

August 21, 2025

Via Email

Leigh Veillette, Division Director Division of Real Estate Utah Department of Commerce 160 East 300 South, 2nd Floor Salt Lake City, UT 84111 LVeillette@utah.gov

RE: ASC Compliance Review of Utah's Appraisal Management Company (AMC) Regulatory Program

Dear Leigh Veillette:

The Appraisal Subcommittee (ASC) staff conducted an ASC Compliance Review (Review) of the Utah AMC regulatory program (AMC Program) on August 13-15, 2024, to determine the Program's compliance with Title XI of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989, as amended.¹

The ASC considered the preliminary results of the Review and the State's response to those results. The AMC Program is given an ASC Finding of "Good." The final ASC Compliance Review Report (Report) of the Utah AMC Program is attached.

The ASC identified the following areas of non-compliance:

- States must designate a senior official, such as an executive director, who will serve as the State's Authorized Registry Official, and provide to the ASC, in writing, information regarding the selected Authorized Registry Official, and any individual(s) authorized to act on their behalf.² The ASC understands that the State has designated a National Registry Authorized Official.
- States must ensure the accuracy of all data submitted to the AMC Registry.³ The ASC recognizes that the State reported that it has updated its AMC registration application checklist and its annual letter sent to State-registered AMCs regarding the fee collection change.
- States must collect and transmit the registry fees for AMCs that meet the federal definition of an AMC. ⁴ The ASC acknowledges that the State reported that it has

² 12 U.S.C. § 3338; 12 U.S.C. § 3347; Policy Statement 9.

¹ 12 U.S.C. §§ 3331-3356.

³ 12 U.S.C. § 3338; 12 U.S.C. § 3347; Policy Statement 9.

⁴ 12 U.S.C. § 3338; 12 CFR 34.210 – 34.216; 12 CFR 225.190 – 225.196; 12 CFR 323.8 -323.14; 12 CFR 1222.20 – 1222.26; 12 CFR 1102.400-403; Policy Statements 8 and 9.

- requested the AMC which had not met the federal definition of an AMC, to submit a newly updated AMC application registration form.
- States must resolve all complaints filed against AMCs within one year (12 months) of the complaint filing date, except for special documented circumstances.⁵. The ASC recognizes that the State reported that it is taking steps to improve its enforcement process through a dedicated investigator and changes in procedures and prioritization of cases.

ASC staff will confirm that appropriate corrective actions have been taken during the next Review. Utah will remain on a two-year Review Cycle.

This letter and the attached Report are public records and available on the ASC website. Please contact us if you have any questions about this Report.

Sincerely,

Frederick Griefer Acting Executive Director

Frederick Griefer

Attachment

cc: Kadee Wright, Chief Investigator, <u>Kadee Wright@utah.gov</u>
Laurel North, Director of Licensing and Education, <u>LaurelNorth@utah.gov</u>
Justin Barney, Hearing Officer, <u>JustinBarney@utah.gov</u>

_

⁵ 12 U.S.C. § 3347; Policy Statement 10.

| ASC Finding | Rating Criteria | Review Cycle* |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Excellent | State meets all Title XI mandates and complies with requirements of ASC Policy Statements State maintains a strong regulatory Program Very low risk of Program failure | 2-year |
| Good | State meets the majority of Title XI mandates and complies with the majority of ASC Policy Statement requirements Deficiencies are minor in nature State is adequately addressing deficiencies identified and correcting them in the normal course of business State maintains an effective regulatory Program Low risk of Program failure | 2-year |
| Needs Improvement | State does not meet all Title XI mandates and does not comply with all requirements of ASC Policy Statements Deficiencies are material but manageable and if not corrected in a timely manner pose a potential risk to the Program State may have a history of repeated deficiencies but is showing progress toward correcting deficiencies State regulatory Program needs improvement Moderate risk of Program failure | 2-year with additional monitoring |
| Not Satisfactory | State does not meet all Title XI mandates and does not comply with all requirements of ASC Policy Statements Deficiencies present a significant risk and if not corrected in a timely manner pose a well-defined risk to the Program State may have a history of repeated deficiencies and requires more supervision to ensure corrective actions are progressing State regulatory Program has substantial deficiencies Substantial risk of Program failure | 1-year |
| Poor** | State does not meet Title XI mandates and does not comply with requirements of ASC Policy Statements Deficiencies are significant and severe, require immediate attention and if not corrected represent critical flaws in the Program State may have a history of repeated deficiencies and may show a lack of willingness or ability to correct deficiencies High risk of Program failure | Continuous monitoring |

^{*}Program history or nature of deficiency may warrant a more accelerated Review Cycle.

^{**}An ASC Finding of "Poor" may result in significant consequences to the State. See Policy Statement 5, Reciprocity; see also Policy Statement 12, Interim Sanctions.



