

March 19, 2025

Via Email

Diana Piechocki, Director State Board of Appraisers, Abstracters, and Home Inspectors Department of Labor and Licensing 900 West Capitol Avenue, Suite 400 Little Rock, AR 72201 Diana.Piechocki@arkansas.gov

RE: ASC Compliance Review of Arkansas' Appraiser Regulatory Program

Dear Diana Piechocki:

The Appraisal Subcommittee (ASC) staff conducted an ASC Compliance Review (Review) of the Arkansas appraiser regulatory program (Appraiser Program) on October 22-24, 2024, to determine the Program's compliance with Title XI of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989, as amended.¹

The ASC considered the preliminary results of the Review and the State's response to those results. The Appraiser Program has been awarded an ASC Finding of "Good." The final ASC Compliance Review Report (Report) of the Arkansas Appraiser Program is attached.

The ASC identified the following areas of non-compliance:

- States must verify that the applicant has successfully completed courses consistent with AQB Criteria for the appraiser credential sought;²
- States must maintain sufficient documentation to support that approved appraiser courses conform to AQB Criteria;³ and
- States must resolve all complaints filed against appraisers within one year (12 months) of the complaint filing date in the absence of special documented circumstances.⁴

ASC staff will confirm that appropriate corrective actions have been taken during the next Review. Arkansas will remain on a two-year Review Cycle.

² 12 U.S.C. § 3345; 12 U.S.C. § 3347; Policy Statement 4 B, C.

¹ 12 U.S.C. §§ 3331-3356.

³ 12 U.S.C. § 3347; Policy Statement 6 A.

⁴ 12 U.S.C. § 3347; Policy Statement 7 B.

This letter and the attached Report are public records and available on the ASC website. Please contact us if you have any questions about this Report.

Sincerely,

Matt Ponzar

Acting Executive Director

Attachment

cc: Steve Guntharp, Chief of Staff, Steve.Guntharp@arkansas.gov

ASC Finding Descriptions

ASC Finding	Rating Criteria	Review Cycle*
Excellent	 State meets all Title XI mandates and complies with requirements of ASC Policy Statements State maintains a strong regulatory Program Very low risk of Program failure 	2-year
Good	 State meets the majority of Title XI mandates and complies with the majority of ASC Policy Statement requirements Deficiencies are minor in nature State is adequately addressing deficiencies identified and correcting them in the normal course of business State maintains an effective regulatory Program Low risk of Program failure 	2-year
Needs Improvement	 State does not meet all Title XI mandates and does not comply with all requirements of ASC Policy Statements Deficiencies are material but manageable and if not corrected in a timely manner pose a potential risk to the Program State may have a history of repeated deficiencies but is showing progress toward correcting deficiencies State regulatory Program needs improvement Moderate risk of Program failure 	2-year with additional monitoring
Not Satisfactory	 State does not meet all Title XI mandates and does not comply with all requirements of ASC Policy Statements Deficiencies present a significant risk and if not corrected in a timely manner pose a well-defined risk to the Program State may have a history of repeated deficiencies and requires more supervision to ensure corrective actions are progressing State regulatory Program has substantial deficiencies Substantial risk of Program failure 	1-year
Poor**	 State does not meet Title XI mandates and does not comply with requirements of ASC Policy Statements Deficiencies are significant and severe, require immediate attention and if not corrected represent critical flaws in the Program State may have a history of repeated deficiencies and may show a lack of willingness or ability to correct deficiencies High risk of Program failure 	Continuous monitoring

^{*}Program history or nature of deficiency may warrant a more accelerated Review Cycle.

^{**}An ASC Finding of "Poor" may result in significant consequences to the State. See Policy Statement 5, Reciprocity; see also Policy Statement 12, Interim Sanctions.



