenuating circumstances (fully documented) beyond the control of the State agency that delays normal processing of a complaint such as: complaints involving a criminal investigation by a law enforcement agency when the investigative agency requests that the State refrain from proceeding; final disposition that has been appealed to a higher court; documented medical condition of the respondent; ancillary civil litigation; and complex fraud cases that involve multiple individuals and reports. Such special documented circumstances

¹¹⁹ Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347.

¹²⁰ See Appendix B, *Glossary of Terms*, for the definition of “complaint.”

¹²¹ See Appendix B, *Glossary of Terms*, for the definition of “well-documented.”

also include those periods when State rules require referral of a complaint to another State entity for review and the State agency is precluded from further processing of the complaint until it is returned. In that circumstance, the State agency should document the required referral and the time period during which the complaint was not under its control or authority.

2. Effective Enforcement

Effective enforcement requires that States investigate complaints, and if allegations are proven, take appropriate disciplinary or remedial action.

3. Consistent and Equitable Enforcement

Absent specific documented facts or considerations, substantially similar cases within a State should result in similar dispositions.

4. Well-Documented Enforcement

States must obtain and maintain sufficient relevant documentation pertaining to a matter so as to enable understanding of the facts and determinations in the matter and the reasons for those determinations.

a. Complaint Files

Complaint files must:

- include documentation outlining the progress of the investigation;
- include rationale for the final outcome of the case (*i.e.*, dismissal or imposition of discipline);
- include documentation explaining any delay in processing, investigation or adjudication;

- contain documentation that all ordered or agreed upon discipline is tracked and that completion of all terms is confirmed; and
- be organized in a manner that allows understanding of the steps taken throughout the complaint, investigation, and adjudicatory process.

b. Complaint Logs

States must track all complaints using a complaint log. The complaint log must record all complaints, regardless of their procedural status in the investigation and/or resolution process, including complaints pending before the State board, Office of the Attorney General, other law enforcement agencies, and/or offices of administrative hearings. The complaint log must include the following information (States are strongly encouraged to maintain this information in an electronic, sortable format):

1. Case number
2. Name of respondent
3. Actual date the complaint was received by the State
4. Source of complaint (*e.g.*, consumer, lender, AMC, bank regulator, appraiser, hotline) or name of complainant
5. Current status of the complaint

6. Date the complaint was closed (*e.g.*, final disposition by the administrative hearing agency, Office of the Attorney General, State AMC Program or Court of Appeals)
7. Method of disposition (*e.g.*, dismissal, letter of warning, consent order, final order)

C. Summary of Requirements

1. States must maintain relevant documentation to enable understanding of the facts and determinations in the matter and the reasons for those determinations.¹²²
2. States must resolve all complaints filed against AMCs within one year (12 months) of the complaint filing date, except for special documented circumstances.¹²³
3. States must ensure that the system for processing and investigating complaints and sanctioning AMCs is administered in an effective, consistent, equitable, and well-documented manner.¹²⁴
4. States must track complaints of alleged AMC misconduct or wrongdoing using a complaint log.¹²⁵
5. States must appropriately document enforcement files and include rationale.¹²⁶

¹²² Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347.

¹²³ *Id.*

¹²⁴ *Id.*

¹²⁵ *Id.*

¹²⁶ *Id.*

POLICY STATEMENT 11

Statutory Implementation Period

Title XI and the AMC Rule set forth the statutory implementation period.¹²⁷ The AMC Rule was effective on August 10, 2015. As of 36 months from that date (August 10, 2018), an AMC may not provide appraisal management services for a federally related transaction in a non-participating State unless the AMC is a Federally regulated AMC. Appraisal management services may still be provided for federally related transactions in non-participating States by individual appraisers, by AMCs that are below the minimum statutory panel size threshold, and as noted, by Federally regulated AMCs.

