

DELETION]

[NAME & ADDRESS DELETED]

March 16, 2005

Mr. Danny Wiley
Executive Director
The Appraisal Standards Board
The Appraisal Subcommittee
1029 Vermont Ave., N.W., Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20005
(T) 202-872-7520/F 202-872-7501,

[DELETION]

624 3040 Stacy

Dear Wiley:

On September 16, 2003, I wrote to you and forwarded a complete package of materials relevant to improper treatment that I have received from the Acting Director of the State of California Office of Real Estate Appraisers, Anthony Majeski, as a result of OREA's interpretation of Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) standards.

I am 65 year old man and my career has been severely impacted and almost destroyed.

The wrongful treatment that I have suffered from OREA is a result of my application in 2001 for an upgrade in my appraisal license from AR, which is certified residential appraiser to certified general appraiser.

After I submitted my upgrade application, I not only received a denial but was taken to court on what I believe are frivolous allegations, which caused me significant legal expenses and significant time away from my work. I believe that the allegations were brought against me because I am an African-American.

Moreover, OREA failed to refund the \$ 750.00 that I had paid for the upgrade application.

The allegations against me by Mr. Majeski, OREA's acting director, who was personally involved, were not the result of any public complaints.

DELETION]

Mr. Danny Wiley
The Appraisal Standards Board
March 16, 2005
P.2

The initial charge brought by Mr. Majeski against me concerned a relatively small commercial lot in Richmond, CA.. My appraisal fee with respect to the lot was \$ 200.00. The buyer and seller completed the sale transaction and they were satisfied. The loan broker and lender were satisfied, as were the selling broker and the listing broker.

Mr. Majeski's interpretation of USPAP was used to harass me and to not properly evaluate my application for an upgrade.

As you may know, in an application for an upgrade, OREA normally tells the applicant if there is a deficiency and the applicant normally complies. It is not normal to go to court and seek significant fines and discipline as a result of an application for an upgrade against an appraiser against whom there have been no public complaints.

In my case, I was forced by Mr. Majeski to go to administrative hearings to defend myself against false charges and was ordered to pay \$ 11,975.80 in enforcement costs as well as a \$ 3,000.00 fine. A true copy of the website listing from the California Office of Real Estate Appraisers, which is run by Mr. Majeski, is attached.

I request that my legal matter with OREA be reopened, that my \$ 750.00 application fee be refunded to me, and that all fines and enforcement costs paid by me be refunded.

As an African-American and as an appraiser, I have lost the majority of my appraisal income, and suffered damage to my reputation and loss of business, especially from lending institutions, as a result of Mr. Majeski's improper, unwarranted and harassing actions. Mr. Majeski has clearly abused his authority.

The last time I called your office, I was told to contact Mrs. Tidwell, who handles California for USPAP. I have called her numerous times and have received no response from her.

Please contact me promptly about this matter.

[DELETION]