

[DELETION]

February 7, 2006

BY FAX (202) 293-6251, BY CERTIFIED
MAIL AND BY REGULAR MAIL

Marc Weinberg, Esq.
General Counsel
The Appraisal Subcommittee
2000 K St., N.W., Suite 210
Washington, D.C. 20006

Dear Mr. Weinberg:

I am writing to inquire about the status of your possible investigation of the conduct of California Office of Real Estate Appraisers [DELETION].

As of today, I have not received a response to my November 4, 2005 letter.

I have requested that the Appraisal Subcommittee investigate [DELETION] for his role in making my request for a license upgrade an excuse to launch an administrative proceeding against me that resulted in the imposition of \$ [DELETION] in costs against me and the imposition of \$ [DELETION] in fines against me. A public reproof was also imposed against me. All of this happened despite there being no complaints from the general public. I outlined in more detail [DELETION] racially discriminatory and improper conduct in my March 16, 2005 letter to Danny Wiley.

You should note that I have been in the real estate business for over 30 years.

You should note that my research with respect to other states indicates that if an appraisal sample is submitted as part of an upgrade application and the appraisal sample is deemed inadequate by the licensing agency, that it is sent back to the appraiser seeking the upgrade and that he is asked to reapply.

Please contact me no later than February 21, 2006 as to the status of this matter.

ew
Marc Weinberg, Esq.
The Appraisal Subcommittee
February 7, 2006
P. 2

[DELETION]

[DELETION]
cc: U.S. Senator Barbara Boxer

Oakland, CA. NAACP