The ASC, with the approval of the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (FFIEC), may extend this statutory implementation period for an additional 12 months if the ASC makes a finding that a State has made substantial progress toward implementing a registration and supervision program for AMCs that meets the standards of Title XI.¹²⁸

¹²⁷ Title XI § 1124 (f)(1), 12 U.S.C. § 3353 and 12 CFR 34.210 – 34.216; 12 CFR 225.190 – 225.196; 12 CFR 323.8 -323.14; 12 CFR 1222.20 – 1222.26.

¹²⁸ Title XI § 1124 (f)(2), 12 U.S.C. § 3353.

Part C: Interim Sanctions

POLICY STATEMENT 12

Interim Sanctions

A. Authority

Title XI grants the ASC authority to impose sanctions on a State that fails to have an effective Appraiser or AMC Program.¹²⁹ The ASC may remove a State credentialed appraiser or a registered AMC from the Appraiser or AMC Registry on an interim basis, not to exceed 90 days, pending State agency action on licensing, certification, registration and disciplinary proceedings as an alternative to or in advance of a non-recognition proceeding.¹³⁰ In determining whether an Appraiser or AMC Program is effective, the ASC shall conduct an analysis as required by Title XI. An ASC Finding of Poor on the Compliance Review Report¹³¹ issued to a State at the conclusion of an ASC Compliance Review may trigger an analysis by the ASC for potential interim sanction(s). The following provisions apply to the exercise by the ASC of its authority to impose interim sanction(s) on State agencies.

B. Opportunity to be Heard or Correct Conditions

The ASC shall provide the State agency with:

1. written notice of intention to impose an interim sanction; and
2. opportunity to respond or to correct the conditions causing such notice to the State.

Notice and opportunity to respond or correct the conditions shall be in accordance with section C, *Procedures*.

C. Procedures

This section prescribes the ASC's procedures which will be followed in arriving at a decision by the ASC to impose an interim sanction against a State agency.

1. Notice

The ASC shall provide a written Notice of intention to impose an interim sanction (Notice) to the State agency. The Notice shall contain the ASC's analysis as required by Title XI of the State's licensing and certification of appraisers, the registration of AMCs, the issuance of temporary licenses and certifications for appraisers, the receiving and tracking of submitted complaints against appraisers and AMCs, the investigation of complaints, and enforcement actions against appraisers and AMCs.¹³² The ASC shall verify the State's date of receipt, and publish both the Notice and the State's date of receipt in the *Federal Register*.

2. State Agency Response

Within 15 days of receipt of the Notice, the State may submit a response to the ASC's Executive Director. Alternatively, a State may submit a Notice Not to Contest with the ASC's Executive Director. The filing of a Notice Not to Contest shall not constitute a waiver of the right to a judicial review of the ASC's decision, findings and conclusions. Failure to file a Response within 15 days shall constitute authorization for the ASC to find the facts to be as presented in the Notice and analysis. The ASC, for good cause shown, may permit the filing of a Response after the prescribed time.

3. Briefs, Memoranda and Statements

Within 45 days after the date of receipt by the State agency of the Notice as published in the *Federal Register*, the State agency may file with the ASC's Executive Director a written brief, memorandum or other statement providing factual data and policy and legal arguments regarding the matters set out in the Notice and analysis.

¹²⁹ Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347.

¹³⁰ *Id.*

¹³¹ See Appendix A—Compliance Review Process.

¹³² Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. § 3347.

4. Oral Presentations to the ASC

Within 45 days after the date of receipt by the State agency of the Notice as published in the *Federal Register*, the State may file a request with the ASC's Executive Director to make oral presentation to the ASC. If the State has filed a request for oral presentation, the matter shall be heard within 45 days. An oral presentation shall be considered as an opportunity to offer, emphasize and clarify the facts, policies and laws concerning the proceeding, and is not a Meeting¹³³ of the ASC. On the appropriate date and time, the State agency will make the oral presentation before the ASC. Any ASC member may ask pertinent questions relating to the content of the oral presentation. Oral presentations will not be recorded or otherwise transcribed. Summary notes will be taken by ASC staff and made part of the record on which the ASC shall decide the matter.