ASC State Appraiser Program Compliance Review Report

ASC Finding: Good

Final Report Issue Date: March 19, 2025

Arkansas Appraiser Regulatory P	Progran	ı (State	2)					
State Board of Appraisers, Abstracters, and Home Inspectors (Board) PM: K. Klamet					ASC Compliance Review Date: October 22-24, 2024		Review Period: March 2022 to October 2024	
Umbrella Agency: Arkansas Department of Labor and Licensing					Number of State Credentialed Appraisers on Appraiser Registry: 891		Review Cycle: Two Year	
Applicable Federal Citations	Compliance (YES/NO) Areas of Concern (AC)		-	ASC Staff Observations	State Response	Required/Recommended State Actions	General Comments	
	YES	NO	AC					
Statutes, Regulations, Policies and Procedures:	х			No compliance issues noted.	N/A	None	None	
Temporary Practice:	v			No comphance issues noted.	N/A	None	None	
remporary rractice.	Х			No compliance issues noted.	N/A	None	None	
National Registry:	Х			No comphance issues noted.	IN/A	None	None	
reactional registry.				No compliance issues noted.	N/A	None	None	
Application Process:		Х		,	·			
States must verify that the applicant has successfully completed courses consistent with AQB Criteria for the appraiser credential sought. (12 U.S.C. § 3345; 12 U.S.C. § 3347; Policy Statement 4 B, C.)				continuing education (CE) requirements for credentials issued by reciprocity. The State only verified that the reciprocal licensee had an active credential on the Appraiser National Registry.	On March 5, 2025, the State reported that it verified both the National Registry and CE course completion certificates for non resident appraisers on a one-year CE cycle that were licensed by reciprocity. At the same time, the State reported that it is changing its renewal dates for non resident appraisers to match the requirements of resident appraisers through a rule change.	support its validation methods are available to ASC staff for future Compliance Reviews.	During the next Compliance Review, ASC staff will pay particular attention to this area for compliance.	
Reciprocity:	Х							
				No compliance issues noted.	N/A	None	None	
Education:		Х		AOD Critorio requires CF accurace to accurace	On Morch F. 2025, the State remarks differ	The State must encure that all decommendation to	During the part Compliance Parism ACC staff will asset	
States must maintain sufficient documentation to support that approved appraiser courses conform to AQB Criteria. (12 U.S.C. § 3347; Policy Statement 6 A.)				property related appraisal topics. The State approved 2 CE courses without sufficient documentation in the file to determine the	On March 5, 2025, the State reported that its board reviewed and determined the courses satisfied the approved Real Property Appraiser Qualification Criteria for CE.	The State must ensure that all documentation to support its validation methods are available to ASC staff for future Compliance Reviews.	During the next Compliance Review, ASC staff will pay particular attention to this area for compliance.	



ASC State Appraiser Program Compliance Review Report

ASC Finding: Good

Final Report Issue Date: March 19, 2025

	1	FFIEC	37				Final Report Issue Date: March 19, 2025					
Arkansas Appraiser Regulatory Program (State)												
State Board of Appraisers, Abstracters, and Home Inspectors (Board)			ome	PM: K. Klamet	ASC Compliance Review Date: October 22-24, 2024		Review Period: March 2022 to October 2024					
Umbrella Agency: Arkansas Department of Labor and Licensing				d Licensing	Number of State Credentialed Appraisers on Appraiser Registry: 891		Review Cycle: Two Year					
Applicable Federal Citations Compliance (YES/NO) Areas of Concern (AC)				State Response	Required/Recommended State Actions	General Comments						
	YES	NO	AC									
Enforcement:		Х										
States must resolve all				The State had 11 aged complaints, 3 which	On March 5, 2025, the State reported that	The State must monitor its revised processes to	During the next Compliance Review, ASC staff will pay					
complaints filed against				were unresolved for more than 1 year and 1	3 of the 4 aged complaints were closed	ensure complaints are resolved timely and the	particular attention to this area for compliance.					
appraisers within one year (12				which was unresolved for more than 2 years,	after the State submitted its original	backlog of aged complaints is eliminated.						
months) of the complaint filing				without exemptions for special documented	documents for the Review. The other							
date in the absence of special				circumstances.	aged complaint was due to the lack of							
documented circumstances.					response from the respondent.							
(12 U.S.C. § 3347; Policy												
Statement 7 B.)					At the same time, the State reported that							
					it received an ASC grant that was used to							

hire a contract investigator that assisted staff to work on the backlog of complaints.