ASC State AMC Program Compliance Review Report

ASC Finding: Good

Final Report Issue Date: August 21, 2025

| Utah AMC Regulatory Program (State) | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Real Estate Appraiser Licensing and Certification PM: M. Brown Board (Board) | | | | | ASC Compliance Review Date: August 13- | Review Period: August 2022 to July 2024 | | |
| Umbrella Agency: Department of Commerce, Division of Real Estate | | | | | Number of AMCs on AMC Registry: 100 | | Review Cycle: Two Year | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Applicable Federal Citations | Compliance (YES/NO) Areas of Concern (AC) | | | 7.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.0 | State Response | Required/Recommended State Actions | General Comments | |
| | YES | NO | AC | | | | | |
| Statutes, Regulations, Policies and Procedures: | Х | | | | | | | |
| | | | | No compliance issues noted. | N/A | None | None | |
| National Registry: | | Х | | | | | | |
| States must designate a senior official, such as an executive director, who will serve as the State's Authorized Registry Official, and provide to the ASC, in writing, information regarding the selected Authorized Registry Official, and any individual(s) authorized to act on their behalf. (12 U.S.C. § 3338; 12 U.S.C. § 3347; Policy Statement 9.) | | | | The State failed to designate a new Authorized Registry Official and notify the ASC after its previous Authorized Registry Official left the Program. | On December 2, 2024, the State reported the Division designated Director Leigh Veillette as the Authorized Registry Official on September 3, 2024, and in the event the Director leaves, the vacancy will be addressed internally and communicated to the ASC promptly. | The State must ensure that it designates a new Authorized Registry Official and notifies the ASC in the event its current designee no longer holds the position. | During the next Compliance Review, ASC staff will pay particular attention to this area for compliance. | |
| National Registry Continued: | | Х | | | | | | |
| States must ensure the accuracy of all data submitted to the AMC Registry. (12 U.S.C. § 3338; 12 U.S.C. § 3347; Policy Statement 9.) | | | | The State failed to ensure a State registered AMC met the federal definition to be eligible to be on the AMC Registry. | On December 2, 2024, the State reported it requested that the State registered AMC submit a completed application after noting its previous application's deficiencies. The State also reported that it updated its AMC application and accompanying checklist to ensure that this issue does not recur. | | During the next Compliance Review, ASC staff will pay particular attention to this area for compliance. | |



ASC State AMC Program Compliance Review Report

ASC Finding: Good

Final Report Issue Date: August 21, 2025

| Utah AMC Regulatory Program (State) | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--|--|
| Real Estate Appraiser Licensing and Certification | PM: M. Brown | ASC Compliance Review Date: August 13-15, 2024 | Review Period: August 2022 to July 2024 | | |
| Board (Board) | | | | | |
| Umbrella Agency: Department of Commerce, Division | n of Real Estate | Number of AMCs on AMC Registry: 100 | Review Cycle: Two Year | | |

| Applicable Federal Citations | ns Compliance (YES/NO) Areas of Concern (AC) | | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | State Response | Required/Recommended State Actions | General Comments |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----|----------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| | | NO | <u> </u> | | | | |
| National Registry Continued: | | Х | | | | | |
| States must collect and transmit | | | | The State does not correctly calculate the | On December 2, 2024, the State reported | The State must ensure that it correctly calculates | During the next Compliance Review, ASC staff will pay |
| the registry fees for AMCs that | | | | annual fee according to the AMC Registry Fee | that it updated the letter that is sent to its | the annual fee according to the AMC Registry | particular attention to this area for compliance. |
| meet the federal definition of an | | | | Rule. | AMCs at the beginning of each year to | Fee Rule. | |
| AMC. (12 U.S.C. § 3338; 12 CFR | | | | | effectuate the change in its collection of | | |
| 34.210 – 34.216; 12 CFR | | | | | fees. The State provided a copy of its | | |
| 225.190 – 225.196; 12 CFR | | | | | updated annual form that includes the | | |
| 323.8 -323.14; 12 CFR 1222.20 – | | | | | change in its collection of fees. | | |
| 1222.26; 12 CFR 1102.400-403; | | | | | | | |
| Policy Statements 8 and 9.) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |



ASC State AMC Program Compliance Review Report

ASC Finding: Good

Final Report Issue Date: August 21, 2025

| Utah AMC Regulatory Program (State) | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--|--|
| Real Estate Appraiser Licensing and Certification | PM: M. Brown | ASC Compliance Review Date: August 13-15, 2024 | Review Period: August 2022 to July 2024 | | |
| Board (Board) | | | | | |
| Umbrella Agency: Department of Commerce, Divisio | n of Real Estate | Number of AMCs on AMC Registry: 100 | Review Cycle: Two Year | | |

| Applicable Federal Citations | Compliance (YES/NO) Areas of Concern (AC) | | | ASC Staff Observations | State Response | Required/Recommended State Actions | General Comments |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----|----|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| | YES | NO | AC | | | | |
| inforcement: | | Х | | | | | |
| states must resolve all | | | | The State had 3 aged AMC complaints of | On December 2, 2024, the State reported | The State must monitor its revised processes to | During the next Compliance Review, ASC staff will pay |
| complaints filed against AMCs | | | | which 1 was unresolved for more than 1 year | that it acknowledged there were delays in | ensure complaints are resolved timely and the | particular attention to this area for compliance. |
| vithin one year (12 months) of | | | | and 2 were unresolved for more than 2 years | complaint processing and resolution. At | backlog of aged complaints is eliminated. | |
| he complaint filing date, except | | | | without the exemption for special | the same time, the State reported that | | |
| or special documented | | | | documented circumstances. | instead of filling an investigator vacancy, it | | |
| ircumstances. (12 U.S.C. § | | | | | utilized third party contractors for 3 years. | | |
| 347; Policy Statement 10.) | | | | | This decision and the transition to a new | | |
| | | | | | enforcement software system led to a | | |
| | | | | | backlog of cases. The State also reported | | |
| | | | | | that it is actively taking steps to improve | | |
| | | | | | its enforcement case handling process by | | |
| | | | | | hiring a dedicated investigator, | | |
| | | | | | implementing organized investigative | | |
| | | | | | procedures, committing to ensure that all | | |
| | | | | | cases are timely processed with | | |
| | | | | | consistency, clarity, and logical | | |
| | | | | | progression, developing a new case | | |
| | | | | | prioritization system, and implementing | | |
| | | | | | new case procedures to ensure proper | | |
| | | | | | documentation of relevant information. | | |