5. Conduct of Interim Sanction Proceedings

(a) Written Submissions

All aspects of the proceeding shall be conducted by written submissions, with the exception of oral presentations allowed under subsection 4 above.

(b) Disqualification

An ASC member who deems himself or herself disqualified may at any time withdraw. Upon receipt of a timely and sufficient affidavit of personal bias or disqualification of such member, the ASC will rule on the matter as a part of the record.

(c) Authority of ASC Chairperson

The Chairperson of the ASC, in consultation with other members of the ASC whenever appropriate, shall have complete charge of the proceeding and shall have the duty to conduct it in a fair and impartial manner and to take all necessary action to avoid delay in the disposition of proceedings.

¹³³ The proceeding is more in the nature of a Briefing not subject to open meeting requirements. The presentation is an opportunity for the State to brief the ASC—to offer, emphasize and clarify the facts, policies and laws concerning the proceeding, and for the ASC members to ask questions. Additional consideration is given to the fact that this stage of the proceeding is pre-decisional.

(d) Rules of Evidence

Except as is otherwise set forth in this section, relevant material and reliable evidence that is not unduly repetitive is admissible to the fullest extent authorized by the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. §§ 551-559) and other applicable law.

6. Decision of the ASC and Judicial Review

Within 90 days after the date of receipt by the State agency of the Notice as published in the *Federal Register*, or in the case of oral presentation having been granted, within 30 days after presentation, the ASC shall issue a final decision, findings and conclusions and shall publish the decision promptly in the *Federal Register*. The final decision shall be effective on issuance. The ASC's Executive Director shall ensure prompt circulation of the decision to the State agency. A final decision of the ASC is a prerequisite to seeking judicial review.

7. Computing Time

Time computation is based on business days. The date of the act, event or default from which the designated period of time begins to run is not included. The last day is included unless it is a Saturday, Sunday, or Federal holiday, in which case the period runs until the end of the next day which is not a Saturday, Sunday or Federal holiday.

8. Documents and Exhibits

Unless otherwise provided by statute, all documents, papers and exhibits filed in connection with any proceeding, other than those that may be withheld from disclosure under applicable law, shall be placed by the ASC's Executive Director in the proceeding's file and will be available for public inspection and copying.

9. Judicial Review

A decision of the ASC under this section shall be subject to judicial review. The form of proceeding for judicial review may include any applicable form of legal action, including actions for declaratory judgments or writs of prohibitory or mandatory injunction in a court of competent jurisdiction.¹³⁴

¹³⁴ 5 U.S.C. § 703 - Form and venue of proceeding.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

Compliance Review Process

The ASC monitors State Appraiser and AMC Programs for compliance with Title XI. The monitoring of State Programs is largely accomplished through on-site visits known as a Compliance Review (Review). A Review is conducted over a two- to four-day period, and is scheduled to coincide with a meeting of the Program's decision-making body whenever possible. ASC staff reviews the Appraiser Program and the seven compliance areas addressed in Policy Statements 1 through 7. ASC staff reviews a participating State's AMC Program and the three compliance areas addressed in Policy Statements 8 through 10. Sufficient documentation demonstrating compliance must be maintained by a State and made available for inspection during the Review. ASC staff reviews a sampling of documentation in each of the compliance areas. The sampling is intended to be representative of a State Program in its entirety.

Based on the Review, ASC staff provides the State with an ASC staff report for the Appraiser Program, and if applicable, an ASC staff report for the AMC Program, detailing preliminary findings. The State is given 60 days to respond to the ASC staff report(s). At the conclusion of the Review, a Compliance Review Report (Report) is issued to the State for the Appraiser Program, and if applicable, a Report is also issued for the AMC Program, with the ASC Finding on each Program's overall compliance, or lack thereof, with Title XI. Deficiencies resulting in non-compliance in any of the compliance areas are cited in the Report. "Areas of Concern" which potentially expose a Program to compliance issues in the future are also addressed in the Report. The ASC's final disposition is based upon the ASC staff report, the State's response and staff's recommendation.

The following chart provides an explanation of the ASC Findings and rating criteria for each ASC Finding

category. The ASC Finding places particular emphasis on whether the State is maintaining an effective regulatory Program in compliance with Title XI.

The ASC has two primary Review Cycles: two-year and one-year. Most States are scheduled on a two-year Review Cycle. States may be moved to a one-year Review Cycle if the ASC determines more frequent on-site Reviews are needed to ensure that the State maintains an effective Program. Generally, States are placed on a one-year Review Cycle because of non-compliance issues or serious areas of concerns that warrant more frequent on-site visits. Both two-year and one-year Review Cycles include a review of all aspects of the State's Program.

The ASC may conduct Follow-up Reviews and additional monitoring. A Follow-up Review focuses only on specific areas identified during the previous on-site Review. Follow-up Reviews usually occur within 6-12 months of the previous Review. In addition, as a risk management tool, ASC staff identifies State Programs that may have a significant impact on the nation's appraiser regulatory system in the event of Title XI compliance issues. For States that represent a significant percentage of the credentials on the Appraiser Registry, ASC staff performs annual on-site Priority Contact visits. The primary purpose of the Priority Contact visit is to review topical issues, evaluate regulatory compliance issues, and maintain a close working relationship with the State. This is not a complete Review of the Program. The ASC will also schedule a Priority Contact visit for a State when a specific concern is identified that requires special attention. Additional monitoring may be required where a deficiency is identified and reports on required or agreed upon corrective actions are required monthly or quarterly. Additional monitoring may include on-site monitoring as well as off-site monitoring.

ASC Finding	Rating Criteria	Review Cycle (Program history or nature of deficiency may warrant a more accelerated Review Cycle.)
Excellent	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State meets all Title XI mandates and complies with requirements of ASC Policy Statements • State maintains a strong regulatory Program • Very low risk of Program failure 	Two-year
Good	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State meets the majority of Title XI mandates and complies with the majority of ASC Policy Statement requirements • Deficiencies are minor in nature • State is adequately addressing deficiencies identified and correcting them in the normal course of business • State maintains an effective regulatory Program • Low risk of Program failure 	Two-year
Needs Improvement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State does not meet all Title XI mandates and does not comply with all requirements of ASC Policy Statements • Deficiencies are material but manageable and if not corrected in a timely manner pose a potential risk to the Program • State may have a history of repeated deficiencies but is showing progress toward correcting deficiencies • State regulatory Program needs improvement • Moderate risk of Program failure 	Two-year with additional monitoring
Not Satisfactory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State does not meet all Title XI mandates and does not comply with all requirements of ASC Policy Statements • Deficiencies present a significant risk and if not corrected in a timely manner pose a well-defined risk to the Program • State may have a history of repeated deficiencies and requires more supervision to ensure corrective actions are progressing • State regulatory Program has substantial deficiencies • Substantial risk of Program failure 	One-year
Poor ¹³⁵	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State does not meet Title XI mandates and does not comply with requirements of ASC Policy Statements • Deficiencies are significant and severe, require immediate attention and if not corrected represent critical flaws in the Program • State may have a history of repeated deficiencies and may show a lack of willingness or ability to correct deficiencies • High risk of Program failure 	Continuous monitoring

¹³⁵An ASC Finding of “Poor” may result in significant consequences to the State. See Policy Statement 5, *Reciprocity*; see also Policy Statement 12, *Interim Sanctions*.

APPENDIX B

Glossary of Terms

Appraisal management company (AMC): Refers to, in connection with valuing properties collateralizing mortgage loans or mortgages incorporated into a securitization, any external third party authorized either by a creditor of a consumer credit transaction secured by a consumer's principal dwelling or by an underwriter of or other principal in the secondary mortgage markets, that oversees a network or panel of more than 15 certified or licensed appraisers in a State or 25 or more nationally within a given year—

- (A) to recruit, select, and retain appraisers;
- (B) to contract with licensed and certified appraisers to perform appraisal assignments;
- (C) to manage the process of having an appraisal performed, including providing administrative duties such as receiving appraisal orders and appraisal reports, submitting completed appraisal reports to creditors and underwriters, collecting fees from creditors and underwriters for services provided, and reimbursing appraisers for services performed; or
- (D) to review and verify the work of appraisers.

AQB Criteria: Refers to the *Real Property Appraiser Qualification Criteria* as established by the Appraiser Qualifications Board of the Appraisal Foundation setting forth minimum education, experience and examination requirements for the licensure and certification of real property appraisers, and minimum requirements for “Trainee” and “Supervisory” appraisers.

Assignment: As referenced herein, for purposes of temporary practice, “assignment” means one or more real estate appraisals and written appraisal report(s) covered by a single contractual agreement.

Complaint: As referenced herein, any document filed with, received by, or serving as the basis for possible inquiry by the State agency regarding alleged violation of Title XI, Federal or State law or regulation, or USPAP by a credentialed appraiser or appraiser applicant, for

allegations of unlicensed appraisal activity, or complaints involving AMCs. A complaint may be in the form of a referral, letter of inquiry, or other document alleging misconduct or wrongdoing.

Credentialed appraisers: Refers to State licensed, certified residential or certified general appraiser classifications.

Disciplinary action: As referenced herein, corrective or punitive action taken by or on behalf of a State agency which may be formal or informal, or may be consensual or involuntary, resulting in any of the following:

- a. revocation of credential or registration
- b. suspension of credential or registration
- c. written consent agreements, orders or reprimands
- d. probation or any other restriction on the use of a credential
- e. fine
- f. voluntary surrender¹³⁶
- g. other acts as defined by State statute or regulation as disciplinary

With the exception of voluntary surrender, suspension or revocation, such action may be exempt from reporting to the National Registry if defined by State statute, regulation or written policy as “non-disciplinary.”

Federally related transaction: Refers to any real estate related financial transaction which:

- a) a federal financial institutions regulatory agency engages in, contracts for, or regulates; and
- b) requires the services of an appraiser. (See Title XI § 1121 (4), 12 U.S.C. § 3350.)

¹³⁶A voluntary surrender that is not deemed disciplinary by State law or regulation, or is not related to any disciplinary process need not be reported as discipline provided the individual's Appraiser Registry record is updated to show the credential is inactive.

Federal financial institutions regulatory agencies:

Refers to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and the National Credit Union Administration. (See Title XI § 1121 (6), 12 U.S.C. § 3350.)

Home State agency: As referenced herein, State agency or agencies that grant an appraiser a licensed or certified credential. Residency in the home State is not required. Appraisers may have more than one home State agency.

Non- federally recognized credentials or designations:

Refers to any State appraiser credential or designation other than trainee, State licensed, certified residential or certified general classifications as defined in Policy Statement 1, and which is not recognized by Title XI.

Real estate related financial transaction: Any transaction involving:

- a) the sale, lease, purchase, investment in or exchange of real property, including interests in property, or the financing thereof;
- b) the refinancing of real property or interests in real property; and
- c) the use of real property or interests in property as security for a loan or investment, including mortgage-backed securities.

(See Title XI § 1121 (5), 12 U.S.C. 3350.)

State: Any State, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Guam, and the United States Virgin Islands. (American Samoa does not have a Program.)

State board: As referenced herein, “State board” means a group of individuals (usually appraisers, AMC representatives, bankers, consumers, and/or real estate professionals) appointed by the Governor or a similarly positioned State official to assist or oversee State Programs. A State agency may be headed by a board, commission or an individual.

Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP):

Refers to appraisal standards promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of the Appraisal Foundation establishing minimum requirements for development and reporting of appraisals, including real property appraisal. Title XI requires appraisals prepared by State certified and licensed appraisers to be performed in conformance with USPAP.

Well-documented: Means that States obtain and maintain sufficient relevant documentation pertaining to a matter so as to enable understanding of the facts and determinations in the matter and the reasons for those determinations.